VOL. 72 – NO. 14 APRIL 4, 2025

District of Columbia REGISTER

HIGHLIGHTS

- D.C. Council mandates measures to ensure adequate neighborhood litter and waste collections (Law 25-297)
- D.C. Council passes Law 25-304 to ensure availability of mental health support for individuals at risk of harming themselves or others
- D.C. Council changes the age of marital consent from 16 to 18 years (Law 25-311)
- D.C. Council sets autonomous vehicle testing permit requirements (Law 25-315)
- D.C. Council schedules two public hearings on Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC Plan to hear from public and government witnesses
- Office of Employee Appeals publishes employee appeals
- Department of Energy and Environment sets the dates for the 2025 striped bass fishing season
- Office of Lottery and Games implements changes to the Mega Millions game
- Public Service Commission schedules a virtual community hearing to discuss Washington Gas Light Company's request for authority to increase existing rates and charges for gas service in the District
- Public Service Commission approves Washington Gas Light Company's Residential Essential Service Surcharge Tariff
- Public Service Commission updates billing and crediting regulations for community net metering customers

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER

Publication Authority and Policy

The District of Columbia Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances publishes the *District of Columbia Register* (ISSN 0419-439X) every Friday under the authority of the *District of Columbia Documents Act*, D.C. Law 2-153, effective March 6, 1979, D.C. Official Code § 2-611 *et seq*. (2016 Repl.). The policies which govern the publication of the *Register* are set forth in the Rules of the Office of Documents and Administrative· Issuances (1 DCMR §§300, et seq.). The Rules of the Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances are available online at dcregs.dc.gov. Rulemaking documents are also subject to the requirements of the *District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act*, D.C. Official Code §§2-50l *et seq*. (2016 Repl.).

All documents published in the *District of Columbia Register* must be submitted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Rules of the Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances. Documents which are published in the *District of Columbia Register* include (1) Acts and resolutions of the Council of the District of Columbia; (2) Notices of proposed Council legislation, Council hearings, and other Council actions; (3) Notices of public hearings; (4) Notices of final, proposed, and emergency rulemaking; (5) Mayor's Orders and information on changes in the structure of the District government (6) Notices, Opinions, and Orders of District government Boards, Commissions and Agencies; (7) Documents having general applicability and notices and information of general public interest.

Deadlines for Submission of Documents for Publication

The Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances accepts electronic documents for publication using a Web-based portal. To submit documents for publication, agency heads, or their representatives, may obtain a username and password by email at dcdocuments@dc.gov. For guidelines on how to format and submit documents for publication, please email dcdocuments@dc.gov.

The deadline for filing documents for publication for District of Columbia Agencies, Boards, Commissions, and Public Charter schools is THURSDAY, NOON of the previous week before publication. The deadline for filing documents for publication for the Council of the District of Columbia is WEDNESDAY, NOON of the week of publication. If an official District of Columbia government holiday falls on Thursday, the deadline for filing documents is Wednesday. Email the Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances at dcdocuments@dc.gov to request the *District of Columbia Register* publication schedule.

Viewing the *District of Columbia Register*

The Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances publishes the *District of Columbia Register* ONLINE every Friday at www.dcregs.dc.gov. The Office of Documents does not offer paid subscriptions to the *District of Columbia Register*. Copies of the *District of Columbia Register* from October 2, 2009 to present are available at www.dcregs.dc.gov. Copies of the *District of Columbia Register* from April 2003 through July 2010 are available online in the *D.C. Register* Archive on the website for the Office of the Secretary at www.os.dc.gov. Hardcopies of the *Register* from 1954 to September 2009 are available at the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Library's Washingtoniana Division, 901 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001. There are no restrictions on the republication of any portion of the *District of Columbia Register*. News services are encouraged to publish all or part of the *District of Columbia Register*.

Legal Effect of Publication - Certification

Except in the case of emergency rules, no rule or document of general applicability and legal effect shall become effective until it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Publication creates a rebuttable legal presumption that a document has been duly issued, prescribed, adopted, or enacted and that the document complies with the requirements of the *District of Columbia Documents Act* and the *District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act*. The Administrator of the Office of Documents and Administrative Issuances hereby certifies that this issue of the *District of Columbia Register* contains all documents required to be published under the provisions of the *District of Columbia Documents Act*.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OFFICE OF DOCUMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUANCES

SUITE 8700 - 899 NORTH CAPITOL STREET, NE - UNION SQUARE - WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 - (202) 727-5090

MURIEL E. BOWSER
MAYOR

VICTOR L. REID, ESQ.
ADMINISTRATOR

CONTENTS

ACTIONS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

D.C. LAWS

L25-296 Losing Outdated, Violent Exceptions Amendment Act of 2024 003658

L25-297 Containing Litter and Ensuring Adequate Neighborhood (CLEAN) Collections Amendment Act of 2024 003659

L25-298 Uniform Directed Trust Amendment Act of 2024 003660

L25-299 Child and Family Services Agency Investigation Completion Amendment Act of 2024 003661

L25-300 Fairness in Human Rights Administration Amendment Act of 2024 003662

L25-301 Carrier-for-Hire Oversight and Enforcement Amendment Act of 2024 003663

L25-302 Strengthening Probate Administration Amendment Act of 2024 003664

L25-303 Pesticide Operations Amendment Act of 2024 003665

L25-304 Enhancing Mental Health Crisis Support and Hospitalization Amendment Act of 2024 003666

L25-305 Insurance Regulation Amendment Act of 2024 003667

L25-307 Building Energy Performance Standards Amendment Act of 2024 003668

L25-308 Pets in Housing Amendment Act of 2024 003669

L25-309 Reservoir Park Recreation Center Designation Act of 2024 003670

L25-310 Luggage for All Youth in Foster Care Amendment Act of 2024 003671

L25-311 Child Marriage Prohibition Amendment Act of 2024 003672

L25-312 Public Life and Activity Zones Amendment ("PLAZA") Act of 2024 003673

ACTIONS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CONT'D

D.C. LAWS CONT'D

L25-313 Amplified Sound Mitigation Regulation Amendment Act of 2024 003674

L25-314 Consumer Protection Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2024 003675

L25-315 Autonomous Vehicle Testing Permit Requirement Second Temporary Amendment Act of 2024 003676

L25-316 Driver License and Identification Card Renewal Temporary Amendment Act of 2024 003677

L25-317 Comprehensive Policing and Justice Reform Technical Second Temporary Amendment Act of 2024 003678

BILLS INTRODUCED AND PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

Notice of Intent to Act on New Legislation -
Bills B26-0201 through B26-0205, B26-0208, B26-0215, B26-0216, and B26-0217, and Proposed Resolutions PR26-0159, PR26-0165, and PR26-0166 ..... 003679 - 003681

COUNCIL HEARINGS

Notices of Public Hearings -
B26-208 Open Meetings Clarification Amendment Act of 2025 003682

Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC Plan including:
B26-188 Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-204 Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-186 Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-027 Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-187 Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-189 Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685
B26-203 Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025 (Government Witnesses Only) ..... 003683 - 003685

ACTIONS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CONT'D

COUNCIL HEARINGS CONT'D

Notices of Public Hearings - cont'd

Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC Plan including:

B26-188 Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-204 Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-186 Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-027 Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-187 Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-189 Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

B26-203 Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025 (Public Witnesses Only) ..... 003686 - 003689

Notice of Public Roundtable -

PR 26-165 State Superintendent of Education Dr. Antoinette Mitchell Confirmation Resolution of 2025 003690

OTHER COUNCIL ACTIONS

Consideration of Temporary Legislation -

B26-191 Short-Team Disability Insurance Benefit Protection Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2025 003691

B26-194 Foreclosure Moratorium and Homeowner Assistance Fund Coordination Temporary Amendment Act of 2025 003691

B26-197 Tenant Payment Plan Phasing Temporary Act of 2025 003691

B26-200 Open Meetings Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2025 003691

B26-211 Special Education for Young Adults in the Custody of the Department of Corrections Temporary Amendment Act of 2025 003691

B26-213 Department of For-Hire Vehicles Delivery Vehicle Traffic Enforcement Expansion Temporary Amendment Act of 2025 003691

Notice of Re-referred Proposed Legislation -

B26-204 Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 003692

ACTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration -
Atlas Brew Works/Dad Strength Brewing - ANC 8A - New....003693
Class C & D Renewal Placards for April 4, 2025.... 003694 - 003873
Congress Market - ANC 6B - Renewal....003874
Creep Clothing LLC - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 7B - New....003875
DC Garden Hill LLC - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 6B - New....003876
District Wellness Center - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 1E - RESCIND....003877
Epic Restaurant & Lounge - ANC 4D - Change of Hours....003878
La Dona - ANC 1D - New....003879
Llamabar - ANC 8F - Entertainment Endorsement....003880
Menomale - ANC 5E - Renewal - RESCIND....003881
Menomale - ANC 6E - Renewal - READVERTISEMENT....003882
Metro Medz - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 2A - RESCIND....003883
Pere Wine Bar - ANC 4A - New - READVERTISEMENT....003884
Pere Wine Bar - ANC 4A - New - RESCIND....003885
Port Royal - ANC 2G - New....003886
Taste Budz - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 6B - New....003887
Velvet's - Medical Cannabis Retailer - ANC 2A - New....003888

Housing and Community Development, Department of -
Property Disposition at 905 R Street, NW - May 13, 2025....003889
Property Disposition at 1325 Montello Avenue, NE - May 5, 2025....003890

Public Service Commission -
Notice of Virtual Community Hearing - Formal Case No. 1180 - Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Gas Service.... 003891 - 003893

Zoning Commission - Case
23-08 (1) Wesley Theological Seminary of The United Methodist Church - Campus Plan Approval for the Period of 2025 - 2035 ("2025 Campus Plan")....003894 - 003897

ACTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES CONT'D

FINAL RULEMAKING

Lottery and Gaming, Office of -
Amend 30 DCMR (Lottery and Charitable Games),
Ch. 6 (Claims and Prize Payments),
Sec. 606 (Annuitized Prizes),
Ch. 9 (Description of On-Line Games),
Sec. 917 (Description of the Mega Millions Game),
Sec. 918 (Mega Millions Fixed Prize Structure and Probability Distribution), and
Sec. 919 (Promotions: The Mega Millions® Megaplier® and
Just the Jackpot™ is renamed,
Sec. 919 (The Mega Millions Multiplier and Mega Millions Promotions),
Ch. 99 (Definitions),
Sec. 9900 (Definitions),
to implement changes to the Mega Millions game pursuant to the
Mega Millions Group Agreement ..... 003898 - 003904

Public Service Commission - RM9-2024-01
Amend 15 DCMR (Public Utilities and Cable Television),
Ch. 9 (Net Energy Metering),
Sec. 907 (Billing and Crediting for Community Net Metering Customers) and
Sec. 999 (Definitions),
to exempt community renewable energy facility subscribers of projects
created through the Solar for All Program from limits on offsets to costs
of electricity consumption ..... 003905 - 003907

NOTICES, OPINIONS, AND ORDERS
MAYOR'S ORDERS

2025-047 Appointment — Acting State Superintendent of Education
(Dr. Antoinette Mitchell) 003908

NOTICES, OPINIONS, AND ORDERS CONT'D
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND AGENCIES

Academy of Hope Adult Public Charter School -
Request for Proposals -
Fall Protection 003909
General Contractor 003910

Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration -
ABC Board's Calendar - April 9, 2025 ..... 003911 - 003914

Behavioral Health, Department of -
Behavioral Health Planning Council Meeting - April 25, 2025 003915
Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission Meeting - April 10, 2025 003916
Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission Meeting - April 23, 2025 003917

ACTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES CONT'D

NOTICES, OPINIONS, AND ORDERS CONT'D
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND AGENCIES CONT'D

Buildings, Department of -
Notice of Class Change Determination -
1443 Alabama Avenue, SE - FY2020 003918

Notices of Substantial Undue Economic Hardship Determination -
233 14th Street, NE - FY2023 003919
233 14th Street, NE - FY2024 003920
1501 Park Road, NW - FY2024 2nd half 003921
1501 Park Road, NW - FY2025 1st half 003922

District of Columbia International Public Charter School -
Request for Proposals - Fire Relay 003923

Education, Office of the Deputy Mayor for -
DC Education Research Collaborative Advisory Committee - April 9, 2025 003924

Elections, Board of -
Certification of Filling Vacancy -
5A01 Keith J. Sellars 003925

Employee Appeals, Office of -
OEA Matter No. 1601-0010-25, Employee v. DC - Department of Energy and Environment, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 003926 - 003927

OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-24, Employee v. DC - Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department, Initial Decision (Upheld) ..... 003928 - 003962

OEA Matter No. 1601-0020-24, Employee v. DC - Department of Corrections, Opinion and Order on Petition for Review and Motion for Reconsideration (Granted) ..... 003963 - 003966

OEA Matter No. 1601-0034-22R23, Employee v. DC - Department of Corrections, Initial Decision on Remand (Reversed) ..... 003967 - 003990

OEA Matter No. 1601-0038-24, Employee v. DC - Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 003991 - 003993

OEA Matter No. 1601-0040-21R24, Employee v. DC - Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department, Initial Decision on Remand (Upheld) ..... 003994 - 003998

OEA Matter No. 1601-0050-16AF23, Employee v. DC - Office of the Attorney General, Opinion and Order on Petition for Attorney's Fees (Denied) ..... 003999 - 004005

ACTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES CONT'D

NOTICES, OPINIONS, AND ORDERS CONT'D
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND AGENCIES CONT'D

Employee Appeals, Office of - Cont'd
OEA Matter No. 1601-0050-16C23, Employee v. DC - Office of the Attorney General, Opinion and Order on Addendum Decision on Compliance (Denied) ..... 004006 - 004009

OEA Matter No. 1601-0055-24, Employee v. DC - Metropolitan Police Department, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 004010 - 004011

OEA Matter No. 1601-0059-20, Employee v. DC - Department of Employment Services, Initial Decision (Upheld) ..... 004012 - 004018

OEA Matter No. 1601-0066-24, Employee v. DC - Public Schools, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 004019 - 004020

OEA Matter No. 1601-0082-24, Employee v. DC - Public Schools, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 004021 - 004023

OEA Matter No. J-0084-24, Employee v. DC - Department of Motor Vehicles, Initial Decision (Dismissed) ..... 004024 - 004031

Energy and Environment, Department of -
Green Building Advisory Council Public Meeting - April 2, 2025 004032
Public Notice on 2025 Striped Bass Fishing Season 004033

Friendship Public Charter School -
Request for Proposals - Qualified firms to provide Comprehensive Strategies and Operational Support 004034

Health, Department of (DC Health) -
D.C. Board of Massage Therapy Meetings - April 15 and April 22, 2025 004035

KIPP DC Public Charter Schools -
Request for Proposals - Literacy Consulting and Professional Development Services 004036

Licensing and Consumer Protection, Department of -
D.C. Combat Sports Commission Meeting - April 9, 2025 004037
D.C. Real Estate Commission Meeting - April 8, 2025 004038

Public Service Commission -
Notice of Final Tariff - WGLRESR-2024-01 - Washington Gas Light Company's Residential Essential Service Surcharge Tariff ..... 004039 - 004040

ACTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES CONT'D

NOTICES, OPINIONS, AND ORDERS CONT'D
BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND AGENCIES CONT'D

Retirement Board, DC -
Notice of Public Interest - Certification of Results of Retired
Police Officer Trustee Election (Danny Gregg) 004041

Statesmen College Preparatory Academy for Boys Public Charter School -
Request for Proposals - Student Support Services:
Occupational Therapy, Educational Psychologist,
Behavior Support Services, and Speech Therapy 004042

Water and Sewer Authority, DC -
Environmental Quality and Operations Committee Meeting -
April 17, 2025 004043

YouthBuild DC Public Charter School -
Request for Proposals - Security Cameras and Projectors Installation 004044

Zoning Adjustment - Cases
21176 Natalia Banulescu-Bogdan - ANC 4B - Order ..... 004045 - 004048
21242 Alexandra Shandell and Elliott Becker - ANC 5B - Order ..... 004049 - 004051
21268 Jia Cobb - ANC 4C and 5A - Order ..... 004052 - 004054

Zoning Adjustment, Board of - April 23, 2025 - Public Meeting (Revised)
20863A BUAP 1735 K, LLC - ANC 2C ..... 004055 - 004057
21286 3822 Van Ness NW, LLC - ANC 3A & 3E ..... 004055 - 004057

Zoning Commission - Cases
12-15D Gallaudet University - Order ..... 004058 - 004060
20-27A High Street District Development, Inc. - Order ..... 004061 - 004064

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-296

"Losing Outdated, Violent Exceptions Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-43 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-688 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000718). Act 25-688 was transmitted to Congress on February 10, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-688 is now D.C. Law 25-296, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-297

"Containing Litter and Ensuring Adequate Neighborhood (CLEAN) Collections Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-131 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 16, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-689 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000720). Act 25-689 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-689 is now D.C. Law 25-297, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-298

"Uniform Directed Trust Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-225 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 12, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-690 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000724). Act 25-690 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-690 is now D.C. Law 25-298, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-299

"Child and Family Services Agency Investigation Completion Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-243 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-691 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000735). Act 25-691 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-691 is now D.C. Law 25-299, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-300

"Fairness in Human Rights Administration Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-319 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 16, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-692 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000737). Act 25-692 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-692 is now D.C. Law 25-300, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-301

"Carrier-for-Hire Oversight and Enforcement Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-416 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 16, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-693 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000742). Act 25-693 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-693 is now D.C. Law 25-301, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-302

"Strengthening Probate Administration Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-538 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-696 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000780). Act 25-696 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-696 is now D.C. Law 25-302, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-303

"Pesticide Operations Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-569 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-697 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000788). Act 25-697 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-697 is now D.C. Law 25-303, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-304

"Enhancing Mental Health Crisis Support and Hospitalization Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-692 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-698 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000790). Act 25-698 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-698 is now D.C. Law 25-304, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-305

"Insurance Regulation Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-696 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-699 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000800). Act 25-699 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-699 is now D.C. Law 25-305, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-307

"Building Energy Performance Standards Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-801 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-701 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000810). Act 25-701 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-701 is now D.C. Law 25-307, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-308

"Pets in Housing Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-827 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-702 and was published in the February 7, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 001076). Act 25-702 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-702 is now D.C. Law 25-308, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-309

"Reservoir Park Recreation Center Designation Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-841 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-703 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000817). Act 25-703 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-703 is now D.C. Law 25-309, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-310

"Luggage for All Youth in Foster Care Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-952 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-705 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000825). Act 25-705 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-705 is now D.C. Law 25-310, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19, 20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-311

"Child Marriage Prohibition Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-955 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-706 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000828). Act 25-706 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-706 is now D.C. Law 25-311, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-312

"Public Life and Activity Zones Amendment ("PLAZA") Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-577 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-715 and was published in the February 7, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 001085). Act 25-715 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-715 is now D.C. Law 25-312, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-313

"Amplified Sound Mitigation Regulation Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-749 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-716 and was published in the February 7, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 001095). Act 25-716 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-716 is now D.C. Law 25-313, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-314

"Consumer Protection Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-1042 on First Reading and Final Reading, on November 26, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-707 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000830). Act 25-707 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-707 is now D.C. Law 25-314, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-315

"Autonomous Vehicle Testing Permit Requirement Second Temporary Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-1052 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-708 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000832). Act 25-708 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-708 is now D.C. Law 25-315, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-316

"Driver License and Identification Card Renewal Temporary Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-1057 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Following the signature of the Mayor on January 15, 2025, pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-709 and was published in the January 31, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 000834). Act 25-709 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-709 is now D.C. Law 25-316, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE

D.C. LAW 25-317

"Comprehensive Policing and Justice Reform Technical Second Temporary Amendment Act of 2024"

Pursuant to Section 412 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, P.L. 93-198 (the Charter), the Council of the District of Columbia adopted Bill 25-1067 on First Reading and Final Reading, on December 3, 2024, and December 17, 2024, respectively. Pursuant to Section 404(e) of the Charter, the bill became Act 25-710 and was published in the February 14, 2025 edition of the D.C. Register (Vol. 72, page 001284). Act 25-710 was transmitted to Congress on February 6, 2025 for a 30-day review, in accordance with Section 602(c)(1) of the Home Rule Act.

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice that the 30-day Congressional review period has ended, and Act 25-710 is now D.C. Law 25-317, effective March 21, 2025.

Phil Mendelson
Chairman of the Council

Days Counted During the 30-day Congressional Review Period:

Month	Dates Counted
February	6,7,10,11,12,13,14,18,19,20,21,24,25,26,27,28
March	3,4,5,6,7,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ACT ON NEW LEGISLATION

The Council of the District of Columbia hereby gives notice of its intention to consider the following legislative matters for final Council action in not less than 15 days. Referrals of legislation to various committees of the Council are listed below and are subject to change at the legislative meeting immediately following or coinciding with the date of introduction. It is also noted that legislation may be co-sponsored by other Councilmembers after its introduction.

Interested persons wishing to comment may do so in writing addressed to Nyasha Smith, Secretary to the Council, 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 5, Washington, D.C. 20004. Copies of bills and proposed resolutions are available in the Legislative Services Division, 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 10, Washington, D.C. 20004, Telephone: 724-8050 or online at http://www.dccouncil.gov.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PROPOSED LEGISLATION

B26-0201 Project Homecoming Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-24-2025 by Councilmembers Pinto, Bonds, Frumin, and Nadeau and referred to the Committee on Housing

---

B26-0202 Housing Development Growth Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-24-2025 by Councilmembers Lewis George, Nadeau, Allen, Parker, R. White, and Pinto and referred to the Committee on Housing with comments from the Committee on Business and Economic Development

---

B26-0203 Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-25-2025 by Councilmembers Pinto, and Bonds and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety

---

B26-0204 Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-25-2025 by Councilmembers Pinto, Nadeau, Allen, Bonds, and Parker and referred to the Committee of the Whole with comments from the Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety

---

B26-0205 Industrial Revenue Bond Forward Commitment Program Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-25-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee on Business and Economic Development

---

B26-0208 Open Meetings Clarification Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-27-2025 by Chairman Mendelson, Councilmembers Henderson, Nadeau, Frumin, Parker, Felder, McDuffie, Bonds, R. White, Pinto, Lewis George, and Allen and referred to the Committee of the Whole

---

B26-0215 Residential Building Permit Classification Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-28-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee on Business and Economic Development

---

B26-0216 Closing of the former Frederick Douglas Memorial Bridge Right of Way on Lot 817 in Square 708, S.O. 23-05325, Act of 2025

Intro. 03-28-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee of the Whole

---

B26-0217 Transparency Is Accountability Amendment Act of 2025

Intro. 03-31-2025 by Councilmember Parker and referred to the Committee of the Whole

---

PR26-0159 Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority Christopher Bell Confirmation Resolution of 2025

Intro. 03-26-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee of the Whole

---

PR26-0165 State Superintendent of Education Dr. Antoinette Mitchell Confirmation Resolution of 2025

Intro. 03-28-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee of the Whole

---

PR26-0166 Board of Library Trustees Brenda Donald Confirmation Resolution of 2025

Intro. 03-28-2025 by Chairman Mendelson and referred to the Committee on Human Services

---

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004

CHAIRMAN PHIL MENDELSON
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
ANNOUNCES A PUBLIC HEARING

on

Bill 26-208, Open Meetings Clarification Amendment Act of 2025

on

Tuesday, April 22, 2025 at 4:00 p.m.

Council Chambers (Track A)
John A. Wilson Building
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
DC Council Website (www.dccouncil.gov)

Council Chairman Phil Mendelson announces a public hearing before the Committee of the Whole on **Bill 26-208**, the "Open Meetings Clarification Amendment Act of 2025." The hearing will be held at **4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 22, 2025.**

The purpose of Bill 26-208 is to amend the Open Meetings Act. The bill revises the definition of "meeting" to facilitate public bodies conducting field trips and retreats, and to give flexibility to the Council as to what constitutes a "meeting." The legislation also would provide for the ability of a public body to be briefed about potential terrorist or public health threats as long as no official action is taken, and exempt from the act meetings between the Council and the Mayor provided that no official action is taken at these meetings. The bill also provides that a meeting shall be deemed open to the public if the public body takes steps reasonably calculated to allow the public to view or hear the meeting while the meeting is taking place, or, if doing so is not technologically feasible, as soon thereafter as reasonably practicable.

Those who wish to testify must register using the Council's Hearing Management System at https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings by **5:00 p.m. on Friday, April 18, 2025**. **Testimony is limited to four minutes**. Witnesses who anticipate needing spoken language interpretation, or require sign language interpretation, are requested to inform the Committee office of the need as soon as possible but no later than five business days before the proceeding by emailing cow@dccouncil.gov. We will make every effort to fulfill timely requests, although alternatives may be offered. Requests received in less than five business days may not be fulfilled. Witnesses will receive instructions on how to participate by Zoom prior to the hearing. If you have additional questions, please contact Christian Washington, Special Counsel, at (202) 724-8032 or cow@dccouncil.gov.

Public witnesses may participate in person or virtually via the Internet on the Zoom Video Conference platform. Testimony should be submitted through the Council's Hearing Management System (https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings) in advance of the hearing. That testimony will be publicly accessible upon Committee review. If you are unable to testify at the hearing, written statements are encouraged and will be made a part of the official record. Statements for the record should be submitted through the Hearing Management System or left by voicemail by calling (202) 430-6948 (up to 3 minutes which will be transcribed). The record will close at 5:00 pm on May 6, 2025.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY AND PUBLIC SAFETY

BROOKE PINTO, CHAIR

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON

Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC Plan including:

B26-188, "Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-204 "Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-186 "Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025"

B26-027 "Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-187 "Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-189 "Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025"

and

B26-203, "Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025"

Thursday, April 24, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

(Government Witnesses Only)

In the John A. Wilson Building, 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 500
and virtually via Zoom

To watch live:
Councilmember Pinto's YouTube Page (www.youtube.com/@cmbrookepinto)
Councilmember Pinto's X page (@cmbrookepinto)
Council Channel 13 (Cable Television Providers)
DC Council Website (dccouncil.gov)

On Thursday, April 24, 2025, at 9:30 am, Councilmember Brooke Pinto, Chairwoman of the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety, will hold a public hearing **to hear government witness testimony only** on **Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC plan** including the following bills: **B26-188** "Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025", B26-204 "Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-186** "Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025", B26-027 "Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-187** "Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-189** "Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025", and **B26-203** "Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025".

The hearing will be held in Room 500 of the John A. Wilson Building.

The purpose of **B26-188,** Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025 is to permanently extend the pretrial detention provisions established in Secure DC, which expanded the rebuttable presumption to apply the presumption in cases where an individual is charged with a crime of violence and has previously been convicted of a crime of violence. In certain situations, there is a "rebuttable presumption" that a person presents a flight risk or a danger to the community and therefore should be detained prior to trial. In these cases, to release a person, a court can only release a person if it finds that the person has rebutted the presumption. The provisions in Secure DC are currently set to expire, or sunset, on July 15, 2025.

The purpose of **B26-204,** Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 would improve communication and coordination between schools and Safe Passage Ambassadors by requiring bi-annual meetings between the Safe Passage Ambassadors and relevant schools. Additionally, the bill would improve the effectiveness of the Safe Passage program by enhancing, standardizing and universalizing training for Safe Passage Ambassadors through training on cultural competency, interacting positively with young people who exhibit neuro-divergent behaviors, and supporting different student needs; training on the identification of signs of abuse, neglect, and intrafamilial and domestic violence; and monthly training on engaging in de-escalation.

The purpose of **B26-186,** Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025 is to establish a program to pair a Trusted Adult with youth assigned to Deferred Disposition Agreements (DDAs), Deferred Prosecution Agreements (DPAs), or Consent Decrees (CDs) to provide intensive, wholistic support to youth during the length of the agreement. The bill ensures youth who are assigned DDAs, DPAs, or CDs receive the support needed to comply with the requirements of their respective agreements and address root causes that may have contributed to their justice system involvement or may present barriers to rehabilitation.

The purpose of **B26-187**, Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025 is to allow applicants for sworn officers of the Metropolitan Police Department to count 15 credit hours for completion of the initial training program towards the requirement that the applicants complete 60-hours of post-secondary education at an accredited college or university **as long as** MPD enters into an agreement with an accredited college or university for their initial training program.

The purpose of **B26-189** Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025 prohibits using sound amplifying devices to target a residence for purposes of a demonstration in a residential zone between 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m.; using unattended sound amplifying devices while targeting a residence for purposes of a demonstration; and launching or throwing a projectile onto the residential property of another with the intent to cause fear, intimidate, or retaliate against any person living or working at that residence..

The purpose of **B26-203** Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025 addresses critical gaps in the District of Columbia's kidnapping statute following the 2024 *Cardozo v. United States* court decision. The legislation establishes clear parameters for kidnapping by defining "substantially confine or move" as relocating someone at least 20 feet or confining them for at least 10 minutes. It deliberately avoids merging kidnapping with other offenses when confinement is "incidental" to another crime, clarifies fact-finder unanimity requirements, and expands second-degree kidnapping to include intent to commit any criminal offense, not just felonies. The Act maintains a 30-year maximum sentence for first-degree kidnapping, sets a 15-year maximum for second-degree kidnapping, and creates a new criminal restraint misdemeanor with up to 180 days penalty for less severe scenarios. Technical improvements close loopholes regarding restraint methods, blocked exits, vulnerable victims, and intent to hold someone for over 24 hours.

The purpose of **B26-027** Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025 is to set a minimum payment of $50,000 for any person who provides information that leads to the adjudication or conviction of the person(s) responsible for committing any homicide in the District of Columbia. It would also require the Comprehensive Homicide Elimination Strategy Task Force to submit to the Council a report on the District's programs and policies for witness protection and assistance. This bill also establishes a "Witness Protection and Assistance Program" to increase efforts to successfully investigate and prosecute criminal cases through assistance in the security and wellbeing of those who aid in investigations or criminal proceedings. This Program would be administered by the Mayor and the U.S. Attorney's Office, as well as any relevant regional jurisdictions. The Mayor would also provide witness protection, relocation, and assistance to any cooperative witness who is in danger.

The Committee will hear government witness testimony only on this date.

The Committee invites the public to testify at a public hearing on a separate date that may be found on the Council's Hearing Management System at https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings. **The record will close at 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 7, 2025.**

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY AND PUBLIC SAFETY

BROOKE PINTO, CHAIR

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON

Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC Plan including:

B26-188, "Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-204 "Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-186 "Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025"

B26-027 "Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-187 "Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025"

B26-189 "Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025"

and

B26-203, "Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025"

Wednesday, April 23, 2025, at 9:30 a.m.

(Public Witnesses Only)

In the John A. Wilson Building, 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 500
and virtually via Zoom

To watch live:
Councilmember Pinto's YouTube Page (www.youtube.com/@cmbrookepinto)
Councilmember Pinto's X page (@cmbrookepinto)
Council Channel 13 (Cable Television Providers)
DC Council Website (dccouncil.gov)

On Wednesday, April 23, 2025, at 9:30 a.m., Councilmember Brooke Pinto, Chairwoman of the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety, will hold a public hearing **to hear public witness testimony only** on **Councilmember Brooke Pinto's Peace DC plan** including the following bills: **B26-188,** "Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-204,** "Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-186,** "Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025", **B26-027,** "Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025", **B26-187,**"Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment

Act of 2025", **B26-189,** "Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025", and **B26-203,** "Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025".

The hearing will be held in Room 500 of the John A. Wilson Building.

The purpose of **B26-188,** Pretrial Detention Amendment Act of 2025 is to permanently extend the pretrial detention provisions established in Secure DC, which expanded the rebuttable presumption to apply the presumption in cases where an individual is charged with a crime of violence and has previously been convicted of a crime of violence. In certain situations, there is a "rebuttable presumption" that a person presents a flight risk or a danger to the community and therefore should be detained prior to trial. In these cases, to release a person, a court can only release a person if it finds that the person has rebutted the presumption. The provisions in Secure DC are currently set to expire, or sunset, on July 15, 2025.

The purpose of **B26-204,** Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 would improve communication and coordination between schools and Safe Passage Ambassadors by requiring bi-annual meetings between the Safe Passage Ambassadors and relevant schools. Additionally, the bill would improve the effectiveness of the Safe Passage program by enhancing, standardizing and universalizing training for Safe Passage Ambassadors through training on cultural competency, interacting positively with young people who exhibit neuro-divergent behaviors, and supporting different student needs; training on the identification of signs of abuse, neglect, and intrafamilial and domestic violence; and monthly training on engaging in de-escalation.

The purpose of **B26-186,** Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025 is to establish a program to pair a Trusted Adult with youth assigned to Deferred Disposition Agreements (DDAs), Deferred Prosecution Agreements (DPAs), or Consent Decrees (CDs) to provide intensive, wholistic support to youth during the length of the agreement. The bill ensures youth who are assigned DDAs, DPAs, or CDs receive the support needed to comply with the requirements of their respective agreements and address root causes that may have contributed to their justice system involvement or may present barriers to rehabilitation.

The purpose of **B26-187**, Metropolitan Police Department Training Academy College Credit Opportunity Amendment Act of 2025 is to allow applicants for sworn officers of the Metropolitan Police Department to count 15 credit hours for completion of the initial training program towards the requirement that the applicants complete 60-hours of post-secondary education at an accredited college or university **as long as** MPD enters into an agreement with an accredited college or university for their initial training program.

The purpose of **B26-189** Residential Tranquility Amendment Act of 2025 prohibits using sound amplifying devices to target a residence for purposes of a demonstration in a residential zone between 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m.; using unattended sound amplifying devices while targeting a residence for purposes of a demonstration; and launching or throwing a projectile onto the residential property of another with the intent to cause fear, intimidate, or retaliate against any person living or working at that residence..

The purpose of **B26-203** Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025 addresses critical gaps in the District of Columbia's kidnapping statute following the 2024 *Cardozo v. United States* court decision. The legislation establishes clear parameters for kidnapping by defining "substantially confine or move" as relocating someone at least 20 feet or confining them for at least 10 minutes. It deliberately avoids merging kidnapping with other offenses when confinement is "incidental" to another crime, clarifies fact-finder unanimity requirements, and expands second-degree kidnapping to include intent to commit any criminal offense, not just felonies. The Act maintains a 30-year maximum sentence for first-degree kidnapping, sets a 15-year maximum for second-degree kidnapping, and creates a new criminal restraint misdemeanor with up to 180 days penalty for less severe scenarios. Technical improvements close loopholes regarding restraint methods, blocked exits, vulnerable victims, and intent to hold someone for over 24 hours.

The purpose of **B26-027** Case Closure and Witness Support Amendment Act of 2025 is to set a minimum payment of $50,000 for any person who provides information that leads to the adjudication or conviction of the person(s) responsible for committing any homicide in the District of Columbia. It would also require the Comprehensive Homicide Elimination Strategy Task Force to submit to the Council a report on the District's programs and policies for witness protection and assistance. This bill also establishes a "Witness Protection and Assistance Program" to increase efforts to successfully investigate and prosecute criminal cases through assistance in the security and wellbeing of those who aid in investigations or criminal proceedings. This Program would be administered by the Mayor and the U.S. Attorney's Office, as well as any relevant regional jurisdictions. The Mayor would also provide witness protection, relocation, and assistance to any cooperative witness who is in danger.

The Committee invites the public to testify. Those who wish to do so must register using the Council's Hearing Management System at https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings **by 8:00 a.m. on Tuesday, April 22nd**. Witnesses who represent organizations will have 5 minutes to speak. All others will have 3 minutes to speak.[1] **Witnesses will have the option to testify in person or virtually**; witnesses should specify which option they are electing at the time they sign up to testify. **Note that government witness testimony will be scheduled on a separate hearing date.**

Witnesses who anticipate needing spoken language interpretation, or who require sign language interpretation, are requested to inform the Committee office of the need as soon as possible, but no later than 5 business days before the proceeding. We will make every effort to fulfill timely requests, although alternatives may be offered. Requests may be submitted via the Hearing Management System during registration or by contacting Ms. Aukima Benjamin, Committee Manager to the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety, at (202) 724-8058 or via e-mail at judiciary@dccouncil.gov. It may not be possible to fulfill requests received less than 5 business days before the proceeding. Witnesses will receive instructions on how to participate by Zoom prior to the hearing. If you have additional questions, please contact Ms. Benjamin at the phone number or e-mail listed above.

If you are unable to testify at the public hearing, written statements are encouraged and will be made a part of the official record; written testimony should be submitted through the Council's

[1] If there is a large number of witnesses, the Committee may allow less time for each witness in order to ensure all witnesses have an opportunity to testify.

Hearing Management System at https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings. Testimony will be publicly accessible following review by Committee staff.

The record will close at 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 7, 2025.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
NOTICE OF PUBLIC ROUNDTABLE

1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004

CHAIRMAN PHIL MENDELSON
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
ANNOUNCES A PUBLIC ROUNDTABLE

on

PR 26-165, "State Superintendent of Education Dr. Antoinette Mitchell Confirmation Resolution of 2025"

on

Wednesday, April 23, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

Council Chambers, Room 412 (Track B)
John A. Wilson Building
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
DC Council Website (www.dccouncil.gov)

Council Chairman Phil Mendelson announces a public roundtable before the Committee of the Whole on **PR 26-165**, the "State Superintendent of Education Dr. Antoinette Mitchell Confirmation Resolution of 2025." The public roundtable will be held at **10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, April 23, 2024.**

The purpose of PR 26-165 is to confirm Dr. Antoinette Mitchell as the State Superintendent of Education and head of the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE). OSSE serves as the District's state education agency and is responsible for a myriad of issues such as early childhood education; K-12 academic oversight; special education, including non-public tuition schools and compliance; student health and wellness; administration of federal grant funding; administration of the District's statewide assessment (DC CAPE); collecting, managing, and analyzing education-related data; adult education and linkages to workforce development; and the District's special education transportation division. This roundtable will serve as an opportunity for the Committee to hear from the public with regard to the fitness of the nominee to serve as the State Superintendent of Education.

Those who wish to testify must register using the Council's Hearing Management System at https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings by **5:00 p.m. on Monday, April 21, 2025**. **This roundtable is limited to 30 witnesses, and testimony is limited to three minutes**. Witnesses who anticipate needing spoken language interpretation, or require sign language interpretation, are requested to inform the Committee office of the need as soon as possible but no later than five business days before the proceeding by emailing cow@dccouncil.gov. We will make every effort to fulfill timely requests, although alternatives may be offered. Requests received in less than five business days may not be fulfilled. Witnesses will receive instructions on how to participate by Zoom prior to the hearing. If you have additional questions, please contact Hannah Kozik, Legislative Policy Advisor, at (202) 724-8137 or hkozik@dccouncil.gov.

Public witnesses may participate in person or virtually via the Internet on the Zoom Video Conference platform. Testimony should be submitted through the Council's Hearing Management System (https://lims.dccouncil.gov/hearings) in advance of the hearing. That testimony will be publicly accessible upon Committee review. If you are unable to testify at the hearing, written statements are encouraged and will be made a part of the official record. Statements for the record should be submitted through the Hearing Management System or left by voicemail by calling (202) 430-6948 (up to 3 minutes which will be transcribed). The record will close at 5:00 p.m. on Monday, May 5, 2025.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CONSIDERATION OF TEMPORARY LEGISLATION

B26-191, Short-Team Disability Insurance Benefit Protection Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2025,

B26-194, Foreclosure Moratorium and Homeowner Assistance Fund Coordination Temporary Amendment Act of 2025,

B26-197, Tenant Payment Plan Phasing Temporary Act of 2025,

B26-200, Open Meetings Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2025,

B26-211, Special Education for Young Adults in the Custody of the Department of Corrections Temporary Amendment Act of 2025; and

B26-213, Department of For-Hire Vehicles Delivery Vehicle Traffic Enforcement Expansion Temporary Amendment Act of 2025.

these temporary measures were adopted on April 1, 2025. A final reading on these measures will occur on May 6, 2025.

COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF RE-REFERRED PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The following legislation has now been re-referred:

B26-204, "Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025"

Re-referred to the Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety.

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-131770
Licensee:	Dad Strength Brewing LLC/Atlas Brew Works LLC
Trade Name:	Atlas Brew Works/Dad Strength Brewing
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	600 Howard Road, S.E., Suite 100
Contact:	Cameron Mixon: (202) 686-7600, cmixon@theveritaslawfirm.com

WARD 8 ANC 8A SMD 8A06

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has applied for a new license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled on **July 23, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.**

NATURE OF OPERATION

The Establishment will be a Tavern and Brewery with indoor seating for 158 and a Total Occupancy Load of 313. Sidewalk Café with a seating capacity of 172 and a total load of 413. The applicant requests a Brew Pub Endorsement and Wine Pub Endorsement for manufacture of alcoholic beverages. Entertainment Endorsement to provide live entertainment inside of the premises. Carry-out and Delivery Endorsement.

HOURS OF OPERATION, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION, AND LIVE ENTERTAINMENT INSIDE PREMISES

Sunday through Thursday 6am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 6am – 3am

HOURS OF OPERATION AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR SIDEWALK CAFE

Sunday through Thursday 6am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 6am – 3am

HOURS OF CARRY-OUT AND DELIVERY

Sunday through Saturday 6am – 1am

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-075442 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: PRG, Inc.
Trade Name: Bambu
SMD: 3D04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

5101 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-074934 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Farmers Fishers Bakers, LLC
Trade Name: Farmers Fishers Bakers
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3000 K STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-075944 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Oyamel DC, LLC
Trade Name: Oyamel
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

401 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-076177 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Centerpoint Nine, Inc.
Trade Name: Asia Nine Bar and Lounge
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

915 E STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	6 pm - 1 am
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	6 pm - 1 am
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	6 pm - 1 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-075684 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Combined Food Services Of Virginia Inc
Trade Name: Anju
SMD: 2B01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1805 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 1am	11:30 am - 1am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 1am	11:30 am - 1am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 1am	11:30 am - 1am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 1am	11:30 am - 1am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 1am	11:30 am - 1am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-071913 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Leeds The Way, LLC
Trade Name: Hank's Oyster Bar
SMD: 2B04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1622 Q STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 10 om
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-072017 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Dunmore, LLC
Trade Name: Sonoma
SMD: 6B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

233 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-072156 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Phoenix Park Hotel Operating,LLC & Dubliner,Inc.
Trade Name: Phoenix Park & Phoenix Park Hotel
SMD: 6E07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

520 NORTH CAPITOL STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 hours	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	24 hours	11 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-071036 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Kaz, LLC
Trade Name: Kaz Sushi
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1915 I STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20006

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-070945 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Rajaji Inc.
Trade Name: Rajaji Curry House
SMD: 3C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2603 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-079243 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: DC Four Lessee, LLC
Trade Name: Viceroy Washington DC / Dovetail / BPM / Hush
SMD: 2F04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1430 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Monday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Thursday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Friday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 12 am
Saturday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 12 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Monday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Tuesday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Wednesday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Thursday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Friday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA
Saturday:	See VA - See VA	See VA - See VA

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-077986 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: The Shakespeare Theatre
Trade Name: Sidney Harman Hall
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

610 F STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-078027 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: Sunnyside Group, LLC
Trade Name: Good Stuff Eatery
SMD: 6B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

303 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-078085 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Glover Park F & B, LLC
Trade Name: Breadsoda
SMD: 3B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2233 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	See - Voluntary
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	Agreement -
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	9 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	See VA Retriction on - on	7 am - 2 am
Monday:	Street - Level	7 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	Patio -	7 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	-	7 am - 2 am
Thursday:	-	7 am - 2 am
Friday:	-	7 am - 3 am
Saturday:	-	7 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060575 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Paradise PPG, LLC
Trade Name: Pizzeria Paradiso
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3282 M STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-024625 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Washington Plaza Hotel LLC
Trade Name: Washington Plaza Hotel
SMD: 2F05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

10 THOMAS CIR NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-024470 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Magic Meals Inc.
Trade Name: Nooshi
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1120 19TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Monday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Friday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 2am	11:30 am - 2am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060778 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Clyde's of Gallery Place, LLC
Trade Name: Clyde's Of Gallery Place
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

707 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8:30 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	7:30 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	7:30 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	7:30 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	8:30 am - 3 am	8:30 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060779 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Eurochef, LLC
Trade Name: Belga Cafe
SMD: 6B04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

514 8TH STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Monday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Thursday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Friday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Saturday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060796 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Compass Group USA, Inc
Trade Name: Gallaudet University Conference Center
SMD: 5D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

800 FLORIDA AVENUE NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060603 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Cavit Ozturk
Trade Name: Cafe Divan
SMD: 2E02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1834 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10:30pm	11 am - 10:30pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10:30pm	11 am - 10:30pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10:30pm	11 am - 10:30pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060635 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Ella's Wood-fired Pizza, LLC
Trade Name: Ella's Wood-Fired Pizza, LLC
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

901 F STREET NW, UNIT B, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060669 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Style Inc.
Trade Name: Sette
SMD: 2B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1666 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060730 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Rice Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Rice Restaurant & Bar
SMD: 2F02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1608 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060347 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Meze Inc.
Trade Name: Meze
SMD: 1C07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2437 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2:30 am	8 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2:30 am	8 pm - 2 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Monday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am
Thursday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am
Friday:	4 pm - 3 am	4 pm - 2:30 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060206 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Capital Grille Holdings, Inc.
Trade Name: Capital Grille
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

601 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	4 pm - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	4 pm - 3 am	4 pm - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060249 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Chef Geoff's, LLC
Trade Name: Chef Geoff's
SMD: 3B06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3201 NEW MEXICO AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10:30 am - 12 am	10:30 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10:30 am - 12 am	10:30 am - 12 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-006044 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: The Walrus Company, LLC
Trade Name: Old Ebbitt Grill
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

675 15TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060069 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: RJJJ Restaurant Corporation
Trade Name: Bobby Van's Steakhouse
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

805 15TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-021676 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Yanlim Inc.
Trade Name: Spices Restaurant
SMD: 3C06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3333 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-022027 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Clyde's of Georgetown, LLC
Trade Name: Clyde's
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3236 M STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-020149 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Morrison-Clark, Limited Partnership I
Trade Name: Morrison-Clark Inn
SMD: 2F08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1015 L STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-020468 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Judy Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Judy Restaurant
SMD: 1B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2212 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	10:30 am - 1:30 am
Monday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	N/A -
Tuesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	N/A -
Wednesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	N/A -
Thursday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	10:30 am - 1:30 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10:30 am - 2:30 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10:30 am - 2:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-014963 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: TRC Inc.
Trade Name: Sakana Japanese Restaurant
SMD: 2B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2026 P STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Saturday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30am - 10:30 pm
Saturday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-016332 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Guapo's Restaurant, Inc.
Trade Name: Guapo's Restaurant
SMD: 3E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

4517 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	6 pm - 12 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	6 pm - 12 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-017109 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: The Shakespeare Theatre at The Folger Library
Trade Name: The Shakespeare Theatre At The Folger Library
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

450 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-011228 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: R Street Restaurant Corporation
Trade Name: La Tomate
SMD: 2B01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1703 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	6 pm - 10:30 pm
Monday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	N/A -
Tuesday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	N/A -
Wednesday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	6 pm - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	6 pm - 10:30 pm
Friday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Saturday:	6 am - 12:30 am	11 am - 12:30 am	6 pm - 11 pm

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 10 pm	11:30 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-012545 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: I Ricchi Inc.
Trade Name: I Ricchi
SMD: 2B06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1220 19TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	closed	closed - closed	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Saturday:	5 pm - 11 pm	5 pm - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	closed	closed - closed
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Saturday:	5 pm - 11 pm	5 pm - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060438 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Mezze and More, LLC
Trade Name: Zaytinya
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

701 9TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-060448 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Soussi Inc.
Trade Name: Soussi
SMD: 1C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2228 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am	-
Monday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am	-
Tuesday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am	-
Wednesday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am	-
Thursday:	5 pm - 2 am	5 pm - 1:30 am	-
Friday:	5 pm - 3 am	5 pm - 2:30 am	-
Saturday:	5 pm - 3 am	5 pm - 2:30 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	5 pm - 12 am	5 pm - 12 am
Monday:	5 pm - 12 am	5 pm - 12 am
Tuesday:	5 pm - 12 am	5 pm - 12 am
Wednesday:	5 pm - 12 am	5 pm - 12 am
Thursday:	5 pm - 12 am	5 pm - 12 am
Friday:	5 pm - 1:30 am	5 pm - 1:30 am
Saturday:	5 pm - 1:30 am	5 pm - 1:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-001445 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Tabard Corporation
Trade Name: Hotel Tabard Inn
SMD: 2B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1739 N STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 1 am
Monday:	24 hours	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 1 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 1 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 1 am
Thursday:	24 hours	11:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 1 am
Friday:	24 hours	11:30 am - 2:30 am	6 pm - 1 am
Saturday:	24 hours	10 am - 2:30 am	6 pm - 1 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10 am - 1 am	10:30 am - 1 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Saturday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-008949 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: H L S, Inc.
Trade Name: Dupont Italian Kitchen/Dupont Cantina
SMD: 2B03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1637 17TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	10:30 am - 3 am	10:30 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	10:30 am - 3 am	10: 30 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-009239 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: The City Limit, LLC
Trade Name: 1789/Tombs/F Scotts/Fitzgerald's
SMD: 2E08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1224 36TH ST NW, Washington, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	N/A -
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	N/A -
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	N/A -
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 pm - 1:30 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10:30 pm - 1:30 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10:30 pm - 2:30 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10:30 pm - 2:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-000514 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: KYW, Inc.
Trade Name: Wah Sing Restaurant
SMD: 7B03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2521 PENNSYLVANIA AVE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20020

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-000604 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 1218 Wisconsin Incorporated
Trade Name: El Centro D. F.
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1218 WISCONSIN AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	9 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	9 pm - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 3 am	11:30 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-000779 **License Class/Type: C Club**
Applicant: The Historic Georgetown Club, Inc.
Trade Name: Georgetown Club at Suter Tavern
SMD: 2E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1530 WISCONSIN AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1:30 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1:30 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1:30 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1:30 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1:30 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-001070 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: The George Washington University/Compass Group USA, Inc.
Trade Name: Charles E Smith Center
SMD: 2A09

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

600 22ND STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20052

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-001133 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Restaurant Associates Inc.
Trade Name: Restaurant Associates
SMD: 2A04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2700 F STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20566

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-013995 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Parthenon Inc.
Trade Name: Parthenon Restaurant & Chevy Chase Lounge
SMD: 3/4G06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

5510 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20015

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 2 am	11am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11am - 2 am	11am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11am - 2 am	11am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11am - 2 am	11am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11am - 2 am	11am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-000221 **License Class/Type: C Club**
Applicant: Sulgrave Club Inc.
Trade Name: Sulgrave Club
SMD: 2B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1801 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 Hours	11 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	24 Hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 Hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 Hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 Hours	11 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	24 Hours	11 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-000377 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Deauville Inc.
Trade Name: The Monocle
SMD: 6C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

107 D STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-080085 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: Avalon Theatre Project, Inc.
Trade Name: Avalon Theatre
SMD: 3/4G06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

5612 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20015

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 2 am	9 am - 1 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	10 am - 1 am
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	7am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am
Friday:	7 am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am
Saturday:	7 am - 11 pm	9 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-081018 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Restaurant Associates of New York, LLC
Trade Name: World Bank, IFC Building
SMD: 2A08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2121 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20433

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-
Monday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Thursday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Friday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Saturday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-081024 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Restaurant Associates of New York, LLC
Trade Name: World Bank, MC Building
SMD: 2A07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1818 H STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20433

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-
Monday:	7: 30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Tuesday:	7: 30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Wednesday:	7: 30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Thursday:	7: 30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Friday:	7: 30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Saturday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-081026 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Restaurant Associates of New York, LLC
Trade Name: World Bank, I Building
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1850 I STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20433

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-
Monday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Thursday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Friday:	7:30 am - 7 pm	8 am - 7 pm	-
Saturday:	closed - closed	closed - closed	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-079701 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's Restaurant Group, Inc.
Trade Name: Nando's Peri Peri
SMD: 2B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1210 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm
Saturday:	11:30 am - 9 pm	11:30 am - 9 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-081997 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Adams Morgan F&B, LLC
Trade Name: Jack Rose
SMD: 1C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2007 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	7 am - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	7 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-082062 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Kookoovaya, Inc
Trade Name: We, The Pizza
SMD: 6B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

305 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 5 pm	11 am - 5 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 5 pm	11 am - 5 pm
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-082474 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: LHO Tom Joad Circle DC Lessee, LLC
Trade Name: Hotel Zena / Figleaf Bar Lounge / Hedy's Rooftop
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1155 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	24 hrs	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-016192 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Paradise PPD, LLC
Trade Name: Pizzeria Paradiso
SMD: 2B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2000 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Monday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-075526 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Jefferson Beverage Services, LLC
Trade Name: The Jefferson Hotel
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1200 16TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	24 hours	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	24 hours	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	24 hours	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 12 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-083769 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: BCI Food Services, LLC
Trade Name: Garden District
SMD: 1B08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1801 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 1:30 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 2:30 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 2:30 am	11 am - 2 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 1:30 am
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 1:30 am
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 1:30 am
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 2:30 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 2:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-083794 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: The Knowles Marr Group, LLC
Trade Name: Pete's New Haven Style Apizza
SMD: 3E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

4940 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 110 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-086010 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: Clyde's Management, LLC
Trade Name: The Hamilton
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

600 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	8 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-086295 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Medium Rare Cleveland Park, LLC
Trade Name: Medium Rare
SMD: 3C06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3500 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 11:30 pm	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-088198 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Georgetown Washington DC Inn, LLC
Trade Name: Georgetown Inn-1310 Kitchen and Bar
SMD: 2E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1310 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	6 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am
Monday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am
Thursday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am
Friday:	6 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	6 am - 3 am
Saturday:	6 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	6 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-088499 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: CHH Capital Tenant Corp.
Trade Name: The Capital Hilton Hotel
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1001 16TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	24 hrs - 24 hrs	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-088504 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 1541 Q LLC
Trade Name: Etto
SMD: 2F03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1541 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8am - 1:30am	8am - 1am	-
Monday:	8am - 1:30am	8am - 1am	-
Tuesday:	8am - 1:30am	8am - 1am	-
Wednesday:	8am - 1:30am	8am - 1am	-
Thursday:	8am - 1:30am	8am - 1am	-
Friday:	8am - 2:30am	8am - 2am	-
Saturday:	8am - 2:30am	8am - 2am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-089362 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Phoenix 78, LLC
Trade Name: Sprig & Sprout
SMD: 3B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2317 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-089438 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Grace Japanese Corporation
Trade Name: Umi Japanese Cuisine
SMD: 3C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2625 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 10:30 pm	11 am - 10:30 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-090174 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Yada Group Inc
Trade Name: Aroi Thai Cuisine
SMD: 5E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1832 1ST STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 10pm	11am - 10pm	-
Monday:	11am - 10pm	11am - 10pm	-
Tuesday:	11am - 10pm	11am - 10pm	-
Wednesday:	11am - 10pm	11am - 10pm	-
Thursday:	11am - 10pm	11am - 10pm	-
Friday:	11am - 10:30pm	11am - 10:30pm	-
Saturday:	11am - 10:30pm	11am - 10:30pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30am - 10pm	11:30am - 10pm
Monday:	11:30am - 10pm	11:30am - 10pm
Tuesday:	11:30am - 10pm	11:30am - 10pm
Wednesday:	11:30am - 10pm	11:30am - 10pm
Thursday:	11:30am - 10pm	11:30am - 10pm
Friday:	11:30am - 10:30pm	11:30am - 10:30pm
Saturday:	11:30am - 10:30pm	11:30am - 10:30pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-090189 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Abdo F St., LLC
Trade Name: Hotel Hive
SMD: 2A05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2224 F STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	24 - HOURS	8 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	6 am - 12 am
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	6 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	6 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	6 am - 12 am
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	6 am - 12 am
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	6 am - 1 am
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	6 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-090240 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Balkan Concepts, LLC
Trade Name: Ambar
SMD: 6B03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

523 8TH STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 2am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Monday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Tuesday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Wednesday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Thursday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Friday:	9am - 3am	9am - 3am	9am - 3am
Saturday:	9am - 3am	9am - 3am	9am - 3am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	9am - 11pm (VA)	9am - 2am
Monday:	9am - 11pm (VA)	9am - 2am
Tuesday:	9am - 11pm (VA)	9am - 2am
Wednesday:	9am - 11pm (VA)	9am - 2am
Thursday:	9am - 11pm (VA)	9am - 2am
Friday:	9am - 12am (VA)	9am - 3am
Saturday:	9am - 12am (VA)	9am - 3am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-090806 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Centeno's Restaurant, Inc.
Trade Name: Centeno's Restaurant
SMD: 4D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

827 KENNEDY STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20011

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 4 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 4 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-091162 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Watergate Hotel Lessee, LLC
Trade Name: Watergate Hotel
SMD: 2A04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2650 VIRGINIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	24 Hours - 24 Hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Monday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Tuesday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Wednesday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Thursday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Friday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA
Saturday:	See SA - See SA	See SA - See SA

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-091608 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Krung-Thep Washington, LLC
Trade Name: SOI 38
SMD: 2A06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2101 L STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Monday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Tuesday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Wednesday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Thursday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Monday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Tuesday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Wednesday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Thursday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Friday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm
Saturday:	11:30am - 11:30pm	11:30am - 11:30pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-092041 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's of Tenleytown, LLC
Trade Name: Nando's Peri Peri
SMD: 3E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

4231 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-092731 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: Wagshal's 3201 LLC
Trade Name: Wagshal's
SMD: 3B06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3201 NEW MEXICO AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Monday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Thursday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Friday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-
Saturday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Monday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Tuesday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Wednesday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Thursday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Friday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm
Saturday:	8 am - 9 pm	8 am - 9 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-092802 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's at the Yards LLC
Trade Name: Nando's Peri Peri
SMD: 8F02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

300 TINGEY STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 111pm
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-093706 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: La Piquette LLC
Trade Name: La Piquette
SMD: 3A02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3712 MACOMB STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-093846 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: L'Enfant DC Hotel, LLC
Trade Name: Hilton Washington DC/National Mall
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

480 L'ENFANT PLAZA SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours - 24 hours	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Monday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Friday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Saturday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-095700 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Chaplin Restaurant DC, LLC
Trade Name: Chaplin's
SMD: 2G02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1501 9TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6:30 pm - 1 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6:30 pm - 1 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am
Monday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am
Thursday:	10 am - 1:30 am	10 am - 1:30 am
Friday:	10 am - 2:30 am	10 am - 2:30 am
Saturday:	10 am - 2:30 am	10 am - 2:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-095739 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 418 7th Street LLC
Trade Name: China Chilcano
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

418 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Monday:	7am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Tuesday:	7am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Wednesday:	7am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Thursday:	7am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Friday:	7am - 3am	8am - 3am	-
Saturday:	7am - 3am	8am - 3am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-097479 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: OutpostDC, LLC
Trade Name: Abakedjoint
SMD: 6E01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

430 K STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	6 pm - 11 pm
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	6 pm - 11 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	6 pm - 11 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	6 pm - 11 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	6 pm - 11 pm
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	6 pm - 12 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Monday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Friday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-097672 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: BCDC, LLC
Trade Name: Bonchon
SMD: 8F04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1015 HALF STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 2 am	11:30 am - 2 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11:30 am - 8 pm	11:30 am - 8 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11:30 am - 12 am	11:30 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-097889 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's of H Street, LLC
Trade Name: Nando's Peri-Peri
SMD: 6C04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

411 H STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-098364 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Chef AmyB LLC
Trade Name: Centrolina
SMD: 2C04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

974 PALMER ALLEY NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Monday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Tuesday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Wednesday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Thursday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Friday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-
Saturday:	6am - 12:30am	8am - 12:30am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Monday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Tuesday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Wednesday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Thursday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Friday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm
Saturday:	8am - 11pm	8am - 11pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-100297 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Hiwot Ethiopian Restaurant & Market, LLC
Trade Name: Hiwot Ethiopian Restaurant Market and Dream Lounge
SMD: 4D03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

5333 GEORGIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20011

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 3am	10 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-100490 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Dreamogawa, Inc.
Trade Name: Sushi Ogawa
SMD: 2D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2100 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-100631 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Meskerem Abebe, LLC
Trade Name: Right Spot
SMD: 1B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1917 9TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	12 pm - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	12 pm - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-100647 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Farmers & Distillers DC, LLC
Trade Name: Farmers & Distillers/Founding Spirits Distillery
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

600 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7 am - 11:30 pm	7 am - 11:30 pm
Monday:	7 am - 11:30 pm	7 am - 11:30 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 11:30 pm	7 am - 11:30 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 11:30 pm	7 am - 11:30 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 11:30 pm	7 am - 11:30 pm
Friday:	7 am - 1 am	7 am - 1 am
Saturday:	7 am - 1 am	7 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-100804 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 1547 7th Ventures, LLC
Trade Name: Ambar
SMD: 2G03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1547 - 1549 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-101200 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: HHLP Georgetown Lessee LLC
Trade Name: Ritz Carlton Georgetown
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3100 SOUTH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	7:30 pm - 11 pm
Saturday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	7:30 pm - 11 pm

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-101302 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Harvest Eats DC LLC
Trade Name: Jinya Ramen Bar
SMD: 2F04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1336 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-102592 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Dock 79 Restaurant LLC
Trade Name: The Salt Line
SMD: 8F02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

79 POTOMAC AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 12 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 12 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 12 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 1 am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 1 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Monday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Thursday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-102915 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's Of Woodley Park, LLC
Trade Name: Nando's Peri-Peri
SMD: 3C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2631 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-102985 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Dupont Hotel Project Owner, LLC
Trade Name: The Washington Hilton
SMD: 1C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1919 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	6 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	6 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	6 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-103055 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: E and K Inc.
Trade Name: Champion Kitchen
SMD: 4A02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

7730 GEORGIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20012

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-103519 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: ATH, LLC
Trade Name: Artechouse
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1250 MARYLAND AVENUE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-103856 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Waterfront Pizzeria LLC
Trade Name: All Purpose
SMD: 8F02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

79 POTOMAC AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 3:00 am	8 am - 3:00 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 3:00 am	8 am - 3:00 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 12:00 am	8 am - 12:00 am
Monday:	8 am - 12:00 am	8 am - 12:00 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 12:00 am	8 am - 12:00 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 12:00 am	8 am - 12:00 am
Thursday:	8 am - 12:00 am	8 am - 12:00 am
Friday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2:00 am	8 am - 2:00 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-104498 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.
Trade Name: Whole Foods Market
SMD: 6C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

600 H STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Monday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm
Saturday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	9 am - 10:30 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-104594 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Alley Cats Hospitality, LLC
Trade Name: Calico
SMD: 2G04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

50 BLAGDEN ALLEY NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	8 am - 10:30 pm
Monday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	8 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	8 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	8 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	8 am - 10:30 pm	8 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	8 am - 12:30 am	8 am - 12:30 am
Saturday:	8 am - 12:30 am	8 am - 12:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-105439 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 1291 Hospitality Group, LLC
Trade Name: Stable DC
SMD: 6A01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1324 H STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am	6 pm - 2 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 1:30 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-105564 **License Class/Type: C Multipurpose**
Applicant: DLS Events, LLC
Trade Name: DLS Events
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Monday:	8am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Tuesday:	8am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Wednesday:	8am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Thursday:	8am - 2am	8am - 2am	-
Friday:	8am - 3am	8am - 3am	-
Saturday:	8am - 3am	8am - 3am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-105743 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Sushi Hachi, Inc.
Trade Name: Sushi Hachi
SMD: 6B03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

735 8TH STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-105885 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Hank's on the Wharf, LLC
Trade Name: Hank's Oyster Bar
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

701 WHARF STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:00 am - 2:00 am	11:00 am - 2:00 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 2:00 am	11:30 am - 2:00 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 2:00 am	11:30 am - 2:00 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 2:00 am	11:30 am - 2:00 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 2:00 am	11:30 am - 2:00 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 3:00 am	11:30 am - 2:00 am	-
Saturday:	11:00 am - 3:00 am	11:00 am - 2 :00 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11:00 am - 12:00 am	11:00 am - 12:00 am
Monday:	11:30 am - 12:00 am	11:30 am - 12:00 am
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 12:00 am	11:30 am - 12:00 am
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 12:00 am	11:30 am - 12:00 am
Thursday:	11:30 am - 12:00 am	11:30 am - 12:00 am
Friday:	11:30 am - 1:00 am	11:30 am - 1:00 am
Saturday:	11:00 am - 1:00 am	11:00 am - 1:00 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-106046 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: SRG Waterfront, LLC
Trade Name: La Vie
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

88 DISTRICT SQUARE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	4 pm - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 1 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 1 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-106978 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Pantry Thai, LLC
Trade Name: Pantry Thai Bistro & Grocer
SMD: 4C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3716 GEORGIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20010

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	n/a -
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	n/a -
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	n/a -
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	n/a -
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	n/a -
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	7 pm - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	7 pm - 11 pm

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-107308 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: M & A Hospitality, LLC
Trade Name: Cork Wine Bar & Market
SMD: 1B08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1805 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am
Monday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am
Thursday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am
Friday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am
Saturday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-107578 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Cantina Calle N LLC
Trade Name: Republic Cantina
SMD: 5E01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

57 N STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm
Monday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm
Friday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-108110 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: TB Capitol Hill LLC
Trade Name: Ted's Bulletin
SMD: 6B03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

505 8TH STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Friday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	7 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-108392 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Communal Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Prost
SMD: 6E01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

919 5TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9:30 am - 2 am	9:30 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	9:30 am - 3 am	9:30 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-108842 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: S & H 6, Inc./ Andy's Pizza NOMA LLC
Trade Name: Streets Market/ Andy's Pizza NOMA
SMD: 6E04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

51 M STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Monday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Thursday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Friday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Saturday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Monday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Thursday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Friday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Saturday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-108861 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Arepa Zone LLC
Trade Name: Arepa Zone
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1121 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 8 pm	9 am - 8 pm	-
Monday:	8 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	8 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-109295 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Paradise PPSV, LLC & M and A Hospitality
Trade Name: Pizzeria Paradiso and Cork Market
SMD: 3D02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

4850 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	9 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	9 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-109333 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: AstoriaDC, LLC
Trade Name: Astoria
SMD: 2B07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1521 17TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM
Monday:	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM
Tuesday:	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM
Wednesday:	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM
Thursday:	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM	11 AM - 2 AM
Friday:	11 AM - 3 AM	11 AM - 3 AM	11 AM - 3 AM
Saturday:	11 AM - 3 AM	11 AM - 3 AM	11 AM - 3 AM

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 AM - 11 PM	11 AM - 11 PM
Monday:	11 AM - 11 PM	11 AM - 11 PM
Tuesday:	11 AM - 11 PM	11 AM - 11 PM
Wednesday:	11 AM - 11 PM	11 AM - 11 PM
Thursday:	11 AM - 11 PM	11 AM - 11 PM
Friday:	11 AM - 12 AM	11 AM - 12 AM
Saturday:	11 AM - 12 AM	11 AM - 12 AM

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-109360 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Steakhouse DC, LLC
Trade Name: Rare Steakhouse & Tavern
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1595 I STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	7 am - 3 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	7 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-110432 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: Whole Foods Market Group, Inc.
Trade Name: Whole Foods Market Group
SMD: 8F03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

101 H STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Monday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Friday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	7 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-110437 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Pitchers, LLC
Trade Name: Pitchers/A League of Her Own
SMD: 1C07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2317 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 1:45 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Monday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 1:45 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 1:45 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 1:45 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Thursday:	12 pm - 2 am	12 pm - 1:45 am	8 pm - 1:30 am
Friday:	12 pm - 3 am	12 pm - 2:45 am	8 pm - 2:30 am
Saturday:	12 pm - 3 am	12 pm - 2:45 am	8 pm - 2:30 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	12 pm - 11:30 pm	* SEE - SA SETTLEMENT - AGREEMENT - FOR - THE 2 - SUMMER GARDEN - HOURS -
Monday:	12 pm - 11:30 pm	
Tuesday:	12 pm - 11:30 pm	
Wednesday:	12 pm - 11:30 pm	
Thursday:	12 pm - 11:30 pm	
Friday:	12 pm - 1 am	
Saturday:	12 pm - 1 am	

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-111308 **License Class/Type: C Railroad**
Applicant: National Railroad Passenger Corporation
Trade Name: Amtrak
SMD: 5C05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1401 W STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20018

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	10:01 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	12 am - 11:59 pm	8 am - 12 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112130 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Woodbine Legacy/815 14th DC Owner, LLC
Trade Name: Hilton Garden Inn Washington DC
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

815 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hr - 24 hr	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	24 hr - 24 hr	8 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112211 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Midtown Center Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Dauphine's
SMD: 2C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1100 - 1102 15TH NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Monday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Thursday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112252 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: M & S Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Station 4
SMD: 6D04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1101 4TH STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	9 pm - 1:30 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 1:30 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 1:30 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 1:30 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	9 pm - 1:30 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	9 pm - 2:30 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	9 pm - 2:30 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112800 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Tamak SPN, LLC
Trade Name: Cranes
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

724 9TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-113885 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: El Camino, LLC
Trade Name: El Camino
SMD: 5E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

108 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Monday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Friday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Saturday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-114225 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Chef AmyB, LLC
Trade Name: Piccolina
SMD: 2C04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

963 PALMER AL NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Monday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Tuesday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Wednesday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Thursday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Friday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-
Saturday:	7:30am - 10pm	10:30am - 10pm	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Monday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Tuesday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Wednesday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Thursday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Friday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm
Saturday:	7:30am - 9:30pm	10:30am - 9:30pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-114545 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: S(WDC) LLC
Trade Name: Swingers
SMD: 2B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1333 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-114693 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Rumi's DC, LLC
Trade Name: Rumi's Kitchen
SMD: 2G06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

640 L STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-114703 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: 2121 M Street, LLC
Trade Name: Hyatt Place Washington DC/Georgetown/West End
SMD: 2A06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2121 M STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Monday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Tuesday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Wednesday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Thursday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Friday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-
Saturday:	24 hr	10am - 12am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10am - 10pm	10am - 10pm
Monday:	10am - 10pm	10am - 10pm
Tuesday:	10am - 10pm	10am - 10pm
Wednesday:	10am - 10pm	10am - 10pm
Thursday:	10am - 10pm	10am - 10pm
Friday:	10am - 11pm	10am - 11pm
Saturday:	10am - 11pm	10am - 11pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-114984 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: King Street Oyster Bar DC, LLC
Trade Name: King Street Oyster Bar
SMD: 6E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

22 M STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	10:30 am - 2 am	10:30 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-115488 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nostra Cucina LLC
Trade Name: Nostra Cucina
SMD: 6C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

320 D STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-115504 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Gypsy Kitchen D.C., LLC
Trade Name: Gypsy Kitchen
SMD: 1B08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1825 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Saturday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-115590 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: MOMO NY LLC
Trade Name: MOMO
SMD: 6D04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1001 4TH STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-115907 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: SUPRA 2, LLC
Trade Name: Tabla
SMD: 1E02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3227 GEORGIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20010

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	9 am - 1 am	9 am - 1 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Monday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am
Friday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am
Saturday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-116230 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 316 MA, LLC
Trade Name: Bistro Cacao
SMD: 6C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

316 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 pm - 10 pm	12 pm - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	12 pm - 10 pm	12 pm - 10 pm
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm
Friday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-116631 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: MPHAV, LLC.
Trade Name: La Plaza
SMD: 4C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3917 14TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20011

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 11:59pm
Monday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 11:59pm
Tuesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 11:59pm
Wednesday:	7 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 11:59pm
Thursday:	7 am - 2 am	10am - 2 am	10 am - 11:59pm
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 1 am
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 1 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Monday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Thursday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Friday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Saturday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-116925 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: GothWine Limited Liability Company
Trade Name: Apero DC
SMD: 2E06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2622 P STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Monday:	6am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Tuesday:	6am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Wednesday:	6am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Thursday:	6am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Friday:	7am - 1am	11am - 1am	-
Saturday:	7am - 1am	11am - 1am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	9am - 10pm	11am - 10pm
Monday:	8am - 10pm	11am - 10pm
Tuesday:	8am - 10pm	11am - 10pm
Wednesday:	8am - 10pm	11am - 10pm
Thursday:	8am - 10pm	11am - 10pm
Friday:	8am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Saturday:	8am - 11pm	11am - 11pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-117404 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Treehouse 2473, LLC
Trade Name: Air Restaurant
SMD: 1C07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2473 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	5 pm - 1:45am
Monday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	Closed - Closed
Tuesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	Closed - Closed
Wednesday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am	Closed - Closed
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	5 pm - 1:45 am
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	5 pm - 2:45am
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	5 pm - 2:45 pm

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Monday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Thursday:	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Friday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am
Saturday:	8 am - 1 am	8 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-117511 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Tabu DC, LLC
Trade Name: Nara-Ya
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

88 DISTRICT SQUARE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	4 pm - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 2 am	4 pm - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-117582 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Mendelsohn 301, LLC
Trade Name: Santa Rosa Taqueria
SMD: 6B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

301 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-117620 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Jiaotasidi, LLC
Trade Name: Basebowl
SMD: 8F02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1201 HALF STREET SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20003

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-118085 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: IFood UM, LLC
Trade Name: Immigrant Food
SMD: 5D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1309 5TH STREET NE, UNIT 420, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-118744 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Washington DC Noma Operations LLC
Trade Name: citizenM
SMD: 6E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1222 1ST STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12am - 12a	8am - 2am	8am - 2am
Monday:	12am - 12am	8am - 2am	8am - 2am
Tuesday:	12am - 12am	8am - 2am	8am - 2am
Wednesday:	12am - 12am	8am - 2am	8am - 2am
Thursday:	12am - 12am	8am - 2am	8am - 2am
Friday:	12am - 12am	8am - 3am	8am - 3am
Saturday:	12am - 12am	8am - 3am	8am - 3am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-119246 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Hotel DC TRS LLC
Trade Name: Hotel Washington
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

515 15TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hours	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours	8 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	24 hours	8 am - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	24 hours -	10 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hours -	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hours -	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-119312 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Lydia on H LLC
Trade Name: Lydia Restaurant & Lounge
SMD: 6A06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1427 H STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 11 pm
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 12 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120148 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Cocineros, LLC
Trade Name: La Cocina
SMD: 5B05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3740 12TH STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20017

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Monday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	10 am - 10 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Friday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	10 am - 11 pm	10 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120259 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Golden Cat, LLC
Trade Name: Almeda
SMD: 4C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

828 UPSHUR STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20011

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120316 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: JBA 1, Inc.
Trade Name: Bombay Street Food 3
SMD: 2B08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1915 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120426 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 1842 Restaurant Company LLC
Trade Name: Vagabond
SMD: 2B08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1836 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2am
Monday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am
Thursday:	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am	9 am - 2 am
Friday:	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 3 am
Saturday:	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 3 am	9 am - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Monday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm
Friday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am
Saturday:	9 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120450 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Martayya, LLC
Trade Name: Yosaku Japanese Restaurant
SMD: 3E03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

4712 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	11:30 am - 10:30 pm	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 11:30 pm	11:30 am - 11:30 pm	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 11:30 pm	11:30 am - 11:30 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm
Monday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm
Tuesday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm
Wednesday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm
Thursday:	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm	5:30 pm - 10:30 pm
Friday:	5:30 pm - 11 pm	5:30 pm - 11 pm
Saturday:	5:30 pm - 11 pm	5:30 pm - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-120971 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Thammasathiti, LLC
Trade Name: Sura
SMD: 2B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2016 P STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 pm - 1 am	12 pm - 1 am	-
Monday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Tuesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Wednesday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Thursday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Friday:	11:30 am - 1 am	11:30 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	12 pm - 1 am	12 pm - 1 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121216 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Philippe DC, LLC
Trade Name: Philippe by Philippe Chow/ Merchants Marina
SMD: 6D06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

635 WHARF STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	11am - 2am
Monday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	11am - 2am
Tuesday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	11am - 2am
Wednesday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	11am - 2am
Thursday:	9am - 2am	9am - 2am	11am - 2am
Friday:	9am - 3am	9am - 3am	11am - 3am
Saturday:	9am - 3am	9am - 3am	11am - 3am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	9am - 2am	9 am - 2am
Monday:	9am - 2am	9 am - 2am
Tuesday:	9am - 2am	9 am - 2am
Wednesday:	9am - 2am	9 am - 2am
Thursday:	9am - 2am	9 am - 2am
Friday:	9am - 3am	9 am - 2am
Saturday:	9am - 3am	9 am - 2am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121278 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: 2815 M St., LLC
Trade Name: Villa Yara
SMD: 2E06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2815 M STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9am - 4am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Monday:	9am - 4am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Tuesday:	9am - 4am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Wednesday:	9am - 4am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Thursday:	9am - 4am	9am - 2am	9am - 2am
Friday:	9am - 4am	9am - 3am	9am - 3am
Saturday:	9am - 4am	9am - 3am	9am - 3am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	9am - 10pm	9am - 10pm
Monday:	9am - 10pm	9am - 10pm
Tuesday:	9am - 10pm	9am - 10pm
Wednesday:	9am - 10pm	9am - 10pm
Thursday:	9am - 10pm	9am - 10pm
Friday:	9am - 12am	9am - 12am
Saturday:	9am - 12am	9am - 12am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121423 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: GRNA FC of DC LLC
Trade Name: Gordon Ramsay Fish & Chips
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

665 WHARF STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm	-
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Monday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Tuesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Wednesday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Thursday:	11am - 11pm	11am - 11pm
Friday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Saturday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121424 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: GRNA HK of DC LLC
Trade Name: Hell's Kitchen
SMD: 6D01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

652 WHARF STREET SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20024

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am	11am - 1am
Monday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am	11am - 1am
Tuesday:	11am - 1am	11m - 1am	11am - 1am
Wednesday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am	11am - 1am
Thursday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am	11am - 1am
Friday:	11am - 2am	11am - 2am	11am - 2am
Saturday:	11am - 2am	1am - 2am	11am - 2am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11am - 11am	11am - 12am
Monday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Tuesday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Wednesday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Thursday:	11am - 12am	11am - 12am
Friday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am
Saturday:	11am - 1am	11am - 1am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121741 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: GRNA SP of DC LLC
Trade Name: Street Pizza
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

507 7TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Monday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12am	11 am - 12 am
Thursday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-121955 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Taco Jont, LLC
Trade Name: La Tejana
SMD: 1D04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3211 MOUNT PLEASANT STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20010

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-
Saturday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Monday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Friday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	7:30 am - 10 pm	8 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122153 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Peconut, Inc.
Trade Name: Donsak Thai Restaurant
SMD: 3C02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2608 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10pm	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Monday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 10 pm	11 am - 10 pm
Friday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122558 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Highlands 2, LLC
Trade Name: The Highlands Cafe and Grill
SMD: 7B04

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3220 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE SE, WASHINGTON, DC 20020

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 6 pm	8 am - 6 pm	8 am - 6 pm
Monday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Thursday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Friday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Saturday:	7:30 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122856 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Nando's Restaurant Group, Inc.
Trade Name: Nando's Peri Peri
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

836 F STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	10:30 am - 11 pm	10:30 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	10:30 am - 11 pm	10:30 am - 11 pm	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm
Monday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	10:30 am - 10 pm	10:30 am - 10 pm
Friday:	10:30 am - 11 pm	10:30 am - 11 pm
Saturday:	10:30 am - 11 pm	10:30 am - 11 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122904 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Bazaar Penn, LLC
Trade Name: The Bazaar by Jose Andres
SMD: 2C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20004

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	6 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	6 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	6 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	6 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	6 am - 2 am	6 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	6 am - 3 am	6 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	6 am - 3 am	6 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122967 **License Class/Type: D Restaurant**
Applicant: Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. .
Trade Name: Whole Foods Market
SMD: 4A03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

7130 12TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20012

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Monday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Friday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-
Saturday:	7 am - 10 pm	7 am - 10 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-123113 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Bar Del Monte, LLC
Trade Name: Bar Del Monte
SMD: 1D05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

3054 MOUNT PLEASANT STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-
Saturday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Monday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Tuesday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Wednesday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Thursday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Friday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm
Saturday:	5 pm - 10 pm	5 pm - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-123230 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Omni Shoreham Corporation
Trade Name: The Shoreham Hotel
SMD: 3C07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2500 CALVERT STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20008

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 Hours	10 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 Hours	8 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 Hours	8 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 Hours	8 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-123472 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Kitchen + Kocktails by Kevin Kelley DC, LLC
Trade Name: Kitchen + Kocktails by Kevin Kelley DC
SMD: 2C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1300 I STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2am	6 pm - 2 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Monday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Thursday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Friday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Saturday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-123609 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: TB NoMa, LLC
Trade Name: Ted's Bulletin
SMD: 6E07

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1005 1ST STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Sidewalk Cafe Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours of Summer Garden Operation
Sunday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	8 am - 11 pm	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-124717 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Balos LLC
Trade Name: Balos Estiatorio
SMD: 2B06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1900 N ST NW, STE 10, WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Monday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Thursday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Friday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am
Saturday:	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am	11 am - 1 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Monday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	11 am - 11 pm	11 am - 11 pm
Friday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am
Saturday:	11 am - 12 am	11 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-124738 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Kalu Seasoning Blends, LLC
Trade Name: Trini Vybez
SMD: 1A02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1400 MERIDIAN PLACE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20010

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 12am	7 am - 12 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	7 am - 12 am
Friday:	7 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am
Saturday:	7 am - 2 am	7 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-126421 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: Quadrum DC F&B LLC / Chiringuito LLC
Trade Name: Arlo Washington DC/Arrels/A.R.T. DC Bodega by Arrels
SMD: 6E08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

333 G STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Cover Charge Dancing Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hrs	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hrs	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hrs	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 2 am
Monday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 2 am
Thursday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 2 am
Friday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 3 am
Saturday:	24 hrs -	8 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-127138 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Tiki Garden, LLC
Trade Name: Tiki Garden
SMD: 6A01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

802 13TH STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20002

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-127354 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Bar Rado, LLC
Trade Name: Bar Cana
SMD: 1C03

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2412 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Monday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	6 pm - 12 am
Friday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am
Saturday:	10 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	6 pm - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-128106 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Addie Loves Soul Food, LLC
Trade Name: Addie Loves Soul Food Restaurant
SMD: 7C05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

410 61ST STREET NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20019

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:		-	-
Monday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	7 am - 11 pm	7 am - 11 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-128203 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Kusshi Georgetown, LLC
Trade Name: Kusshi
SMD: 3B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2309 WISCONSIN AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	8 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	8 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-128321 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: TB Cantina, LLC
Trade Name: Taco Bell Cantina
SMD: 2F01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1412 U STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	7 am - 3 am	10 am - 3 am	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-129134 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Flight Club D.C., LLC
Trade Name: Flight Club Social Darts
SMD: 2G06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

641 NEW YORK AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am	10 am - 12 am
Monday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Tuesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Wednesday:	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am	10 am - 1 am
Thursday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Friday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am
Saturday:	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am	10 am - 2 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-129229 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Squash on Fire Restaurant, LLC
Trade Name: Squash on Fire
SMD: 2A06

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2233 M STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	5 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Monday:	5 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Tuesday:	5 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Wednesday:	5 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Thursday:	5 am - 2 am	8 am - 2 am	-
Friday:	5 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-
Saturday:	5 am - 3 am	8 am - 3 am	-

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	5 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Monday:	5 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Tuesday:	5 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Wednesday:	5 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Thursday:	5 am - 11 pm	8 am - 11 pm
Friday:	5 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am
Saturday:	5 am - 12 am	8 am - 12 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-129470 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Red Bird 11 LLC
Trade Name: HER Diner
SMD: 1C01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

2004 18TH STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20009

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Monday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Tuesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Wednesday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Thursday:	11 am - 2 am	11 am - 2 am
Friday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am
Saturday:	11 am - 3 am	11 am - 3 am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-129975 **License Class/Type: C Hotel**
Applicant: EAHG DC TRS, LLC & High Street Hospitality Group DC, LLC
Trade Name: Hotel AKA Washington Circle/ a.kitchen+bar DC
SMD: 2A08

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1 WASHINGTON CIRCLE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20037

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Summer Garden

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	24 hrs - 24hrs	6am - 2am	8am - 2am
Monday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 2am	8am - 2am
Tuesday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 2am	8am - 2am
Wednesday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 2am	8am - 2am
Thursday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 2am	8am - 2am
Friday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 3am	8am - 3am
Saturday:	24hrs - 24hrs	6am - 3am	8am - 3am

	Hours of Summer Garden Operation	Hours of Sales Summer Garden
Sunday:	10am - 12am	10am - 12am
Monday:	8am - 12am	8am - 12am
Tuesday:	8am - 12am	8am - 12am
Wednesday:	8am - 12am	8am - 12am
Thursday:	8am - 12am	8am - 12am
Friday:	8am - 1am	8am - 1am
Saturday:	8am - 1am	8am - 1am

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-130357 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: BW3, LLC
Trade Name: la Betty
SMD: 6E01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

420 K STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

ENDORSEMENT(S): Entertainment Sidewalk Cafe

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Monday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Tuesday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Wednesday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Thursday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Friday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am
Saturday:	7 am - 12 am	9 am - 12 am	12 pm - 12 am

	Hours Of Sidewalk Cafe Operation	Hours Of Sales Sidewalk Cafe
Sunday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Monday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Friday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	9 am - 10 pm	9 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-131166 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Rimtang Restaurant Group LLC
Trade Name: Rimtang DC
SMD: 2E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

1039 33RD STREET NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20007

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	12 pm - 9 pm	12 pm - 9 pm	-
Monday:	Closed	Closed -	-
Tuesday:	12 pm - 10 pm	12 pm - 10 pm	-
Wednesday:	12 pm - 10 pm	12 pm - 10 pm	-
Thursday:	12 pm - 10 pm	12 pm - 10 pm	-
Friday:	12 pm - 10:30 pm	12 pm - 10:30 pm	-
Saturday:	11:30 am - 11 pm	11:30 am - 11 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
4/4/2025

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-122081 **License Class/Type: B Retail - Grocery**
Applicant: Jae In Lee
Trade Name: Congress Market
SMD: 6B02

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

421 EAST CAPITOL STREET SE

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:
5/19/2025

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
6/9/2025

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service
Sunday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	10 am - 10 pm
Monday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Tuesday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Wednesday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Thursday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Friday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm
Saturday:	7:30 am - 11 pm	9 am - 10 pm

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-128924
Licensee:	Creep Clothing LLC
Trade Name:	N/A
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	2924 Minnesota Avenue S.E. Unit B
Contact:	Yuri Vielot;202-820-3046;yvielot2015@icloud.com

WARD 7 ANC 7B SMD 7B01

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at **2924 Minnesota Avenue S.E.** Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-A, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 23, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations **2924 Minnesota Avenue S.E.** proposed facility with approximately 787 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting the following endorsements: Delivery.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 8:00 am – 11:00 pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Sunday – Saturday 9:00 am – 10:00 pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 9:00 am – 9:00 pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-128406
Licensee:	DC Garden Hill LLC
Trade Name:	N/A
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	1315 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.
Contact:	Mark Lumpkins;202-853-5077;DC.Gardenhill@gmail.com

WARD 6 ANC 6B SMD 6B02

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at **1315 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.** Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-A, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 23, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations **1315 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.** proposed facility with approximately 660 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting the following endorsements: Delivery.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 9:00 am – 7:00 pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Sunday – Saturday 10:00 am – 7:00 pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 10:00 am – 6:00 pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

****RESCIND****

Placard Posting Date:	March 21, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 5, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	May 27, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 9, 2025
License No.:	ABRA-127951
Licensee:	Growth & Wellness, LLC
Trade Name:	District Wellness Center
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	2720 Georgia Ave N.W.
Retailer Contact:	Raj Lal: (202) 437-0536; rajlal628@gmail.com

WARD 1 ANC 1E SMD 1E05

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at 2720 Georgia Ave N.W. Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for May 27, 2025, at 10 a.m., 4th Floor, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20009**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 9, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations at 2720 Georgia Ave N.W. The proposed facility with approximately 1,200 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting delivery, education tasting, safe treatment facility, summer garden endorsement.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Sunday-Saturday 7am – 11pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Sunday-Saturday 7am – 10pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY

Sunday-Saturday 7am – 9pm

HOURS OF SERVICE/CONSUMTION

Sunday-Saturday 7am – 10pm

HOURS OF RECORDED MUSIC

Sunday-Saturday 7am – 10pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
License No.:	ABRA-129639
Licensee:	Epic Lounge Inc.
Trade Name:	Epic Restaurant & Lounge
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	4809 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
Contact:	Anteneh Fantaye: (202) 361-2961, comfortride2024@yahoo.com

WARD 4 ANC 4D SMD 4D04

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has requested a Substantial Change to their license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline.

NATURE OF SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE
Request for a Change of Hours of Operation and Live Entertainment.

CURRENT HOURS OF OPERATION
Sunday through Thursday 8am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 7am – 3am

CURRENT HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERGAE SALES SERVICE AND CONSUMPTION
Sunday through Thursday 11am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 11am – 3am

CURRENT HOURS OF LIVE ENTERTAINMENT
Sunday through Thursday 10am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 10am – 3am

PROPOSED HOURS OF OPERATION AND LIVE ENTERTAINMENT
Sunday through Saturday 8am – 6am

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025
License No.:	ABRA-131565
Licensee:	Tropical Roots, LLC
Trade Name:	La Dona
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	3103 Mount Pleasant Street, N.W.
Contact:	Jeff Jackson: (202) 251-1566, jjharlem112@gmail.com

WARD 1 ANC 1D SMD 1D04

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has applied for a new license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled on **July 23, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.**

NATURE OF OPERATION

A Tavern serving American-style foods. Total Occupancy Load inside the premises is 20 with seating for 20. Sidewalk Café with seating for 10. Entertainment Endorsement with Dancing inside the premises only. Streatery Endorsement with 10 seats. Carry-out and Delivery Endorsement.

HOURS OF OPERATION AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR INSIDE PREMISES

Sunday through Thursday 8am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 8am – 3am

HOURS OF OPERATION AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR THE SIDEWALK CAFÉ

Sunday through Thursday 8am – 12am, Friday and Saturday 8am – 2am

HOURS OF LIVE ENTERTAINMENT INSIDE OF THE PREMISES

Sunday through Thursday 6pm – 2am, Friday and Saturday 6pm – 3am

HOURS OF OPERATION AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR THE STREATERY

Sunday through Thursday 8am – 12am, Friday and Saturday 8am to 1am

HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CARRY-OUT AND DELIVERY

Sunday through Saturday 11am – 12am

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-131411
Licensee:	Alpaca, LLC
Trade Name:	Llamabar
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Restaurant
Address:	1 M Street, S.E., Suite 110
Contact:	Frank Knizner, Esq.: (571) 895-2853, frank.knizner@bevlaw.com

WARD 8 ANC 8F SMD 8F02

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has requested a Substantial Change to their license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline.

NATURE OF SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE
Licensee is requesting an Entertainment Endorsement.

HOURS OF OPERATION, HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION, AND HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CARRY–OUT AND DELIVERY
Sunday through Saturday 8am – 7pm

PROPOSED HOURS OF LIVE ENTERTAINMENT
Sunday through Saturday 10am – 7pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
****3/28/2025**

****RESCIND**

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112153 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Menomale Noma, LLC
Trade Name: Menomale
SMD: **5E01

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

35 N STREET **NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

****5/12/2025**

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
****6/2/2025**

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ON
****4/4/2025**

****READVERTISEMENT**

Notice is hereby given that:
License Number: ABRA-112153 **License Class/Type: C Restaurant**
Applicant: Menomale Noma, LLC
Trade Name: Menomale
SMD: **6E05

Has applied for the renewal of an alcoholic beverage license at the premises:

35 N STREET **NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20001

PETITIONS/LETTERS OF OPPOSITION OR SUPPORT MUST BE FILED ON OR BEFORE:

****5/19/2025**

A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON:
****6/9/2025**

AT 10:00 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002

Days	Hours of Operation	Hours of Sales/Service	Hours of Entertainment
Sunday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Monday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Tuesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Wednesday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Thursday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Friday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-
Saturday:	9 am - 11 pm	9 am - 11 pm	-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CALL: (202) 442-4423

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

**** RESCIND****

Placard Posting Date:	March 28, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 12, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 2, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 16, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-128105
Licensee:	Metro Medical LLC
Trade Name:	Metro Medz
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	2525 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.
Contact:	Perry Young;240-938-4458;perryyoung88@gmail.com

WARD 2 ANC 2A SMD 2A02

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at **2525 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.** Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for June 2, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-A, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 16, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations **2525 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.** The proposed facility with approximately 1,835 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting the following endorsements: delivery, safe-use treatment facility, summer garden, educational testing.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Thursday 8:30 am – 8:30 pm
Friday- Saturday 8:30 am – 10:30 pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Sunday – Thursday 10:00 am – 8:00 pm
Friday- Saturday 10:00 am – 10:00 pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Thursday 12:00 pm – 6:00 pm
Friday- Saturday 12:00 pm – 8:00 pm

HOURS OF SAFE-USE TREATMENT FACILITY, SUMMER GARDEN & RECORDED MUSIC

Sunday – Thursday 10:00 am – 8:00 pm
Friday- Saturday 10:00 am – 10:00 pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

****READVERTISEMENT**

Placard Posting Date:	** April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	**May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	**June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	**July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-131351
Licensee:	Fatherhood Wines, LLC
Trade Name:	Pere Wine Bar
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	7010 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
Contact:	Anthony Dale: (202) 368-4492, anthony@fatherhoodwines.com

WARD 4 ANC 4A SMD 4A03

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has applied for a new license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on **June 9, 2025 at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled on ****July 23, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.**

NATURE OF OPERATION

New Retailer's Class "C" Tavern with an indoor seating capacity of 19. Summer Garden with a total capacity of 26. Total Occupancy Load of the entire establishment is 45.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR INSIDE PREMISES AND SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday through Saturday 11am – 10pm

HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR INSIDE PREMISES AND SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday through Saturday 11am – 9:30pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

****RESCIND**

Placard Posting Date:	**February 28, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	**April 14, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	**May 5, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	**June 11, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-131351
Licensee:	Fatherhood Wines, LLC
Trade Name:	Pere Wine Bar
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	7010 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
Contact:	Anthony Dale: (202) 368-4492, anthony@fatherhoodwines.com

WARD 4 ANC 4A SMD 4A03

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has applied for a new license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on **May 5, 2025 at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled on ****June 11, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.**

NATURE OF OPERATION

New Retailer's Class "C" Tavern with an indoor seating capacity of 19. Summer Garden with a total capacity of 26. Total Occupancy Load of the entire establishment is 45.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR INSIDE PREMISES AND SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday through Saturday 11am – 10pm

HOURS OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR INSIDE PREMISES AND SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday through Saturday 11am – 9:30pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025
License No.:	ABRA-131764
Licensee:	Just for Girls, LLC
Trade Name:	Port Royal
License Class:	Retailer's Class "C" Tavern
Address:	1118 9th Street, N.W.
Contact:	Yutong Zhou: (908) 239-8737, yutong@sinobergraft.com

WARD 2 ANC 2G SMD 2G04

Notice is hereby given that this licensee has applied for a new license under the D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Act and that the objectors are entitled to be heard before the granting of such on the **Roll Call Hearing date on June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-B, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the Petition deadline. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled on **July 23, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.**

NATURE OF OPERATION

The Establishment will be a Tavern serving prepared foods. The capacity inside the premises is 83 with seating for 34. Summer Garden with a capacity of 50 and seating for 50. The Total Occupancy Load of the entire premises is 133. Entertainment Endorsement to provide Live Entertainment inside of the premises only. Holiday Extension of Hours Endorsement.

HOURS OF OPERATION AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES, SERVICE, AND CONSUMPTION FOR INSIDE PREMISES AND FOR THE SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday through Thursday 10am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 10am – 3am

HOURS OF LIVE ENTERTAINMENT INSIDE OF THE PREMISES

Sunday 10am – 3am, Monday through Thursday 10am – 2am, Friday and Saturday 10am – 3am

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-129546
Licensee:	Emilias Enterprise LLC
Trade Name:	Taste Budz
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	317 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.
Contact:	Benjamin Johnson;757-672-1618;virginiabeachink@yahoo.com

WARD 6 ANC 6B SMD 6B02

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at **317 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.** Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-A, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 23, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations **317 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E.** proposed facility with approximately 6,468 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting the following endorsements: Delivery.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Monday – Saturday 11:00 am – 8:00 pm
Sunday 12:00 pm – 7:00 pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Monday – Saturday 11:00 am – 8:00 pm
Sunday 12:00 pm – 7:00 pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY FOR RETAILER

Monday – Saturday 11:00 am – 7:00 pm
Sunday 12:00 pm – 6:00 pm

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Placard Posting Date:	April 4, 2025
Protest Petition Deadline:	May 19, 2025
Roll Call Hearing Date:	June 9, 2025
Protest Hearing Date:	July 23, 2025

License No.:	ABRA-130768
Licensee:	DMV Investments Group, LLC
Trade Name:	Velvet's
Registration/License Type:	Medical Cannabis Retailer
Address:	2517 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Contact:	Ahmed Musa; 202-999-8200; dmvigLLC@gmail.com

WARD 2 ANC 2A SMD 2A02

Notice is given that the above-named medical cannabis retailer applicant has requested a new license to be located at **2517 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.** Pursuant to Title 22-C of the DCMR (Medical Cannabis Program) objectors are entitled to be heard regarding the new license application. Petitions and/or requests to appear before the ABC Board must be filed electronically to abca.legal@dc.gov on or before the petition deadline. The **Roll Call Hearing is scheduled for June 9, 2025, at 10 a.m., Suite 4200-A, 899 North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, DC, 20002**. The **Protest Hearing date** is scheduled for **July 23, 2025, at 1:30 p.m.** All hearings before the Board are conducted virtually.

NATURE OF OPERATION

The medical cannabis retailer applicant is requesting to locate its operations **2517 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.** The proposed facility with approximately 2,200 square feet of space will provide cannabis flowers, cannabis concentrates, and a line of edible products and home delivery services to registered patients in Washington, D.C. The applicant is requesting Delivery, Educational Tasting, Safe-Use Treatment Facility, and Sumer Garden endorsements.

HOURS OF OPERATION FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 9 am – 9 pm

HOURS OF RETAILER SALES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

Sunday – Saturday 9 am – 9 pm

HOURS OF DELIVERY FOR RETAILER

Sunday – Saturday 9 am – 9 pm

HOURS OF SAFE-USE TREATMENT FACILITY

Sunday – Saturday 9 am – 9 pm

HOURS OF SUMMER GARDEN

Sunday – Saturday 11 am – 8 pm

DC DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the requirements of D.C. Official Code Section 42-3171.03, the District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) has scheduled a public hearing on Tuesday, May 13, 2025, at 5:00 p.m. at DHCD's Housing Resource Center, 1909 Martin Luther King Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20020, to consider the proposed disposition of the property noted below.

SSL	Property Address	Property Type	Ward	Zoning	Historic District	Neighborhood
0363: Lot: 0003	905 R St, NW	Vacant Lot	2	RF-1	Yes	Shaw

The above property was offered as part of a Solicitation For Offer (SFO). The parcel was Solicited on December 9, 2022. The SFO sought proposals for the acquisition and development of the subject property. The competitive process resulted in the selection of the Embolden Real Estate LLC, the "Developer", who has been awarded the property. The offer was approved by DHCD management and the Public Hearing is scheduled for Tuesday, May 13, 2025.

The public hearing is being conducted to ensure that citizens are informed about the disposing and development of the properties identified above to the named Developer, and to ensure that all citizens have the opportunity to present publicly their views concerning such disposition.

If you would like to present oral testimony, you are encouraged to register in advance either by e-mailing Ms. Chantese Rogers, chantese.rogers@dc.gov or by calling 202-478-1355. Please provide your name, address, telephone number, and organization affiliation, if any. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) relay service is available by calling (800) 201-7165. A sign language interpreter and language translation services are available upon request by calling Pamela Hillsman at 202-442-7251. If you require language translation, please specify which language (Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese-Mandarin/Cantonese, Amharic, or French). Language translation services will be provided to pre-registered persons only. Deadline for requiring services of an interpreter is 7 days prior to the hearing. Bilingual staff will provide services on an availability basis to walk-ins without registration.

Written statements may be submitted at the hearing, or until 4:45 p.m., Tuesday, May 13, 2025, and should be addressed to: Colleen Green, Director, DC Department of Housing and Community Development, ATTN: PADD, 1909 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20020.

DC DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the requirements of D.C. Official Code Section 42-3171.03, the District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) has scheduled a public hearing on Monday, May 5, 2025, at 5:00 p.m. at DHCD's Housing Resource Center, 1909 Martin Luther King Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20020, to consider the proposed disposition of the property noted below.

SSL	Property Address	Property Type	Ward	Zoning	Historic District	Neighborhood
4063: Lot: 0800	1325 Montello Avenue, NE	Vacant Lot with Dilapidated Structure	5	RF-1	No	Trinidad

The above property was offered as part of a Solicitation For Offer (SFO). The parcel was Solicited on March 16, 2023. The SFO sought proposals for the acquisition and development of the subject property. The competitive process resulted in the selection of the Urban Edge LLC, the "Developer", who has been awarded the property. The offer was approved by DHCD management, and the Public Hearing is scheduled for Monday, May 5, 2025.

The public hearing is being conducted to ensure that citizens are informed about the disposal and development of the property identified above to the named Developer, and to ensure that all citizens have the opportunity to present publicly their views concerning such disposition.

If you would like to present oral testimony, you are encouraged to register in advance either by e-mailing Ms. Chantese Rogers, chantese.rogers@dc.gov or by calling 202-478-1355. Please provide your name, address, telephone number, and organization affiliation, if any. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) relay service is available by calling (800) 201-7165. A sign language interpreter and language translation services are available upon request by calling Pamela Hillsman at 202-442-7251. If you require language translation, please specify which language (Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese-Mandarin/Cantonese, Amharic, or French). Language translation services will be provided to pre-registered persons only. The deadline for requiring services of an interpreter is 7 days prior to the hearing. Bilingual staff will provide services on an availability basis to walk-ins without registration.

Written statements may be submitted at the hearing, or until 4:45 p.m., Monday, May 5, 2025, and should be addressed to: Colleen Green, Director, DC Department of Housing and Community Development, ATTN: PADD, 1909 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20020.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PUBLIC NOTICE OF VIRTUAL COMMUNITY HEARING

FORMAL CASE NO. 1180, IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO INCREASE EXISTING RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS SERVICE,

1. On August 5, 2024, Washington Gas Light Company (WGL) filed an Application requesting authority to increase existing rates and charges for gas service in the District of Columbia.[1] The requested rates are designed to collect approximately $257.2 million in total revenue, representing a $45.6 million increase in weather-normalized annual revenue. This includes the transfer of $11.7 million in costs associated with natural gas system upgrades previously approved by the Commission (PROJECT*pipes*). The net increase in new revenues is $33.9 million, reflecting an approximate 11.9% increase over current rates. If granted in full, the average bill increase for residential sales heating and cooling customers based on an annual usage of 627 therms would be $15.33 or 17.63%.

2. On March 7, 2025, the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Commission) provided notice of three community hearings to solicit community input on WGL's Application.[2] The community hearings are scheduled for: April 10, 2025, at Benning (Dorothy I. Height) Neighborhood Library, 3935 Benning Road, NE, Meeting Room 1, from 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.; April 21, 2025, at Petworth Neighborhood Library, 4200 Kansas Avenue, NW, Meeting Room 1, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.; and April 29, 2025, in the Commission Hearing Room at 1325 G Street, NW, Suite 800, from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Through this Public Notice, the Commission provides instructions on virtual participation at the April 29, 2025, 6:00 p.m. community hearing held in the Commission Hearing Room. The Commission cannot accommodate virtual participation at the April 10, 2025, and April 21, 2025, community hearings. Those who have questions about testifying virtually at the April 29, 2025, community hearing should contact the Commission Secretary at psc-commissionsecretary@dc.gov or 202-626-5150. If an organization or an individual is unable to offer virtual comments or attend in person, written statements may be submitted via the Commission's Comments Module, located at https://edocket.dcpsc.org/public/public_comments or through email at psc-commissionsecretary@dc.gov.

Platform and Equipment

WebEx will serve as the platform to access the FC1180 Community Hearing (Hearing) virtually.

---

[1] *Formal Case No. 1180, In the Matter of the Application of Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Gas Service*, Application, filed August 5, 2024.

[2] 72 *D.C. Reg.* 2342 (March 7, 2025).

The WebEx platform will be hosted by the Commission's Office of the Commission Secretary (OCMS) - to allow OCMS to manage the participants' access during the proceeding.

The Commission strongly encourages virtual participants to join via computer, laptop, tablet, or mobile device with audio/video capabilities and reliable high-speed internet. Participants will also be able to access the hearing via telephone.

Participation

Individuals or organizations that would like to participate in the FC1180 Community Hearing must access the hearing using the following WebEx credentials:

Joining via computer:

https://dcnet.webex.com/dcnet/j.php?MTID=m509b928d07285ed329ddacabd9b12812
Event number: 2308 766 9950
Event password: gNWqj2WZ732

Please ensure to enter your first and last name when accessing the hearing.

Joining via phone:

Dial: 1-650-479-3208
Access Code: 2308 766 9950

Please join at least fifteen (15) minutes before the hearing is scheduled to start.

Hearing and Post Hearing

All participants must ensure audio remains muted until requested to testify by OCMS.

OCMS will acknowledge/announce the participant using the name that appears on-screen. Once the participant has been recognized, OCMS will unmute the microphone.

Individuals or organizations will be called to speak in the order they appear on screen. Should a participant not be present when called upon, the person will be passed, and the next participant will be called. After the list of virtual participants has been exhausted, OCMS will recall those participants previously passed.

A transcript will be made available through eDocket within 72 hours of the conclusion of the hearing.

3. Copies of the WGL Application may be obtained by visiting the Commission's website at www.dcpsc.org. Once at the website, open the "eDocket System" tab, click on "Search

Current Dockets," and input "FC1180" as the case number and "1" as the item number. Copies of any comments or testimony filed on the Application may be obtained by opening the "eDocket System" tab, clicking on "Search Current Dockets," and inputting "FC1180" as the case number.

A TRUE COPY: **BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION:**

CHIEF CLERK: **BRINDA WESTBROOK-SEDGWICK**
COMMISSION SECRETARY

ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
NOTICE OF VIRTUAL PUBLIC HEARING

TIME AND PLACE: **May 12, 2025 @ 4:00 p.m.**
Via WebEx: https://dcoz.dc.gov/ZC23-08(1) (to participate & watch)
Via Telephone: 1-650-479-3208 Access code: 2307 463 0645 (audio participation & listen)
Via YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/c/DCOfficeofZoning (to watch)
Instructions: https://dcoz.dc.gov/release/virtual-public-hearings
Witness Sign Up: https://dcoz.dc.gov/service/sign-testify

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING THE FOLLOWING:

CASE NO. 23-08(1) (WESLEY THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY OF THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH – Campus Plan Approval for the Period of 2025 – 2035 ("2025 Campus Plan")

THIS CASE IS OF INTEREST TO ANCs 3D & 3E

Oral and Written Testimony

- All who wish to testify in this case are **strongly encouraged** to sign up to do so **at least 24 hours prior to the start of the hearing** on OZ's website indicated above. Also, see below: *How to participate as a witness – oral statements*. **On the day of the hearing – by 3:00 p.m.**, call 202-727-0789 to sign up to testify.
- All written comments and/or testimony **must be submitted to the record at least 24 hours prior to the start of the hearing** – see below: *How to participate as a witness – written statements*.

The Wesley Theological Seminary of the United Methodist Church ("Wesley Seminary") filed a new application (the "Application") on June 14, 2023,[1] requesting review and approval of a special exception for education use by the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia (the "Commission") of its 2025 – 2035 "Thrive in Place" Campus Plan (the "2025 Campus Plan") pursuant to Subtitle X, Chapter 1, and Subtitle Z § 302 of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations, Zoning Regulations of 2016, for Square 1600, Lots 6 (818 and 819), 7, 8 and 9, with an address of 4500 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. ("Campus Plan Property"):

CAMPUS PLAN PROPERTY

The Campus

The Campus is located in the RA-1 Zone and includes academic and administrative uses, residential facilities, athletic and campus life facilities, as well as other ancillary uses that are related to the Wesley Seminary.

[1] The Application has been held in abeyance since May 2024 to allow Wesley Seminary to file a related text amendment petition (Z.C. Case No. 24-09) which is pending publication. The Application's companion PUD case (Z.C. Case No. 23-08), which was submitted at the same time as the Application, has been withdrawn.

This hearing is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this hearing to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

THE APPLICATION

The Application proposes:

- To continue the existing Seminary uses on the Campus and demolish, develop, redevelop, and renovate the following buildings and improvements;
- To demolish two 1960-era dormitories (Straughn Hall and Carroll Hall) with 90 beds;
- To demolish the Old President's House (including parking area, driveway and curb cut) on University Avenue and relandscape that area, including a small community playground;
- To demolish the existing surface parking lot (105 spaces) and adjacent one-story maintenance building;
- To construct a new 659 bed university housing building exclusively for Wesley Seminary and American University students, faculty and staff with 264 underground parking spaces on the site of the current surface parking lot; and
- To maintain and enhance the existing Green Open Space Area Buffer with the adjoining neighborhoods on University and Massachusetts Avenues to provide approximately 205,000 square feet of Green Open Space (approximately 53%) on the Campus.

2025 Campus Plan – New Development:

- **New Gross Floor Area ("GFA")** – The proposed development and redevelopment on the Campus would eliminate approximately 61,937 square feet of GFA and add approximately 282,061 square feet of new GFA, including:
  - 282,061 square feet for Residential/Campus Life uses;
- **Floor Area Ratio ("FAR")** – The new development would increase the total FAR approved for the 2025 Campus Plan to 1.014 FAR, below the 1.8 FAR permitted for the Campus in the RA-1 zone by Subtitle X § 101.5;
- **Building Heights** – Will range from 2 to 3 stories, with the new dormitory proposed with a maximum of 7 stories; and
- **Parking** – The Application proposes to provide approximately a total of 295 underground and surface parking spaces on the Campus. The evaluation and approval of the 2025 Campus Plan is a prerequisite to Wesley Seminary requesting a further processing application to authorize construction of the 659 bed university housing building proposed within the 2025 Campus Plan. Any issues and concerns specific to the university housing building would be addressed and discussed during the further processing case. The purpose and scope of this 2025 Campus Plan case is to evaluate a special exception request for education use by Wesley Seminary[2].

This public hearing will be conducted in accordance with the contested case provisions of Subtitle Z, Chapter 4.

How to participate as a witness – oral presentation

Interested persons or representatives of organizations may be heard at the virtual public hearing. All individuals, organizations, or associations wishing to testify in this case are **strongly encouraged to**

[2] See Subtitle X §§ 101.1, 101.8, 101.9.

sign up to testify at least 24 hours prior to the start of the hearing on OZ's website at https://dcoz.dc.gov/ or by calling Ella Ackerman at (202) 727-0789 in order to ensure the success of the new virtual public hearing procedures.

The Commission also requests that all witnesses prepare their testimony in writing, submit the written testimony prior to giving statements, and limit oral presentations to summaries of the most important points. The Commission must base its decision on the record before them. The following maximum time limits for oral testimony shall be adhered to and no time may be ceded:

1. Applicant and parties in	60 minutes collectively
2. Parties in opposition	60 minutes collectively
3. Organizations	5 minutes each
4. Individuals	3 minutes each

Pursuant to Subtitle Z § 408.4, the Commission may increase or decrease the time allowed above, in which case, the presiding officer shall ensure reasonable balance in the allocation of time between proponents and opponents.

How to participate as a witness - written statements

Written statements, in lieu of personal appearances or oral presentation, may be submitted for inclusion in the record, provided that **all written comments and/or testimony must be submitted to the record at least 24 hours prior to the start of the hearing, unless approved by the Commission upon request to be introduced at the public hearing**. The public is encouraged to submit written testimony through the Interactive Zoning Information System (IZIS) at https://app.dcoz.dc.gov/Login.aspx; however, written statements may also be submitted by e-mail to zcsubmissions@dc.gov. Please include the case number on your submission. If you are unable to use either of these means of submission, please contact Donna Hanousek at (202) 727-0789 for further assistance.

How to participate as a party

Any person who desires to participate as a party in this case must so request and must comply with the provisions of Subtitle Z § 404.1. A party has the right to cross-examine witnesses, to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, to receive a copy of the written decision of the Commission, and to exercise the other rights of parties as specified in the Zoning Regulations. If you are still unsure of what it means to participate as a party and would like more information on this, please contact OZ at dcoz@dc.gov or at (202) 727-6311.

Except for an affected ANC, any person who desires to participate as a party in this case must clearly demonstrate that the person's interests would likely be more significantly, distinctly, or uniquely affected by the proposed zoning action than other persons in the general public. Persons seeking party status shall file with the Commission, not less than 14 days prior to the date set for the hearing, or 14 days prior to a scheduled public meeting if seeking advanced party status consideration, a Form 140 - Party Status Application, a copy of which may be downloaded from OZ's website at: https://app.dcoz.dc.gov/Help/Forms.html.

"Great weight" to written report of ANC

Subtitle Z § 406.2 provides that the written report of an affected ANC shall be given great weight if received at any time prior to the date of a Commission meeting to consider final action, including any continuation thereof on the application, and sets forth the information that the report must contain. Pursuant to Subtitle Z § 406.3, an ANC that wishes to participate in the hearing must file a written report at least seven days in advance of the public hearing and provide the name of the person who is authorized by the ANC to represent it at the hearing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, YOU MAY CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ZONING AT (202) 727-6311.

ANTHONY J. HOOD, ROBERT E. MILLER, PETER G. MAY, AND JOSEPH S. IMAMURA ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, BY SARA A. BARDIN, DIRECTOR, AND SHARON S. SCHELLIN, SECRETARY TO THE ZONING COMMISSION.

Do you need assistance to participate? If you need special accommodations or need language assistance services (translation or interpretation), please contact Zee Hill at (202) 727-0312 or Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov five days in advance of the meeting. These services will be provided free of charge.
¿Necesita ayuda para participar? Si tiene necesidades especiales o si necesita servicios de ayuda en su idioma (de traducción o interpretación), por favor comuníquese con Zee Hill llamando al (202) 727-0312 o escribiendo a Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov cinco días antes de la sesión. Estos servicios serán proporcionados sin costo alguno.

Avez-vous besoin d'assistance pour pouvoir participer? Si vous avez besoin d'aménagements spéciaux ou d'une aide linguistique (traduction ou interprétation), veuillez contacter Zee Hill au (202) 727-0312 ou à Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov cinq jours avant la réunion. Ces services vous seront fournis gratuitement.

참여하시는데 도움이 필요하세요? 특별한 편의를 제공해 드려야 하거나, 언어 지원 서비스(번역 또는 통역)가 필요하시면, 회의 5일 전에 Zee Hill 씨께 (202) 727-0312 로 전화 하시거나 Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov 로 이메일을 주시기 바랍니다. 이와 같은 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다.

您需要有人帮助参加活动吗？如果您需要特殊便利设施或语言协助服务（翻译或口译），请在见面之前提前五天与 Zee Hill 联系，电话号码 (202) 727-0312，电子邮件 Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov 这些是免费提供的服务。

Quí vị có cần trợ giúp gì để tham gia không? Nếu quí vị cần thu xếp đặc biệt hoặc trợ giúp về ngôn ngữ (biên dịch hoặc thông dịch) xin vui lòng liên hệ với Zee Hill tại (202) 727-0312 hoặc Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov trước năm ngày. Các dịch vụ này hoàn toàn miễn phí.

ለመሳተፍ ዕርዳታ ያስፈልግዎታል? የተለየ እርዳታ ካስፈለገዎት ወይም የቋንቋ እርዳታ አገልግሎቶች (ትርጉም ወይም ማስተርጎም) ካስፈለገዎት እባክዎን ከስብሰባው አምስት ቀናት በፊት ዚ ሂልን በስልክ ቁጥር (202) 727-0312 ወይም በኤሜል Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov ይገናኙ። እነኝህ አገልግሎቶች የሚሰጡት በነጻ ነው።

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OFFICE OF LOTTERY AND CHARITABLE GAMES

NOTICE OF FINAL RULEMAKING

The Interim Executive Director of the Office of Lottery and Gaming (Office), pursuant to the authority set forth in Section 4 of the Law to Legalize Lotteries, Daily Numbers Games, and Bingo and Raffles for Charitable Purposes in the District of Columbia, effective March 10, 1981 (D.C. Law 3-172; D.C. Official Code §§ 36-601.06(a) and 36-601.21), and Office of the Chief Financial Officer Management Control Order No. 24-02, effective August 1, 2024, hereby gives notice of the adoption of the amendments in Chapter 6 (Claims and Prize Payments), Chapter 9 (Description of On-Line Games), and Chapter 99 (Definitions) of Title 30 (Lottery and Charitable Games) of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR).

The final rulemaking implements the changes to the Mega Millions game pursuant to the Mega Millions Group Agreement.

A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the *District of Columbia Register* on February 21, 2025 at 72 DCR 001691. The Office received no comments, and no changes have been made to the proposed rulemaking. The Interim Executive Director adopted these rules as final on March 24, 2025, and the rules shall become effective on April 5, 2025.

Amend Chapter 6, CLAIMS AND PRIZE PAYMENTS, as follows:

Amend Section 606, ANNUITIZED PRIZES, to read as follows:

606.1 Unless annuitized payments are authorized by this title, prizes shall be paid in a single cash payment.

606.2 The Office may elect to fund annuitized prize payments directly, through purchase of annuities or by other means.

606.3 Except as otherwise provide in Chapters 6 or 9 of this title, annuitized prizes shall be paid annually in thirty (30) payments with the initial payment being made in cash or check, to be followed by twenty-nine (29) payments funded by the annuity. All annuitized prizes shall be paid annually in thirty (30) graduated payments (increasing each year) by a rate as determined by the Executive Director. Prize payments may be rounded down to the nearest one thousand dollars ($ 1,000).

606.4 In the event of a Mega Millions prize winner selects the cash value option, the prize winner's share shall be paid in a single payment upon completion of internal validation procedures. The cash value option shall be determined by the Mega Millions lotteries.

606.5 The Mega Millions annuitized prize shall be paid in thirty (30) graduated consecutive annual installments upon completion of internal validation procedures.

The initial payment shall be paid upon completion of internal validation procedures. The subsequent twenty-nine (29) payments shall be paid graduated annually to coincide with the month of the Federal auction date at which the bonds were purchased to fund the annuity with graduated annual installments defined in the Mega Millions lotteries' Finance and Operations Procedures. All such payments shall be made within seven (7) days of the anniversary of the annual auction date.

606.6 If individual shares of the cash held to fund an annuity is less than two hundred fifty-thousand dollars ($250,000.00) the Mega Millions lotteries, in their sole discretion, may elect to pay the winners their share of the cash held in the Jackpot Prize Pool.

606.7 Through 606.10 "RESERVED"

Amend Chapter 9, DESCRIPTION OF ON-LINE GAMES, as follows:

Amend Section 917, DESCRIPTION OF THE MEGA MILLIONS GAME, to read as follows:

917.1 Mega Millions is a five (5) out of seventy (70) plus one (1) out of twenty –four (24) online lottery game, drawn on the day(s) time(s) and location(s) as determined by the Mega Millions lotteries, and which pays the Jackpot Prize, at the election of the player made in accordance with these rules or by a default election made in accordance with these rules, either on a graduated annuitized annual pari-mutuel basis or as a cash value option using a rate determined by the Mega Millions Finance Committee on a pari-mutuel basis. Except as provided in these rules, all other prizes are paid on a single payment basis.

917.2 To play Mega Millions, a player shall select or Quick Pick five (5) different numbers, between one (1) and seventy (70) and one additional number between one (1) out of twenty –four (24) for input into a terminal. The additional number may be the same as one of the first five numbers selected by the player or terminal. A Multiplier will be automatically selected.

917.3 Each Mega Millions Play will include a Multiplier, which is a unique number that is automatically selected by computer software according to the frequency set forth by the Mega Millions lotteries in Subsection 919.1 and printed directly on a Mega Millions Ticket.

917.4 The price of each Mega Millions game ticket shall be one (1) play for $5.00. There is no additional charge for the Multiplier as it is included in a Mega Millions Play. A player may purchase up to five (5) plays on one (1) ticket. Multiple draws are available for up to ten (10) consecutive draws beginning with the current draw. From time to time, the Executive Director may authorize the sale of Mega Millions tickets at a discount for promotional purposes.

917.5 Mega Millions tickets may be purchased in the District of Columbia only at a licensed location from the D.C. Lottery Agent. No Mega Millions ticket purchased outside of the District of Columbia may be presented to a D.C. Lottery Agent for payment within the District of Columbia.

917.6 Mega Millions drawings shall be held at the time(s) and location set out in the MUSL Mega Millions Game Group Agreement. During the drawing event, five (5) numbers shall be drawn from the first set of seventy (70) numbers, and one (1) number shall be drawn from the second set of twenty-four (24) numbers, which shall constitute the winning numbers.

917.7 In a single drawing, a player may win in only one (1) prize category per single Mega Millions Play in connection with the winning numbers drawn for the Mega Millions game and shall be entitled only to the highest matching prize category.

917.8 For purpose of prize calculation with respect to any Mega Millions pari-mutuel prize, the calculation shall be rounded down so that prizes shall be paid in multiples of one dollar.

917.9 If, in any Mega Millions drawing there are no Mega Millions Plays that qualify for the Jackpot Prize category, the portion of the prize fund allocated to such Jackpot Prize category shall remain in the Jackpot Prize category and be added to the amount allocated for the Jackpot Prize category in the next consecutive Mega Millions drawing.

917.10 Subject to the laws and rules governing each party lottery, the number of prize categories and the allocation of the prize fund among the prize categories may be changed at the discretion of the directors, for promotional purposes. Such change shall be announced by public notice.

917.11 A subscription sales program may be offered, at the discretion of the Executive Director.

917.12 Mega Millions tickets shall show the player's selection of numbers or Quick Pick numbers, the Multiplier, number of plays, drawing date, jackpot payment option, and validation and reference numbers.

917.13 It shall be the exclusive responsibility of the player to verify the accuracy of the player's selection(s) and other data printed on the ticket. A ticket is a bearer instrument until signed. Neither a party lottery nor its sales agents shall be responsible for lost or stolen tickets.

917.14 In purchasing a ticket issued for Mega Millions, the player agrees to comply with and be bound by all applicable statutes, administrative rules and regulations, and procedures of the party lottery of the Jurisdiction in which the Mega Millions ticket is issued, and by directives and determinations of the Executive Director of that

party lottery. Additionally, the player shall be bound to all applicable provisions in the Mega Millions Finance and Operations Procedures. The player agrees, as its sole and exclusive remedy that claims arising out of a Mega Millions ticket can only be pursued against the party lottery of ticket purchase. Litigation, if any, shall only be maintained within the state in which the Mega Millions ticket was purchased and only against the party lottery that issued the ticket. Nothing in this rule shall be construed as a waiver of any defense or claim the Office may have in the event a player pursues litigation against the Office, its officers, or employees.

917.15 A ticket subject to the validations requirements of this title shall be the only proof of a Mega Millions Play or wager.

917.16 All liability for a Mega Millions prize is discharged upon payment of a prize claim.

917.17 Each drawing shall determine, at random, the six (6) winning numbers in accordance with the Mega Millions drawing procedures. Any numbers drawn are not declared winning numbers until the drawing is certified by the commission in accordance with the drawing procedures. The winning numbers shall be used in determining all Mega Millions winners for that drawing.

917.18 For winning Mega Millions tickets for which no claim or redemption is made within the specified claim period for each respective party lottery, the corresponding prize monies shall be returned to the other party lotteries in accordance with procedures for the reconciliation of prize liability pursuant to the MUSL Mega Millions Game Group Agreement and as may be agreed to from time to time by the directors of the party lotteries.

917.19 The Executive Director shall announce each incentive or bonus program prior to its commencement. The announcement shall specify the beginning and ending time, if applicable, of the incentive or bonus program and the value for the award(s).

917.20 The Prize Pool shall consist of up to fifty-five percent (55%) of each drawing period's sales, inclusive of any specific statutorily–mandated tax of a Party Lottery to be included in the price of a lottery Mega Millions Play and inclusive of contributions to the prize pool accounts and prize reserve accounts, but may be higher or lower based upon the number of winners at each prize level , as well as the funding required to meet a guaranteed Annuity Jackpot Prize as may be required by 917.21.

917.21 The prize money allocated from the current Mega Millions prize pool for the Jackpot Prize, plus any pervious portions of prize money allocated to the Jackpot Prize category in which no matching Mega Millions Plays were sold will be divided equally among all Jackpot Prize winning Mega Millions Plays in all participating lotteries.

917.22 The annuity Jackpot Prize amount will be paid in thirty (30) graduated annual installments. Jackpot Prizes won shall be funded by the Selling Lotteries in accordance with the formula set by the Mega Millions lotteries. Mega Millions lotteries may set a minimum guaranteed annuity Jackpot Prize amount that shall be advertised by the selling lotteries as the starting guaranteed annuity Jackpot Prize amount.

917.23 The Mega Millions lotteries shall set the contribution rates to the prize pool and prize reserve accounts for the Mega Millions Prize Reserve Account, the Jackpot Prize Pool, and the Set-Aside Pool.

Section 918, MEGA MILLIONS FIXED PRIZE STRUCTURE AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION, is amended to read as follows:

918.1 Except as otherwise provided in these rules, all other prizes awarded shall be paid as single payment prizes.

918.2 The Jackpot Prize payout shall be determined on a pari-mutuel basis. Provided the prize pools are fully funded, the fixed prize payments for Mega Millions based on a five dollar ($5.00) play are in the table below.

918.3 The following table additionally sets forth the probability of winning and the probable distribution of winners in and among each prize category, based upon the total number of possible combinations in Mega Millions:

Match Field 1	Match Field 2	Odds	Prize Category	Base Prize	Percent of Sales	Percent of Payout
5	1	290,472,336	Jackpot	Jackpot	27.63%	55.26%
5	0	12,629,232	Second	$1,000,000	4.75%	9.50%
4	1	893,761	Third	$10,000	0.67%	1.34%
4	0	38,859	Fourth	$500	0.77%	1.54%
3	1	13,965	Fifth	$200	0.86%	1.72%
3	0	607	Sixth	$10	0.99%	1.98%
2	1	665	Seventh	$10	0.90%	1.80%
1	1	86	Eighth	$7	4.89%	9.79%
0	1	35	Ninth	$5	8.53%	17.06%
TOTAL		1:23.07			50.00%	100.00%

918.4 The Jackpot Prize amount shall be divided equally by the number of Mega Million Plays winning the Jackpot Prize.

Section 919, PROMOTIONS : THE MEGA MILLIONS® MEGAPLIER® AND JUST THE JACKPOT™, is renamed and amended to read as follows:

919 THE MEGA MILLIONS MULTIPLIER AND MEGA MILLIONS PROMOTIONS

919.1 The Multiplier shall apply to all prize levels except the Mega Millions Jackpot Prize. The Multiplier increases non-Jackpot Prizes by two times, three times, four times, five times or ten times the prize won. The Multipliers may repeat due to the frequency and limited Multiplier levels as shown below.

The Multiplier frequency and odds are as follows:

Multiplier	Frequency	Odds
10X	1	32.00
5X	2	16.00
4X	4	8.00
3X	10	3.20
2X	15	2.13
Field of:	32*	3.00**

*Total of frequencies
**Average Multiplier value

919.2 The Executive Director may offer Mega Millions Promotions.

Amend Chapter 99, DEFINITIONS, as follows:

Amend Section 9900, DEFINITIONS, by deleting the following definitions:

Just The Jackpot - A Mega Millions Promotion game feature by which a player, for a wager of three dollars ($3.00) for two plays , will qualify the player for two (2) chances to win the MEGA MILLIONS® Jackpot Prize if that Just the Jackpot™ ("JJ") ticket matches exactly all of the MEGA MILLIONS® Grand Prize winning numbers for that drawing. Just The Jackpot does not qualify for any other prize levels.

Just the Jackpot™ Plays - "JJ Plays" shall refer to a $3.00 wager which includes two (2) JJ Plays as part of the Just the Jackpot™ promotion game feature.

MEGAPLIER - A MEGA MILLIONS® Promotion game feature by which a player, for an additional wager of $1 per play, can increase the guaranteed prize amount or pari-mutuel prize amount, as applicable, excluding the Grand / Jackpot Prize by a factor of two, three, four and five times depending upon the multiplier number that is drawn prior to the MEGA MILLIONS® drawing.

Amend Section 9900, DEFINITIONS, by adding the following definitions in alphabetical order:

Jackpot or Jackpot Prize – Shall refer to the top prize in the Mega Millions game.

Mega Millions Play or MM – Shall refer to Play or Plays purchased for the Mega Millions game.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF FINAL RULEMAKING

RM9-2024-01, IN THE MATTER OF THE AMENDMENT TO CERTAIN NET ENERGY METERING RULES,

1. The Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Commission), pursuant to its authority under D.C. Official Code Sections 2-505 and 34-802,[1] hereby gives notice of its final rulemaking action adopting amendments to Chapter 9 (Net Energy Metering) of Title 15 (Public Utilities and Cable Television) of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR).

2. On July 19, 2024, the Healthy Homes and Residential Electrification Amendment Act of 2024 (Healthy Homes Act) became effective, which, among other things, amended D.C. Official Code Section 34-1518.01(b) to exempt community renewable energy facility (CREF) subscribers of projects created through the Solar for All Program from limits on offsets to costs of electricity consumption.[2]

3. On December 27, 2024, the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) in the *District of Columbia Register* proposing to amend Sections 907 and 999 of the Commission's Net Energy Metering rules.[3] This NOPR amended Subsections 907.1, 907.3, and 907.10 in accordance with the Healthy Homes Act. These subsections now include exceptions to allow subscribers of a project constructed through the Solar for All Program to offset more than 120% of the subscriber's electricity consumption over the previous twelve (12) months. In addition, Section 999 has been amended to include a definition for the Solar for All Program.

4. The Potomac Electric Power Company (Pepco or Company) filed comments stating that it does not oppose the NOPR and stating that it can only effectuate these changes given that this information is provided to it while administering its CREF program.[4] Pepco explained that the Company knows which CREFs are considered Solar for All because this information is provided by the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) in the interconnection process and that as long as that practice continues for new Solar for All CREFs, Pepco can effectuate the proposed regulation. In addition, DOEE filed reply comments supporting the NOPR and explained each year it shares a list of CREF projects that have been selected for the

---

1 D.C. Code §§ 2-505 and 34-802.

2 *Healthy Homes and Residential Electrification Amendment Act of 2024*, effective July 19, 2024 (D.C. Law 25-189; 71 *D.C. Reg.* 006770 (August 2, 2024)). D.C. Code Section 34-1518.01(b) states that "[a] subscriber to an eligible community renewable energy facility may offset no more than 120% of the subscriber's electricity consumption over the previous 12 months; except, that this subsection shall not apply to subscribers of a project constructed through the Solar for All Program established by § 8-1774.16."

3 71 DCR 016354 (December 27, 2024).

4 *RM9-2024-01, In the Matter of the Amendment to Certain Net Energy Metering Rules* ("*RM9-2024-01*"), Potomac Electric Power Company's Comments, filed January 27, 2025.

Solar for All Program with Pepco and that DOEE and Pepco then meet on a biweekly basis to discuss the status of each CREF.[5] According to DOEE, this collaboration provides the information that Pepco needs to identify which CREF and CREF subscribers participate in Solar for All.

5. No substantive changes have been made to the rules as proposed. After considering the comments filed, the Commission by Order No. 22391 adopted the rules as final on March 26, 2025. The rules will be effective upon publication in the *District of Columbia Register*.

Chapter 9, NET ENERGY METERING, of Title 15 DCMR, PUBLIC UTILITIES AND CABLE TELEVISION, is amended as follows:

Section 907, BILLING AND CREDITING FOR COMMUNITY NET METERING CUSTOMERS, is amended to read as follows:

907.1 Each Subscription is intended to offset part or all of the Subscriber's own historical electrical requirements. In no event may a Subscriber offset more than one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the Subscriber's billing meter electricity consumption over the previous twelve (12) months; except that a Subscriber of a project constructed through the Solar for All Program may offset more than one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the Subscriber's billing meter electricity consumption over the previous twelve (12) months. To determine the Subscriber's previous twelve (12) months of electricity consumption, the Electric Company shall use the Subscriber's electricity consumption for the twelve (12) months immediately prior to the first billing cycle upon which a Subscriber is eligible to receive a credit for CREF generation. If the Subscriber does not have a twelve (12) month billing history as of that first billing cycle, the Electric Company shall allow the Subscriber to choose to use as a proxy for the Subscriber's previous twelve (12) months consumption either: (1) the twelve (12) month billing history associated with the Subscriber's premises, including the billing history of the Subscriber and/or the billing history of previous customers in the premises; or (2) the then current average annual consumption of a customer in the Subscriber's distribution service rate class. The Electric Company shall update the Subscriber's previous twelve (12) months of consumption once each year upon reaching the anniversary date of the first billing cycle that the Subscriber was eligible to receive a Community Net Metering Credit (CNM Credit).

…

907.3 If a Subscriber designates a set of individual meters that are combined for billing purposes for its CNM Credit, the CNM Credit shall be applied to the single billing account and shall not be more than one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the combined total of electricity consumption of all of the individual billing meters over the previous twelve (12) months; except that a Subscriber of a project constructed

[5] *RM9-2024-01*, District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment's Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply Comments to the Commission's December 27, 2024, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, filed February 11, 2025.

through the Solar for All Program may offset more than one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the combined total of electricity consumption of all of the individual billing meters over the previous twelve (12) months.

…

907.10 If the Electric Company determines that a Subscriber's share of CREF production has offset more than one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the Subscriber's electricity consumption over the previous twelve (12) months, the Subscriber shall not be eligible for any additional CNM Credit for any billing periods between (i) the date the Subscriber reached the maximum allowable consumption offset and (ii) the next anniversary date of the first billing cycle that the Subscriber was eligible to receive a CNM Credit for CREF production. Beginning with the Subscriber's next anniversary date, the Subscriber shall once again be eligible to receive a CNM Credit. Any CREF production allocable to a Subscriber in excess of the Subscriber's maximum allowable consumption offset shall be deemed unsubscribed energy and be made available for purchase by the SOS Administrator. This Subsection shall not apply to Subscribers of a project constructed through the Solar for All Program.

Section 999, DEFINITIONS, is amended as follows:

…

"Solar for All Program" means a program established by D.C. Official Code Section 8–1774.16 and administered by the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment to provide long-term financial benefits of solar energy production to low-income households.

…

A TRUE COPY: **BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION:**

CHIEF CLERK: **BRINDA WESTBROOK-SEDGWICK**
COMMISSION SECRETARY

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUANCE SYSTEM

Mayor's Order 2025-047
March 28, 2025

SUBJECT: Appointment — Acting State Superintendent of Education

ORIGINATING AGENCY: Office of the Mayor

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the District of Columbia by section 422(2) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973, 87 Stat. 790, Pub. L. 93-198, D.C. Official Code § 1-204.22(2), pursuant to section 2 of the State Education Office Establishment Act of 2000, effective October 21, 2000, D.C. Law 13-176, D.C. Official Code § 38-2601, and in accordance with section 2 of the Confirmation Act of 1978, effective March 3, 1979, D.C. Law 2-142, D.C. Official Code § 1-523.01, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. **DR. ANTOINETTE MITCHELL** is appointed Acting State Superintendent of Education to serve at the pleasure of the Mayor.

2. This Order supersedes Mayor's Order 2024-175, dated September 13, 2024.

3. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** This Order shall be effective *nunc pro tunc* to March 7, 2025.

MURIEL BOWSER
MAYOR

ATTEST: _____
KIMBERLY A. BASSETT
SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ACADEMY OF HOPE ADULT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FALL PROTECTION

Academy of Hope Adult Public Charter School ("AoH"), established in 1985, is a reputable non-profit educational institution in Washington, D.C., dedicated to providing high-quality adult education and career training to residents ages 18 and older. AoH offers a range of free programs, including GED preparation, the National External Diploma Program (NEDP), College and Career Services and Workforce Training in fields such as Healthcare, Information Technology and Project Management. The institution emphasizes personalized support through small class sizes, flexible scheduling with day and evening courses, and comprehensive student services like career development and college counseling. AoH's mission is to empower adult learners to transform their lives and communities by creating pathways to economic mobility.

AoH is seeking services to furnish and install OSHA compliant **FALL PROTECTION SYSTEM** at our Ward 5 location, 2315 18th Place NE Washington, DC 20018 which shall also include the design and installation of ladders, handholds, guardrails, skylight protections and other components as required.

For detailed project scope and requirements, please refer to the full RFP available on our website. Responses should be provided in electronic format and emailed to Nadine Belizaire at nadine@fletcherdc.com by **Wednesday, April 16, 2025, by 5:00 PM**. Early submissions are accepted and encouraged.

- Vendors should align with Academy of Hope's core values: CARES—Community, Acceptance, Respect, Empowerment, and Service. We seek partners who foster inclusive, authentic environments and actively engage with the communities they serve. We welcome vendors who demonstrate these values through inclusive hiring, community partnerships, and socially responsible practices.

You can find the full request for proposal and submission instructions at https://aohdc.org/get-involved/jobs/

ACADEMY OF HOPE ADULT PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

GENERAL CONTRACTOR

Academy of Hope Adult Public Charter School ("AoH"), established in 1985, is a reputable non-profit educational institution in Washington, D.C., dedicated to providing high-quality adult education and career training to residents ages 18 and older. AoH offers a range of free programs, including GED preparation, the National External Diploma Program (NEDP), College and Career Services and Workforce Training in fields such as Healthcare, Information Technology and Project Management. The institution emphasizes personalized support through small class sizes, flexible scheduling with day and evening courses, and comprehensive student services like career development and college counseling. AoH's mission is to empower adult learners to transform their lives and communities by creating pathways to economic mobility.

AoH is seeking qualified general contractors for facilities-related improvement and maintenance projects within the range of $10,000.00 - $3,000,000.00 at our Ward 5 location, 2315 18th Place NE Washington, DC 20018 and Ward 8 locations, 421 Alabama Avenue SE Washington, DC 20032. To facilitate a comprehensive and inclusive procurement process to align our needs with the general contractor's core competencies. This RFP allows Bidders the flexibility to present their firm's qualifications for one or more of the following Tiers listed below:

Tier & Project Budget Cost Range:

- Tier 1: $10,000 - $200,000
- Tier 2: Over $200,000 - $500,000
- Tier 3: Over $500,000 - $1M
- Tier 4: Over $1M - $3M

For detailed project scope and requirements, please refer to the full RFP available on our website. Responses should be provided in electronic format and emailed to Nadine Belizaire at nadine@fletcherdc.com by **Friay, April 18, 2025, by 5:00 PM**. Early submissions are accepted and encouraged.

- Vendors should align with Academy of Hope's core values: CARES—Community, Acceptance, Respect, Empowerment, and Service. We seek partners who foster inclusive, authentic environments and actively engage with the communities they serve. We welcome vendors who demonstrate these values through inclusive hiring, community partnerships, and socially responsible practices.

You can find the full request for proposal and submission instructions at https://aohdc.org/get-involved/jobs/

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS ADMINISTRATION
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
CALENDAR

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 9, 2025
899 NORTH CAPITOL STREET, NE, SUITE 4200 A-B
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

Donovan W. Anderson, Chairperson
Members: Silas Grant, Jr., Teri Janine Quinn, Ryan Jones and David Meadows

Protest Hearing (Status) **10:30 AM**

Case # 25-PRO-00011
MVA, LLC, t/a Cinco Solas
3418 11th Street NW
License #113277
Retailer CR
ANC 1A
Substantial Change (Request for Live Entertainment Inside Premises)

Protest Hearing (Status) **10:30 AM**

Case # 25-PRO-00008
7th Street Love, LLC, t/a Acqua A1 2
212 7th Street SE
License #131013
Retailer CR
ANC 6B
Application for a New License

Board's Calendar
April 9, 2025

Show Cause Hearing **10:30 AM**

Case # 24-251-00038
2335, LLC, t/a Power Night Club/Lounge/Restaurant
2335 Bladensburg Road NE
License #104228
Retailer CT
ANC 5C
Allowed Establishment to be Used for Unlawful or Disorderly Purposes, Knowingly Permitting the Illegal Sale or Use of a Controlled Substance, Transferred Security Responsibilities to a Third Party, Failed to Follow Security Plan (Two Counts)

This hearing is cancelled. The parties entered into an Offer in Compromise on March 26, 2025. See Board Order No. 2025-159.

Cease and Desist **10:30 AM**

Case # 24-ULC-00030
Legacy DC
1937 14th Street NW
Retailer MC
Unlicensed Cannabis Retailer

Fact Finding Hearing **10:30 AM**

Tree House, LLC, t/a Treehouse
4722 14th Street NW
License #131472
Retailer CX
ANC 4E
Request for a New Class CX Multipurpose Facility License

BOARD RECESS AT 12:00 PM

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA AT 1:00 PM

Board's Calendar
April 9, 2025

Show Cause Hearing **1:30 PM**

Case # 24-CMP-00066
Space DC Restaurant and Lounge, Inc., t/a Space DC
1355 U Street NW
License #124237
Retailer CT
ANC 1B
Violation of Settlement Agreement, Failed to Make a Copy of Settlement Agreement Immediately Accessible

Protest Hearing **1:30 PM**

Case # 24-PRO-00112
Eaternity DC, LLC, t/a Brisa, El Jefe Taqueria
2121 1st Street SW
License #129303
Retailer CR
ANC 5E
Application for a New License

Protest Hearing **1:30 PM**

Case # 25-PRO-00006
Azzouz Group, LLC, t/a Urban Roast
620 Maine Ave SW
License #130743
Retailer CT
ANC 6D
Application for a New License

Board's Calendar
April 9, 2025

Protest Hearing **1:30 PM**

Case # 25-PRO-00007
911 U Street, LLC, t/a Lounge U
911 U Street NW
License #130300
Retailer CR
ANC 1B
Application for a New License

Protest Hearing **1:30 PM**

Case # 24-PRO-00125
Aurora Enterprises, LLC, t/a To Be Determined
2006 Rhode Island Ave NE
License #128386
Retailer MC
ANC 5B
Application for a New License (Requesting Endorsements for Home Delivery Services, Education Tasting, Summer Garden, and Safe Use Facility)

All of the ABC Board's public hearings are conducted virtually and are livestreamed on ABCA's YouTube channel which can be found here: *https://www.youtube.com/@alcoholicbeverageregulatio5683*.

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at *opengovoffice@dc.gov*.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH PLANNING COUNCIL

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

April 25, 2025
10:00 a.m.

On Friday, April 25, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., the District of Columbia Department of Behavioral Health, Behavioral Health Planning Council (BHPC) will hold a virtual public meeting:

Meeting link:
https://dcnet.webex.com/dcnet/j.php?MTID=mf4f9fb283f5f494dd68f296809b6b340

Join from a video system or application
Dial 23106869955@dcnet.webex.com
You can also dial 173.243.2.68 and enter your meeting number.

Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)
+1-202-860-2110,,23106869955## United States Toll (Washington D.C.)
+1-650-479-3208,,23106869955## Call-in toll number (US/Canada)

Join by phone
+1-202-860-2110 United States Toll (Washington D.C.)
1-650-479-3208 Call-in toll number (US/Canada)

If any council member or public attendee needs an accommodation, please contact Marsha Parker, BHPC Support Team, at (202) 727-8931 or dbh.bhpc@dc.gov prior to the meeting date.

DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission (OAAC)

April 10, 2025
10:00 a.m.

The 21-member Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission was created by the Opioid Litigation Proceeds Amendment Act of 2022 to establish procedures for its operations; make recommendations to the Mayor and Council of District-wide goals, objectives, and performance indicators relating to opioid use disorder prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and recovery, and establish governing principles, policies, and procedures for the application and awarding of grants from the settlement funds. On Thursday, April 10, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., the Commission will hold a public meeting. The meeting will only be held virtually.

Meeting Information:

https://dcnet.webex.com/dcnet/j.php?MTID=m0e09b9579fa08fac6e099f4ca775db6a

Meeting number: 2317 104 0834
Password: AnhHaCE6u48

This is a call for the Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission to review and discuss the allocation of monies from the Opioid Abatement Fund to be set aside in a discretionary budget for the Office of Opioid Abatement to use for data collection and evaluation activities, that may include but are not limited to, conducting a District-wide needs assessment, conducting feasibility studies for innovative initiatives, renovating District agency data systems infrastructure, or supporting Commission-based data information activities.

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission
April 23, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.

The 21-member Opioid Abatement Advisory Commission was created by the Opioid Litigation Proceeds Amendment Act of 2022 to establish procedures for its operations; make recommendations to the Mayor and Council of District-wide goals, objectives, and performance indicators relating to opioid use disorder prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and recovery, and establish governing principles, policies, and procedures for the application and awarding of grants from the settlement funds. On Wednesday, April 23, 2025, at 9 am, the Commission will hold a public meeting. The meeting will be held in person at District of Columbia Hospital Association, 1152 15th Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20005.

Virtual:
https://dcnet.webex.com/dcnet/j.php?MTID=me6261d24f6becdb8447aee560c35017e

Join by meeting number
Meeting number (access code): 2314 872 0557
Meeting password: kvAxF5M3m2s

AGENDA

I. Welcome

II. Call to Order

III. Quorum Declaration

IV. Approval of Minutes

V. Office of Opioid Abatement Updates

VI. Presentations

- **A. CADCA**
- **B. AIM**

VII. Old Business

A. Nalmefene (Opvee)

VIII. New Business

- **A. Feasibility assessment**

IX. Public Comment

X. Adjournment

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF BUILIDNGS

NOTICE OF CLASS CHANGE DETERMINATION

Office Strategic Code Enforcement

Address:	**Square:**	**Lot:**
1443 Alabama Avenue, SE	5913	09=864

The Department of Buildings (DOB) has applied the following classification change for FY 2020:

Based on our records the property status has been updated to reflect a Class 1 – Residential status. DOB will immediately notify the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) to reclassify the subject property.

If you have questions regarding this decision please contact Lamir Whetstone, Interim Program Manager

Sincerely,

Lamir Whetstone
Interim Program Manager
Vacant Building Administration
(202) 221.8544
lamir.whetstone1@dc.gov

DEPATMENT OF BUILDING

NOTICE OF SUBSTANTIAL UNDUE ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DETERMINATION

Office of Strategic Code Enforcement

:

Address:	**Square:**	**Lot:**
233 14th St. NE	1055	0057

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Department of Buildings, (DOB), has reviewed and **granted** your request for Hardship for the above property for real property tax year for **FY2023** for the following reasons: **You provided sufficient evidence to support your extraordinary circumstances and hardship.**

> *Pursuant to D.C. Code §42-3131§.06 (b), Paragraph 5, "A vacant building shall be exempted by the Mayor in extraordinary circumstances and upon a showing of substantial undue economic hardship.*
> *(B) The exemption may be granted for a period of up to 24 months, subject to renewal on the basis of continuing extraordinary circumstances and substantial undue economic hardship."*

Annually you are required by law to register vacant property or seek an exemption for the current tax year. DOB will immediately notify the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) to reclassify the subject property as exempt or Class 1/Class 2. Please visit mytax.dc.gov to inquire about refunds, updated tax bills or payment.

DOB reserves the right to revoke this exemption if the building is not maintained in accordance with the Vacant Building Maintenance standards, or if disqualifying information is obtained. To learn more about the vacant building registration process or inspection requirements, please visit dob.dc.gov.

If you have questions regarding this decision, please contact Carousel Moore, Program Support Specialist, at dob.blight-economichardship-appeals@dc.gov

Sincerely,

Lamir Whetstone
Interim Program Manager
Vacant Building Administration
(202) 221.8544
lamir.whetstone1@dc.gov

DEPATMENT OF BUILDING

NOTICE OF SUBSTANTIAL UNDUE ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DETERMINATION

Office of Strategic Code Enforcement

:

Address:	**Square:**	**Lot:**
233 14th St. NE	1055	0057

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Department of Buildings, (DOB), has reviewed and **granted** your request for Hardship for the above property for real property tax year for **FY2024** for the following reasons: **You provided sufficient evidence to support your extraordinary circumstances and hardship.**

> *Pursuant to D.C. Code §42-3131§.06 (b), Paragraph 5, "A vacant building shall be exempted by the Mayor in extraordinary circumstances and upon a showing of substantial undue economic hardship.*
> *(B) The exemption may be granted for a period of up to 24 months, subject to renewal on the basis of continuing extraordinary circumstances and substantial undue economic hardship."*

Annually you are required by law to register vacant property or seek an exemption for the current tax year. DOB will immediately notify the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) to reclassify the subject property as exempt or Class 1/Class 2. Please visit mytax.dc.gov to inquire about refunds, updated tax bills or payment.

DOB reserves the right to revoke this exemption if the building is not maintained in accordance with the Vacant Building Maintenance standards, or if disqualifying information is obtained. To learn more about the vacant building registration process or inspection requirements, please visit dob.dc.gov.

If you have questions regarding this decision, please contact Carousel Moore, Program Support Specialist, at dob.blight-economichardship-appeals@dc.gov

Sincerely,

Lamir Whetstone
Interim Program Manager
Vacant Building Administration
(202) 221.8544
lamir.whetstone1@dc.gov

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

NOTICE OF SUBSTANTIAL UNDUE ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DETERMINATION

Office of Strategic Code Enforcement

Address:	**Square:**	**Lot:**
1501 Park Rd. NW	2676	0808

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Department of Buildings, (DOB), has reviewed and **granted** your request for Hardship for the above property for real property tax year for **FY2024 2nd half** for the following reasons: **You provided sufficient evidence to support your extraordinary circumstances and hardship.**

> *Pursuant to D.C. Code §42-3131§.06 (b), Paragraph 5, "A vacant building shall be exempted by the Mayor in extraordinary circumstances and upon a showing of substantial undue economic hardship.*
> *(B) The exemption may be granted for a period of up to 24 months, subject to renewal on the basis of continuing extraordinary circumstances and substantial undue economic hardship."*

Annually you are required by law to register vacant property or seek an exemption for the current tax year. DOB will immediately notify the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) to reclassify the subject property as exempt or Class 1/Class 2. Please visit mytax.dc.gov to inquire about refunds, updated tax bills or payment.

DOB reserves the right to revoke this exemption if the building is not maintained in accordance with the Vacant Building Maintenance standards, or if disqualifying information is obtained. To learn more about the vacant building registration process or inspection requirements, please visit dob.dc.gov.

If you have questions regarding this decision, please contact Carousel Moore, Program Support Specialist, at dob.blight-economichardship-appeals@dc.gov

Sincerely,

Lamir Whetstone
Interim Program Manager
Vacant Building Administration
(202) 221.8544
lamir.whetstone1@dc.gov

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

NOTICE OF SUBSTANTIAL UNDUE ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DETERMINATION

Office of Strategic Code Enforcement

Address:	**Square:**	**Lot:**
1501 Park Rd. NW	2676	0808

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Department of Buildings, (DOB), has reviewed and **granted** your request for Hardship for the above property for real property tax year for **FY2025 1st half** for the following reasons: **You provided sufficient evidence to support your extraordinary circumstances and hardship.**

> *Pursuant to D.C. Code §42-3131§.06 (b), Paragraph 5, "A vacant building shall be exempted by the Mayor in extraordinary circumstances and upon a showing of substantial undue economic hardship.*
> *(B) The exemption may be granted for a period of up to 24 months, subject to renewal on the basis of continuing extraordinary circumstances and substantial undue economic hardship."*

Annually you are required by law to register vacant property or seek an exemption for the current tax year. DOB will immediately notify the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) to reclassify the subject property as exempt or Class 1/Class 2. Please visit mytax.dc.gov to inquire about refunds, updated tax bills or payment.

DOB reserves the right to revoke this exemption if the building is not maintained in accordance with the Vacant Building Maintenance standards, or if disqualifying information is obtained. To learn more about the vacant building registration process or inspection requirements, please visit dob.dc.gov.

If you have questions regarding this decision, please contact Carousel Moore, Program Support Specialist, at dob.blight-economichardship-appeals@dc.gov

Sincerely,

Lamir Whetstone
Interim Program Manager
Vacant Building Administration
(202) 221.8544
lamir.whetstone1@dc.gov

DC INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Request for Fire Relay

Request for proposals for Fire Relay: DC International School is seeking service providers to support DCI's Fire Relay infrastructure. DCI infrastructure serves over 1650 students and ~300 staff members. We are seeking Fire Relay control for about 25 doors. We are looking to install Fire Relay on our new access control system. We are currently using Avigilon for our access control system.

Proposals must include:

- On-site and remote support hours
- Qualifications
- Estimated fees and length of job

Questions and requests for on-site visits should be directed to carlos.alfaro@dcinternationalschool.org before April 25th 2025.

Proposals should be sent to RFP@dcinternationalschool.org. Proposals must be received no later than the close of business on May 02, 2025. Please specify “RFP for Fire Relay” in the subject line. No phone calls or late responses please.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY MAYOR FOR EDUCATION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

DC Education Research Collaborative Advisory Committee

The Advisory Committee of the DC Education Research Collaborative will hold a virtual public meeting on Wednesday, April 9, 2025 from 4:00 pm to 6:00 pm. Register to attend here.

AGENDA

I. Call to order
II. Announcement of a quorum
III. Message from the Chair
IV. Executive Director Report
V. Subcommittee Updates
VI. Research Council Updates
VII. Next Steps
VIII. Adjournment

The DC Education Research Collaborative is an education research-practice partnership composed of an Advisory Committee and a Research Council, and led by the Urban Institute. This will be the ninth meeting of the Collaborative's public Advisory Committee.

Date: April 9, 2025
Time: 4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.
Location: Register here
For more information: Visit the Collaborative's webpage here or contact Dara Shaw at edcollaborative@urban.org.

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

CERTIFICATION OF FILLING A VACANCY

In Advisory Neighborhood Commission

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-309.06(d)(6)(D), if there is only one person qualified to fill the vacancy within the affected single-member district, the vacancy shall be deemed filled by the qualified person. As such, the Board hereby certifies that the vacancy has been filled in the following single-member district by the individual listed below:

Keith J. Sellars
Single-Member District **5A01**

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA Website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE,	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0010-25
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: February 5, 2025
v.	)	
	)	JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT,	)	SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE
Agency	)	

Employee *pro se*
David Dickman, Esq. Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Employee filed a petition with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA") on November 14, 2024, appealing the decision of the D.C. Department of Energy and Environment ("Agency") to remove him from his position as an Environmental Protection Specialist effective October 28, 2024, for Unauthorized Absence without Leave ("AWOL") and Neglect of Duty. In response to OEA's November 15, 2024, request, Agency submitted its answer to the appeal on December 16, 2024. The matter was assigned to me on December 16, 2024. I held a February 3, 2025, Prehearing Conference wherein Employee explained his failure to submit a prehearing statement as ordered. After Employee discussed with Agency his prospects for regaining his position, Employee conceded that he had no viable excuse for his AWOL. On February 4, 2025, Employee emailed a request for his appeal to be withdrawn and dismissed. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his appeal, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE: */s/ Joseph Lim*___
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE,[1]	)	
Employee	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-24
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: February 18, 2025
	)	
D.C. FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT,	)	NATIYA CURTIS, Esq.
Agency	)	Administrative Judge
	)	

Kristen Farr, Esq., Employee Representative
Daniel Thaler, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On November 27, 2023, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department's ("Agency" or "FEMS") decision to terminate him from his position as a Firefighter/Emergency Medical Technician ("FF/EMT") effective October 28, 2023. OEA issued a Request for Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal on November 27, 2023. Agency submitted its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on December 15, 2023. This matter was assigned to the undersigned on December 19, 2023.

On December 29, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Scheduling a Prehearing Conference in this matter for February 1, 2024. On January 22, 2024, Agency filed a Joint Motion to Continue the Prehearing Conference and Extend the Prehearing Statement Deadline. On January 29, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order Granting the Joint Motion to Continue the Prehearing Conference. Accordingly, the Prehearing Conference was rescheduled to February 15, 2024. Prehearing statements were now due on or before February 8, 2024. During the Prehearing Conference convened on February 15, 2024, the undersigned informed the parties that because a Fire Trial Board Hearing was convened in this matter on September 7, 2023, OEA's review of this

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.

appeal is subject to the standard of review outlined in *Elton Pinkard v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Department,* 801 A.2d 86 (D.C. 2002). Thereafter, I issued a Post Prehearing Conference Order on February 15, 2024, requiring the parties to submit briefs addressing the issues raised during the Prehearing Conference. Agency's brief was due on or before April 10, 2024. Employee's brief was due on or before May 17, 2024. Agency had the option to submit a Sur-Reply brief on or before May 31, 2024. On March 26, 2024, I issued a subsequent Order requiring the parties address which District Personnel Manual ("DPM") version is controlling in this matter, as Agency cited to both the 2012 and 2019 DPM in its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal. The undersigned amended the dates briefs were due to afford the parties additional time to respond. Agency's brief was now due by April 24, 2024. Employee's brief was due by May 31, 2024. Agency had the option to submit a Sur Reply brief by June 14, 2024. On April 8, 2024, Agency filed a Consent Motion to Extend the Briefing Schedule, citing a scheduling conflict. The undersigned granted the motion in an Order on April 9, 2024. Agency's brief was now due by May 8, 2024. Employee's brief was due by June 14, 2024. Agency had the option to submit a Sur-Reply brief by June 24, 2024. The parties have submitted their respective briefs as prescribed. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUES

1) Whether the Trial Board's decision was supported by substantial evidence;
2) Whether there was harmful procedural error;
3) Whether Agency's action was done in accordance with applicable laws or regulations.

BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule § 631.1, 6-B District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") Ch. 600, et seq (December 27, 2021) states:

> The burden of proof for material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. "Preponderance of the evidence" shall mean:
>
> > the degree of relevant evidence that a reasonable person, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find that a contested fact is more likely to be true than untrue.[2]

OEA Rule § 631.2 *id.* states:

[2] OEA Rule § 699.1.

For appeals filed under § 604.1, the employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing. The agency shall have the burden of proof as to all other issues.

STATEMENT OF THE CHARGES

According to the Fire Trial Board's Findings of Facts and Recommendation of Termination, which was accepted by the Agency in a Final Notice of Adverse Action, and received by Employee on October 18, 2023, Agency terminated Employee effective October 28, 2023, based on the following charges and specifications, which are reprinted in pertinent part below:[3]

Case No. U-23-410

Charge 1: Violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VI, § 6 (**Conduct Unbecoming an Employee)** which states:

> Conduct unbecoming an employee includes conduct detrimental to good discipline, conduct that would adversely affect the employee or the agency's ability to perform effectively, or any conduct that violates public trust or law of the United States, any law, municipal ordinance, or regulation of the District of Columbia committed while on-duty or off-duty.
>
> Further violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article XXIV, § 8, **Emergency Responses**, which states: Upon receipt of an emergency response, EMS Providers shall immediately report to the apparatus, status the DEK Button # 1 within one (1) minute and respond to the incident.
>
> Further violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Bulletin No. 3, **Patient Bill of Rights**, § 11, which states:
>
> As our patient, you have the right to expect competent and compassionate service from us. You may expect:
>
> 11. That all of our personnel will be polite, compassionate, considerate, empathetic, respectful, and well mannered.
>
> This misconduct is defined as cause in D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VII, Section 2(f)(3), which states: "Any on-duty or employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of government operations,

[3]Agency Answer, tab 24 (December 15, 2023).

to include: Neglect of duty." See also DPM § 1603.3(f)(3)(08/27/2012); see also DPM § 1605.4(e) (06/12/2019).

Specification 1:

In his Special Report (dated 03/24/2023), FF/EMT [Employee] describes his misconduct as follows: While heading to pick up food up which was already ordered from a local restaurant (Chick-Fil A) we were dispatched to a run incident number F2300048765. **While in route to the call we stopped to pick up our food.**

Further, in His 2nd Endorsement (dated 03/29/2023), Battalion Fire Chief Jason C. Auth describes FF/EMT [Employee]'s misconduct as follows:

On Friday March 24, 2023, I was working an overtime assignment as the 6th Battalion
Fire Chief when I was notified of an extended response involving A-03. Based on information provided by the Fire Liaison Officer (Sgt. Jason Collins), A-03 was alleged to have delayed their response for approximately 4 minutes in the vicinity of 1401 Maryland Avenue, NE (Chick-Fil-A). […] The special report obtained from FF/EMT [Employee] indicates that the crew did in fact stop to pick up food from the
restaurant while assigned to incident # F2300048765.

Further, in his 3rd Endorsement (dated 04/13/2023), Deputy Fire Chief Jon C. Grover, II describes FF/EMT [Employee]'s misconduct as follows:

After reviewing the I/NetViewer, Ambulance 3 went available from incident number F230048704. They did not return to their corridors. Instead, they traveled from George Washington Hospital past their corridors to go to a fast-food restaurant located at 14th Street and Maryland Ave., NE.

Upon being dispatched on incident No. F230048765, both Order Book Article XXIV and the Patient Bill of Rights required FF/EMT [Employee] to at least attempt to render competent, compassionate and empathetic emergency medical services by immediately responding to the incident. Yet, FF/EMT [Employee] showed virtually no concern for this patient. Rather than initiate an immediate response, FF/EMT [Employee] affirmatively chose to stop at Chick-fil-A. FF/EMT [Employee] admitted discourteous treatment of the public, violation of Department customer-service standards, failure to offer assistance when requested, failure to carry out assigned tasks and careless work habits constitute neglect of duty. Accordingly, this termination action is proposed.

Charge 2: Violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article XVII, **Driving Safety**, which states:

2.0-POLICY

2.2: All members and occupants in the vehicle, other than those covered in 2.7, shall be seated and belted in approved riding positions while the vehicle is a motion (NFPA 1451, 8.3.4).

***

2.21: Drivers encountering any of the following situations shall bring the vehicle to a complete stop and shall not proceed until it is confirmed that it is safe to do so (NFPA 1451, 7.1.3):

2.21.1: Any "stop" signal;

***

4.0-RESPONSIBILITY

***

4.7: All Members and Occupants: All members are responsible for maintaining general awareness and promoting safety while riding in a Department vehicle.

4.8: All members and occupants riding in or on a vehicle shall be seated in approved riding positions and shall be secured to the vehicle by seatbelts whenever the vehicle is in motion (NFPA 1451, 8.3.1).

Further violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article XXI, **Uniforms**, which states:

2.6: The regulation dark blue NFPA compliant uniform shirt with the official Department patch attached to the left sleeve with the thread, centered one inch below the shoulder seam, shall be worn as the work uniform shirt by all uniform employees assigned or detailed to the Operations Bureau, except for the Chief Officers or those acting in their stead.

This misconduct is defined as cause in D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VII, Section 2(f)(3), which states: "Any on-duty or employment related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of government operations, to include: Neglect of

duty." See also DPM § 1603.3(f)(3)(08/27/2012); see also DPM § 1605.4(e) (06/12/2019).

Specification 1:

> In his 3rd endorsement (dated 4/13/2023), Deputy Fire Chief Jon C. Grover, II describes FF/EMT[Employee]'s misconduct as follows:
>
> After a review of the Rosco camera footage concerning this case, I further cite FF/EMT [Employee] with the violations listed below.
>
> Viewing the passenger compartment footage FF/EMT [Employee] was not using his seat belt during the entire response. Viewing the forward view of the footage FF/EMT [Employee] did not bring the ambulance to a complete stop at 5 stop signs while traveling westbound on G Street NE to the incident. In addition, the member was eating while driving in the emergency response mode. Viewing the passenger compartment footage FF/EMT [Employee] was not wearing his regulation dark blue NFPA Compliant uniform shirt. Notwithstanding the clear directives outlined in both Order Book Article XVII (**Driving Safety**) and Order Book Article XXI (**Uniforms**), FF/EMT [Employee] failed to don the proper uniform shirt, and otherwise, failed to follow numerous driving safety standards.

SUMMARY OF THE TESTIMONY[4]

On September 7, 2023, Agency held a Fire Trial Board ("Trial Board") Hearing in this matter. During the hearing, testimonial and documentary evidence were presented for consideration and adjudication relative to the instant matter. The following represents what the undersigned has determined to be the most relevant facts adduced from the findings of fact, as well as the transcript (hereinafter denoted as "Tr."), generated and reproduced as part of the Trial Board Hearing.

Agency's Case-in-Chief

Sergeant Jason Collins ("Sgt. Collins") – Tr. pp. 23-78

Sgt. Collins is the Sergeant Liaison Officer at the Office of Unified Communications. He affirms that he was on Duty the day of March 24, 2023, and received notification that Employee's Ambulance 3 had a delayed response for an incident. Sgt. Collins testified that he investigated that delay and determined that Ambulance 3 made a stop during a response time. Tr. pp. 24-25. Sgt.

[4] *Id*. at tab 22.

Collins further explained his observation about this stop. He testified that in his Computer Aided-Dispatch System ("CAD"), there is a route alarm that goes off at the ten (10)-minute mark, once a unit is in route and changes the color of the unit on the dispatch screen. Tr. p. 25. Sgt. Collins stated that he noticed this alarm activated, which prompted the initial investigation. Tr. Pp. 25-26.

Sgt. Collins clarified that once an ambulance unit is in route to an incident, an alarm goes off if more than ten minutes have elapsed before the ambulance arrives at the scene of the incident. He testified that when this alarm goes off, he will investigate the different reasons as to why it could have been activated. He testified that in the instant matter, this alarm was activated, so he investigated the reasons why there was not a response at the ten-minute mark, thus prompting the initial investigation. Tr. pp. 25-26. Sgt. Collins identified Agency's Exhibit 5, page 14 as a screenshot from the I/Net Tracker Software, which he testified is used to determine the location of units. He testified that this screenshot showed that Ambulance 3 was headed eastbound on H Street, when they were dispatched and they continued eastbound on H Street to 14th Street, made a stop on 14th Street and Maryland Avenue, before heading back westbound toward the emergency. Tr. pp. 26-27. Sgt. Collins further indicated that a screen shot of the I/Net tracking software showed that Ambulance 3 stopped moving between 4:13 and 26 seconds and 4:14 and 0 seconds. Sgt Collins asserted that Ambulance 3 started moving again between 4:17 and 5 seconds and 4:17 and 24 seconds. He clarified that there is usually a ten (10) to fifteen (15) second lapse time in the I/Net tracking software. of Tr. p. 29.

Sgt. Collins identified Agency's Exhibit 5, page 18, as the information collected in the CAD for each event that is created and dispatched. Tr. p. 30. Sgt. Collins further testified that Ambulance 3 was dispatched to Kaiser Permanente Capitol Hill, located at 700 Second Street, NE for chest pains. Tr. p. 30. Sgt. Collins described that a dispatch feature estimated that it would take Ambulance 3 two (2) minutes to respond to the incident, based on its location at the time of dispatch. Tr. pp 30-31. Sgt. Collins testified that Ambulance 3 did not arrive in two (2) minutes. Tr. p. 31. Sgt. Collins identified Agency's Exhibit 2, page 5, as a unit history for a specific time period. He testified that the event number on this document is the same as the event numbers on prior documents reviewed during testimony thus far. He testified that the dispatch time reflected on this document was 16:11 and 13 seconds and the in-route time was 16:11 and 34 seconds. Sgt. Collins further testified that the arrival time was 16:21 and 34 seconds. Tr. pp 32-33. Sgt Collins testified that because the CAD estimated that this dispatch should have taken two minutes, further investigation was warranted. Tr. p. 33.

Sgt. Collins viewed Agency's Exhibit 9-B, which was video footage of Ambulance 3 with no audio. It started at the one 1:05 mark and stopped at the 1:13 mark. He identified the date stamp in the video as March 24, 2023, and noted it as the same date reflected on the previous exhibits reviewed. He also testified that the time stamp on the video lines up with the dispatch time reviewed on the I/NetViewer. Tr. p. 34. Sgt Collins further viewed this video starting at 1:18 and stopping at the 1:33 mark. He testified that during this portion of the video it appears as though the passenger is updating their status and activating the audible and visual alarms system. Tr. p. 35-36.

Sgt. Collins then viewed Agency's exhibit 9-A, which is the front-facing video footage of Ambulance 3. Sgt. Collins identified the date on this video as March 24, 2023. Tr. p. 36. Sgt. Collins testified that Ambulance 3 was crossing over 10th Street and headed east on H Street at the 1:13 mark. Tr. p. 37. He further testified that Ambulance 3 should have been going to the location of the emergency at 2nd and G Streets, NE. Tr. p. 38-39. Sgt. Collins further reviewed the video at several other time frames. He testified that the video showed that the person in the video activated the ambulance lights but was not headed toward the location of the emergency. Tr. p. 41. Sgt. Collins confirmed that while Ambulance 3 was required to go in the direction of the emergency, they headed to 14th Street, Northeast. Tr. pp. 43-44. Sgt. Collins further reported that the route he observed on Exhibit 9-A was consistent with Agency's Exhibit 5, page 14, a screenshot from the I/Net tracker software used to determine the locations of units. He testified that this exhibit shows that Ambulance 3 rode on H Street, NE, and 10th Street, NE, and eventually turned on 14th Street, NE. Tr. p. 44.

Sgt. Collins further testified that at the 3:33 mark in Agency's Exhibit 9-A video, it appeared that the emergency lights were discontinued, and the unit stopped. He further noted that from what he observed in the video, there would be no basis for the ambulance to turn off its lights. Tr. p 45. Sgt. Collins noted that at the time Ambulance 3 stopped, two (2) minutes and twenty (20) seconds had elapsed since dispatch. He indicated that during these two (2) minutes and twenty (20) seconds, Ambulance 3 was required to be going toward the emergency but did not. Tr. 46.

Sgt. Collins was directed back to Agency Exhibit 9-B video footage of Ambulance 3. Sgt. Collins reviewed the video starting at the 3:16 mark. Sgt. Collins confirmed that the passenger of Ambulance 3 exited the ambulance at the 3:16 mark. Tr. p. 46. At the 4:59 mark, Sgt. Collins testified that he observed that the passenger remained out of the ambulance and the driver of the ambulance was eating. Tr. p. 47. Sgt. Collins testified that the driver made a stop at 6th and H Streets prior to the dispatch in question and purchased food. Tr. p. 48.

Sgt. Collins reviewed Agency's exhibit 9-D and testified that at the two-minute mark, the passenger re-entered the video and appeared to put something on the floorboard. Tr. p. 49. Sgt. Collins observed Agency's Exhibit 9-C at the two-minute, five second mark, and testified that Ambulance 3 reactivated their emergency lights and began moving. He further noted that Ambulance 3 was parked at Chick-Fil-A a little under four (4) minutes. Tr. pp. 50-51. Sgt. Collins confirmed that almost six (6) minutes passed before Ambulance 3 went in the direction of the emergency. Tr. pp. 53-54. Sgt. Collins testified that at roughly the ten (10)-minute mark, the CAD would receive notification that the unit had been in route for more than ten (10) minutes, which prompted the initial investigation. Tr. pp. 54-55. Sgt. Collins testified that based on Agency's exhibit 9-B, Ambulance 3 took over ten (10) minutes to arrive at the scene of the emergency and arrived behind Medic 3 which was already on the scene. Tr. p. 55. Sgt. Collins stated that he then checked to make sure the information was correct, which included checking the router on the unit to make sure it was functioning and checking I/Net tracker to make sure it reflects information received in the CAD. Once he deemed these systems were working, and the information was accurate, he created an email with the particulars of the incident and sent them to the on-duty Lieutenant fire chief, deputy fire chief of operations, and the fire operations. Tr. p. 56.

On cross-examination, Sgt. Collins described his position as Fire Liaison Officer. He stated that his responsibilities include overseeing resource and asset management utilization of the department, as these relate to the Office of Unified Communications. He further stated his responsibilities include approving received responses, ensuring units arrive safely, are at their designated locations, and rendering services effectively. Tr. p. 57. Sgt. Collins is questioned about Agency's Exhibit 6, which is an NBC news article about the event in question. The article states that sources familiar with the investigation say that Ambulance 3 was assigned to this dispatch because it was closer than Medic 3. Tr. p. 57-58. Sgt. Collins testified that he did not know where Medic 3 was located at the time of dispatch. Sgt. Collins is then shown firefighter's exhibit 2, which Sgt. Collins identifies as a unit history report. Tr. p. 59. When asked if this document shows that Medic 3 was in quarters, Sgt. Collins clarifies that he cannot determine where Medic 3 was because the status update was done by the Medic 3 crew and can be done from any location. He further stated, without a map, it would be speculation to say they were in quarters at the time of dispatch. Tr. p. 61. Sgt. Collins is then shown video footage of Medic 3 (the exhibit number was not specified) and confirms that the date on the video is March 24, 2023. Tr. p. 62. Sgt. Collins testified that he can see the footage is in quarters, but he cannot verify which quarters. Tr. p. 63.

Sgt. Collins answered in the affirmative when asked if Medic 3 is .7 miles from Kaiser Permanente, the location of the emergency. He further answered affirmatively when asked if Employee's Ambulance 3 was at H Streets and 10th Streets when it received the dispatch. Sgt. Collins also answered in the affirmative when asked if H and 10th Streets is .7 miles from Kaiser Permanente. Tr. p. 63. Sgt. Collins agreed that the dispatch call came into Medic 3 at 16:11:13, and Medic 3 changed its status to in route at 16:12:43. Sgt. Collins reviewed video footage of Medic 3 at the time stamp 16:15:04 and answered in the affirmative when asked if Medic 3 was in quarters at 16:15 and not in route. Tr. p. 64. Sgt. Collins further testified that he observed the driver of Medic 3 getting into the unit and preparing to drive at the 16:15 and 37 seconds timestamp. Tr. p. 65.

Upon review of the video footage of Medic 3, Sgt. Collins stated that the unit began to move and confirmed the video was at time stamp 16:16 and four (4) seconds. Tr. p. 70-71. When questioned about Medic 3's delay, Sgt. Collins confirmed that Medic 3 had a 6.6-minute delay in responding to the emergency. Sgt. Collins further testified that Medic 3's estimated response time was 2.4 minutes. Tr. p. 72. When asked if he provided the Fire Chief documentation of Medic 3's delay, Sgt. Collins testified in the affirmative. He further noted that the ten (10)-minute mark is when a physical alarm is received and overseen by the Fire Liaison Office. He testified that Medic 3 never broke the threshold of the ten (10)-minute mark which would alert that there was a significant delay in their response and initiate an investigation. Tr. pp. 72-73. Sgt Collins additionally testified that information about Medic 3's response time was provided to the fire chief because he requested it, even though Medic 3's response time did not initiate an alarm. Tr. p. 74. When Sgt. Collins was questioned whether he observed Medic 3 stop at a food establishment, he testified that he did not. Tr. p. 74

The Trial Board questioned Sgt. Collins about the process of confirming the accuracy of both the router and the I/tracker. Sgt Collins testified that the AMS website goes directly to the router to make sure that the router is online and determines how many satellites it is getting signals

from. He further explained that once that is determined, he goes to the CAD to show him where the unit is on a map. He stated that this process confirms that the router and CAD are communicating, and thus the I/tracker is accurate. He confirmed that he could get inaccurate information if the router was showing that it was not pinging in a normal way. Sgt. Collins reported that during the incident in question, his initial notification indicated that the AMS was tracking properly for the duration of the incident. Tr. pp 75-77. When the Trial Board questioned Sgt. Collins how many times a day he receives alerts for the ten-minute arrival alarm, Sgt. Collins testified that it varies because the alarm can go off for multiple reasons. He testified that all reasons are not potentially nefarious and malicious. Tr. p. 77. He indicated that a specific number of times the alarm goes off would be difficult to ascertain, but it does happen. Tr. p. 78.

Chief Jason Auth. ("Chief Auth") Tr. pp 79-99

Chief Auth testified that he has been employed by FEMS for over three years, and his current position is Battalion Fire Chief. Tr. p. 79. Chief Auth identified Agency's exhibit 5, page 11 as his endorsement to the report from Employee. Tr. p. 80. Chief Auth testified that on the day in question, he received documents regarding Ambulance 3's delayed response. He testified that the documents clearly showed that Ambulance 3 went the opposite direction for a period, stopped at another location, and then after some time proceeded the rest of the way to the incident. Tr. p. 81-82. He further testified that based on his investigation and knowledge, Ambulance 3 stopped in the vicinity of Chick-Fil-A restaurant. Tr. p. 82. Chief Auth testifies that in reference to Employee's special report, Employee's statement that this particular day was busy and thus they were not able to eat is not a valid excuse to delay a response. Tr. p. 83. Chief Auth was further questioned regarding Agency's Exhibit 3, which contains Employee's special report, in which Employee states that "this call was a medic unit call in which Medic 3 was dispatched on the call with us. We knew we would just be assisting them. So, we stopped for literally a few minutes, tops." Chief Auth testified that Employee's statement is not a valid excuse to delay a response. Tr. pp. 83- 84. When questioned about Employee's statement that there was no delay in patient care or response, Chief Auth testified that anytime you delay your response to an emergency, then you are delaying initiating care to a patient. Chief Auth agreed that minutes can be of significance to the care of a patient. Tr. p. 84.

Chief Auth testified regarding Agency's Exhibit 9E, which is video footage of Ambulance 3 during the incident in question. Chief Auth confirmed that the date stamp on the video was March 24, 2023, and is the same date that was reflected on his endorsement. Tr. p. 85. Chief Auth reviewed the video at the 1:32 mark and confirmed that he saw another ambulance unit at the location where Ambulance 3 arrived. Tr. p. 85. He testified that Employee's statement that Ambulance 3 arrived at Kaiser Permanente at the same time as Medic 3 was incorrect. Tr. p. 86. Chief Auth reviewed Agency's Exhibit 5 page 11, which is Chief Auth's endorsement. Chief Auth testified that he agreed with the endorsement provided by Lieutenant J. Brown. Tr. p. 86. When asked to review Agency's Exhibit 5 page 10, which is Lieutenant Brown's endorsement, Chief Auth agreed with the endorsement that Employee violated the Order Book, Article 6, General Rule of Conduct, Section 6, conduct unbecoming or any conduct that violates public trust or law of the United States, a law, municipal ordinance, or regulation of the District of Columbia, committed while on duty or off duty. He testified that it is a clear neglect of duty to delay your response to an

emergency. Tr. p. 87. Chief Auth also concurred with the statement "I cite [Employee] under Section 1603 of the District Personnel Manual, because no. 2, Subsection F, any on-duty or employment related to acts or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of the Government operations to include neglect of duty." Tr. pp. 87-88. Chief Auth further reviewed Agency's Exhibit 6, pages 23-34, which he described as news articles that depicted the incident in question. Tr. pp. 88-89. He testified that these news articles reflected negatively upon the department. Tr. p. 89.

On cross-examination, Chief Auth reviewed Firefighter's Exhibit 1. He confirmed that this document is titled 'Medical Dispatches to Healthcare Facilities' and noted the effective date on this exhibit as June 30, 2023, and confirmed this date is after the incident in question. Tr. p. 90. Chief Auth further agreed that this document says, "The Office of Unified Communications in collaboration with the Office of the Medical Director, OMD, will implement new protocols for handling 911 calls from healthcare facilities beginning July 3, 2023" Tr. Pp. 90-91. Chief Auth further responded affirmatively when asked if the dispatch in question came from a healthcare facility. He further agreed that the document stated "a sole ALS transport unit will be dispatched for chest pains/heart problems, and difficulty breathing…. If an ALS transport unit is not available within that time frame, a paramedic engine company and the BLS transport unit will be sent." Tr, p. 92. Chief Auth testified that Ambulance 3 is a BLS unit, which stands for Basic Life Support unit. He further testified that the other unit dispatched to this call was Medic 3, which is an Advance Life-support unit. Tr. pp. 92-93. When Chief Auth was questioned whether Medic 3 was within thirty (30) minutes of Kaiser Permanente at the time the call went out, he answered 'yes'.

When questioned if he was aware of how long it took Medic 3 to respond to the dispatch in question, Chief Auth answered that he was aware of Medic 3's response time but did not have that information in front of him. Tr. P. 93. Chief Auth testified that he became aware of Medic 3's response time because they also had a delay in responding. Tr. p. 94-95. When asked if the personnel on Medic 3 were issued disciplinary charges, Chief Auth stated that he believed they were and that he drafted their endorsements. Tr. pp. 95-96. However, he also noted that he could not recall with one-hundred percent accuracy if he drafted their endorsements, but he is pretty confident that he did. Chief Auth testified that he did not know if there were subsequent endorsements, and he was never asked to testify in a Trial Board Hearing involving Medic 3. Tr. pp. 97-98.

When asked by the Trial Board what normal standard procedures are followed in operations when there is a notification from the fire liaison that a member wasn't within their time to respond, Chief Auth testified that an investigation is initiated, and the members are cited if charges are warranted. Tr. P. 99.

Chief Jon Grover ("Chief Grover") Tr. pp. 100-119

Chief Grover testified that he is the Deputy Fire Chief of Operation, Platoon Number 3. He reviewed Agency's Exhibit 5, page 20, and identified it as his endorsement of Employee's discussion report. He testified that he remembered watching Rosco video footage of Employee on March 24, 2023, consistent with his endorsement. Tr. pp. 102-103. He further reviewed video

footage identified as Exhibit 9B and testified that the date stamp on the video was March 24, 2023, which was the same date of his endorsement. Tr. p. 103. After further reviewing the video footage, he testified that he saw several violations. Chief Grover testified that the members were not wearing seatbelts, Employee was eating while driving, and the member riding was on her telephone. Tr. pp. 104-105. He further testified that at one point in the video, not only was Employee eating, he also did not have either hand on the steering wheel. Chief Grover further noted that the ambulance was moving twenty-five miles per hour, which was noted in the bottom screen of the video. Tr. p. 104-105. Chief Grover also observed that Employee was not in proper uniform and that during that time of year he was required to wear his NFPA compliant navy-blue uniform shirt, displaying his name and rank. Tr. p. 105-106.

When asked about the observations he made regarding Employee in his endorsement as noted at Agency's Exhibit 5, Chief Grover stated that he concluded that Employee was not wearing a seatbelt, which was not in compliance with Order Book, Article 17. Tr. p. 106. He further stated that in his endorsement he addressed the observation made regarding Employee's eating while driving and concluded that eating while driving was clearly a distraction, in violation of Order Book, Article 17, subsection 4.7, which notes that all members are responsible for maintaining general awareness and promoting safety while riding in a department vehicle. Tr. p. 107. Chief Grover indicated that in his endorsement he concluded that Employee and his partner were not wearing uniforms, in compliance with Article 21. Chief Grover was then asked to review a video noted as Agency's exhibit 9-E. Chief Grover confirmed that the date stamp on the video showed the date in question, March 24, 2023. He noted that he observed that the ambulance was not brought to a complete stop at stop signs prior to proceeding to the intersection five times in the video. Tr. p. 109-112. Chief Grover testified that in his endorsement, he concluded that Employee did not stop as required by department policy. Tr. p. 112. Chief Grover confirmed that he reviewed the I/NetViewer information for Ambulance 3 in making his endorsement. Tr. p. 112-113.

Chief Grover reviewed Agency's Exhibit 2, page 5 and identified this document as a tracking of the I/NetViewer. He was questioned regarding the "run reference" in his endorsement, "path 230058704." Chief Grover affirmed that Ambulance 3 cleared this event number at timestamp 15:54. Chief Grover also agreed at that point, Ambulance 3 was required to travel in the most direct route possible and return to quarters. Tr. p. 113. Chief Grover affirmed that the next dispatch for Ambulance 3 was at timestamp 16:11:13, which is about seventeen minutes from the previous entry. Tr. p. 113. He agreed that EMS Operations Bulletin Number 19, states in part "without delay, the unit shall return to quarters, utilizing the fastest and most direct route of travel." He affirmed that if Ambulance 3 was using the fastest and most direct route of travel, it should have been able to make it back to quarters within those seventeen minutes. Chief Grover noted that to his knowledge, Ambulance 3, which was driven by Employee did not return to quarters during those seventeen minutes. Tr. pp. 113-114.

Chief Grover affirmed that he reviewed the Rosco camera footage to come up with the citations that are recorded in his endorsement. Chief Grover confirmed that Employee was wearing a quarter zip sweatshirt, with his department logo, name and rank on it. Tr. p. 115. Chief Grover stated that he is not aware of any firefighters and EMTs getting fired for wearing the wrong shirt, but further testified "that doesn't mean it hasn't happened. I'm just not aware of." He testified that

it is against department policy for firefighters and EMTs not to wear seatbelts. He noted that every time he is in a fire Department vehicle he puts on his seat belt. He reported that it has been the rule for years and it should be adhered to by everyone. Chief Grover further testified that he was not aware of anyone getting fired for eating in their vehicle. Tr. pp. 116-117. When asked if he checked every unit's footage to see if they were wearing the right shirt, or to see if they ate in their ambulance, or to see if they stopped at every signal, he indicated that he had not. Tr. p. 117.

Chief Grover responded affirmatively when questioned whether his endorsement stated that Ambulance 3 traveled from George Washington Hospital past their quarters to a fast-food restaurant, and whether that aspect of this case played a part in this matter coming to his attention. Chief Grover further testified that he does not recall any other units stopping at a fast-food restaurant that day. Tr. pp 118-119.

Employee's Case-in-Chief

Employee - Tr. pp. 120-195

Employee testified that he is from Northeast Washington, D.C. and attended Phelps Career High School. He testified he wanted to become a firefighter because it is an admirable career. Employee noted that firefighters put their lives on the line every day for the safety of others, and he felt like that was something that his community would be proud of. He indicated that he grew up down the street from Engine 10 and always looked up to them. Employee reported that he told himself if he did not become a ball player that he would pursue being a firefighter. He testified that he joined the Department in April 2019. Employee noted that his favorite part of the job was its fast-paced environment. He indicated that he learned something new every tour and how to better do his job and serve the community. He further stated that there is camaraderie and brotherhood, and the heroics of the job are rewarding. Tr. pp 121-122.

Employee further testified that he received the Outstanding EMT award in 2021 and 2022, as well as CPR pins for CPR saves and rescues. He testified that on the day in question he was on an overtime shift-working day off. Tr. p. 123. He testified that he works overtime all the time and is available any day that is not his shift, day and night. Employee reported that his overtime varies but provided a range of 48 to 100 hours, depending on the availability of the overtime. Tr. p. 123-124. Employee indicated that on the day in question, he had been working thirty-three (33) hours when the call at issue came in. Employee testified that he was located at 10th and H Streets NE, when he received the call. Employee reported that the call location was 700 2nd Street, which is Kaiser, a medical facility. He testified that it would take about four (4) or five (5) minutes to get from the corner of 10th Street NE to Kaiser. Tr. P. 124. He further testified that the distance between the two locations is .7 miles. Employee testified that he was traveling east on H Street when he received the call, and Kaiser was west of his location. Employee indicated that he did not immediately turn around when he received the call. He testified that he traveled about three to four blocks down H Street, made a right on 14th Street, pulled over, and stopped at Chick-fil-A on 14th Street and Maryland Avenue. When asked why he did this he testified that it was for his partner. Employee stated that she "complained about how she was feeling tired, fatigued, headaches, stomachaches and all that stuff from the lack of eating." Tr. Pp. 125. Employee testified that he

did not want his partner to become a patient. Accordingly, he stopped, allowing her to pick up food that she had ordered through an app on her phone. Tr. pp. 125-126.

Employee testified that his partner had ordered the food approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) minutes prior to arriving at Chick Fil-A, and prior to the call coming in. Employee noted that he did not get any food on that stop. He testified that the call came in around 16:11 and his shift started at 7:00a.m. Employee indicated that his partner had not eaten during that shift. Tr. p. 126. Employee indicated that in his experience, the side effects of not eating included becoming "hangry," and less empathetic and compassionate with the patients. He stated that you may miss things and take shortcuts. Employee testified that he felt horrible about his decision to stop at Chick-fil-A. Tr. p. 127.

When asked if he could explain why his partner was so fatigued, Employee responded that his partner later learned that she was pregnant. Tr. p. 128. Employee further testified that they were already in route to the restaurant when they received the call and were approximately four blocks away from the restaurant. Tr. pp. 128-129. Employee confirmed that his partner was the ambulance crewmember in charge. Employee reported that this was his first time working with his partner on the day in question. He further stated that he believes his partner had been employed with the Department for six years. Employee stated that in addition to his unit being sent to the call, Medic 3 was also dispatched to the same call. When asked why Medic 3 was also dispatched, he testified that this was an ALS call, which stands for Advance Life Support. Tr. p. 129. Employee reported that Medic 3 is a medic unit that provides advanced life support. Employee testified that an ALS unit knows how to administer medication that corrects heart rhythm. He testified that his unit is a Basic Life Support Unit. Tr. pp. 129-130.

Employee indicated that when he arrived at Kaiser Permanente, the ALS unit was parked in front of 700 2nd Street and arrived seconds before his unit. He testified that when his unit pulled up, Medic 3 was putting the cot on the curb, and walking towards the building. Tr. pp 130-131. Upon review of Agency's Exhibit 9-E, Employee identified the building in the footage as Kaiser, 200 2nd Street. He also identified another paramedic in the footage. When asked if this paramedic saw Employee pull up, Employee responded in the affirmative and noted that the firefighter looked back and looked at him and his partner when they were at the corner of 2nd and G Streets. Tr. p. 131-132. Employee testified that they receive many calls to Kaiser Permanente, and almost every call was for an ALS unit. He testified that the doctors feel more comfortable with passing care of a patient to an ALS Unit versus a BLS unit. He further testified that an ALS unit has one paramedic and one firefighter emergency medical technician, and BLS unit has two firefighter emergency medical technicians. Tr. p. 132. Employee testified that a paramedic can administer medication to correct cardiac issues. Employee confirmed that a firefighter EMT cannot start an IV, transport a patient with an IV, or put heart monitors on a patient. Employee testified that the call in question to Kaiser Permanente was for cardiac-related hypertension, irregular EKG, and chest pain. Tr. p. 133.

Employee was questioned about the process when both an ALS and BLS unit are assigned to a call, and the BLS arrives first. Employee testified that a BLS unit would go introduce themselves to the patient, doctor, and nurse, and let them know that they are waiting for a medic

to arrive. Tr. p. 134. Employee further testified that the medical staff expects an ALS unit to arrive. When asked if his BLS unit had arrived first on the scene that day, what would have happened, Employee testified they generally stand by in case additional manpower is required or the call is downgraded to a BLS unit. He testified that standby meant they generally sit outside and wait for the instruction. Tr. pp. 134-135. When asked what he could have done if he went inside Kaiser on the day in question, Employee testified that there was not much he could do other than introduce himself. Employee affirmed that, due to a change in policy, if this call came in today, only the ALS unit would have been called. Tr. p. 135.

Employee is shown Firefighter's Exhibit 1 and asked to read the first paragraph, and states "The Office of Unified Communication in collaboration with the office of the Medical Director, OMB, will implement new protocols for handling 911 calls from health-care facilities beginning July 3, 2023." Tr. p. 135-136. Employee answered 'yes' when asked if this policy went into effect a few months after the incident in question. Tr. p. 136. Employee was asked what the phrase unnecessary strain on the system means to which he explained that it was using unnecessary resources or having too many units on one call that should not be there. He testified that the consequences of assigning too many units to one call had the effect of taking units away that could be helping other people. Tr. pp. 136-137. Employee was directed back to Exhibit 1 and asked to look at the first bullet point. He confirmed that it stated a sole ALS transporting unit available to arrive within thirty (30) minutes will be dispatched for chest pain or heart problems. He also confirmed that this document also lists cardiac monitoring. Employee further affirmed that the call at issue was coded as a cardiac issue, irregular EKG, and chest pains Tr. p. 137-138. Employee testified that Medic 3 was in quarters when the call came in. He noted that Medic 3's quarters are four (4) or five (5) minutes from Kaiser Permanente and approximately .7 miles. Tr. p. 138. Employee was directed to turn to page 3 of Exhibit 1 and he confirmed that Kaiser Permanente was one of the approved medical facilities in the document. Employee implied that if the call at issue happened today, a BLS unit would not be placed on this call. It would solely be an ALS unit. Tr. pp. 138-139.

Employee reviewed Agency's Exhibit 3 and identified it as his own special report, dated March 24, 2023. He testified that this was not the first special report he wrote about the incident in question. Employee testified that his first special report was a one-liner saying he made a mistake and was apologetic about it. Employee testified that this initial special report was "scrapped" by Lieutenant Jay Brown. Employee testified that Lieutenant Brown pointed him in a different direction, telling him to elaborate on what happened on the call, and that Employee did not want to seem like he did not care, or give the Department a reason to look at it like that. Employee testified that Lieutenant Brown prompted him to write his most recent special report. Tr. p. 139. Employee reported that he had never been in trouble on his job before, he had never written a special report and had no disciplinary history with Agency. Tr. pp. 139-141.

Employee testified that if he could go back in time to when he was on Ambulance 3 and received that call, he would have just gone to the call, went upstairs to make contact with the patient and the nurses. He testified that this has been a teachable moment, and while he made a mistake, it is not who he is and is a contradiction to who he is. He testified that he learned from it and if given the opportunity, he would never be in this situation again. Employee testified that he

worked hard to get here, and to be in that situation is disappointing. Tr. pp. 141-142. When asked if there is anything else he would like to tell the Trial Board, Employee responded that he apologized for his actions. He learned from them, and if given the opportunity to retain his career, he would never be in this type of situation again. He also apologized for the negativity that he caused on the Department. He testified that moving forward, if given the opportunity, he would be more positive and encourage the newer members not to make the same mistakes. Tr. p. 142.

Upon review of page 5 of Agency's Exhibit 2, Employee confirmed that this document was the I/NetViewer information for Ambulance 3 on March 24, 2023. Employee further confirmed that he was the driver of Ambulance 3 at this time. Tr. p. 143. Employee additionally confirmed that according to this document, Ambulance 3 went back into service at timestamp 15:54:15, from the prior run which was at George Washington University Hospital. Tr. p. 143. When asked what action was taken to signal that a unit was back in service, Employee testified that one of the people in the unit would hit "in service" from the cab, and it is sent to "communications." Employee testified that he did not recall if it was him or his partner who signaled that Ambulance 3 was back in service. Tr. p. 145. Employee was questioned whether he was paged by Sgt. Collins to go back in service at that time or if he did on his own volition. Employee responded that he did on his own. He testified that after going back in service, he made a stop at 6th and H Streets, the location of Cava to get something to eat. He testified that this was between the prior service call and the dispatch in question. Tr. p. 146. He confirmed that his partner had the opportunity to also get food from Cava, and if she had, the stop at Chick-fil-A would never have happened. When asked whether the notion that Employee's partner simply never had time to get food is incorrect, Employee responded that his partner does not eat Cava. When asked if he and his partner lacked the time to get food of their own preference, Employee affirmed that was a fair assessment. Tr. p. 147.

Employee identified Agency's Exhibit 3 page 8, as Employee's special report. Employee was asked to review the portion of this document that stated 'there is no delay in patient care or response.' When asked if this statement was inaccurate, Employee testified that he was prompted to say that and put emphasis on that. Employee testified that he was not forced to write it but was encouraged to write it. Tr. P. 148. He further testified that since he had never been in trouble before, he was not privy to how special reports work for disciplinary action. Employee noted that when he was prompted to write it, he agreed with it because a higher-ranking officer brought something to his attention that he agreed with. Tr. p. 149.

Employee confirmed that at the time of the dispatch he was on 10th and H Streets, NE, which is four or five minutes from Kaiser Permanente. Tr. p. 149. When asked whether the document he had in front of him is Google Maps documenting the spot where he received the dispatch location to the emergency, he replied, "not totally. This is saying now 5th and H Street. I was on 10th and H Street." Employee confirmed that the estimated time to the emergency was three minutes, which is less than four or five minutes, as he estimated in his earlier testimony. Tr. pp. 150-151. He confirmed that it took him over ten minutes to respond to the emergency, and that the delay included driving several blocks in the wrong direction and sitting at Chick-Fil-A for approximately four minutes. Tr. p. 151. Employee further affirmed that chest pain can be indicative of a serious emergency, and in such an emergency every second counts. Tr. p. 151.

Employee reviewed Agency's video Exhibit 9-D, which was video footage of Ambulance 3 and identified himself in the footage. When asked if he agreed that he looked nonchalant in that portion of the video, Employee disagreed. He testified that he is always concerned about his patients. Tr. 152. Employee was questioned about his statement in Agency's Exhibit 3, page 8, in which Employee stated, "still ended up arriving on scene at the same time as Medic 3." He was asked if that statement was accurate, to which Employee testified that they did not arrive at the exact same time as Medic 3, but seconds after them. Tr. p. 153. Employee was asked to review Agency's Exhibit 2, page 5, and Employee affirmed that the arrival time for this dispatch was 16:21:44. Tr. pp 153-154. Referencing Firefighter's Exhibit 2, Employee was is asked if Medic 3's arrival time was 16:19:31. Employee confirms that this was about a two-minute difference in arrival times between Medic 3 and Ambulance 3. Tr. p. 155. Employee further confirmed that if he had reported directly to the emergency, he would have arrived a few minutes before Medic 3. Employee was questioned about his statement in Firefighters Exhibit 1, in which he mentioned that the unnecessary strain on the system referred to the fact that it was taking units away from helping patients at other places. Tr. p. 155-156. Employee was then asked whether that unnecessary strain refers to taking time from units spending time at Chick-fil-A., to which he replied "no, I don't." Tr. p. 156.

While referencing Firefighter's Exhibit 1, which gives a few scenarios where a BLS unit would be called to an emergency, Employee was asked whether he would not go to a dispatch because he did not think it necessary as a BLS unit. Employee responded that he would always go. He agreed that it was important for units to respond and report when dispatched. Employee further confirmed that he thought this was a serious case, perception is reality, and how it was perceived was not his intention. He further testifies that it was not his intention to cast a negative light on the Department. Tr. pp. 156-157. Employee stated that he made a mistake for which he was truly sorry. Tr. pp. 157-158.

On redirect, Employee testified that a higher-ranking officer influenced what he wrote in his special report. Employee testified that the officer noted to address the length of the report, provide more detail, add that there was not a delay in care due to another ALS unit arriving at the emergency, and that the ALS unit was the same distance from quarters. Tr. p. 158. Employee reviewed video footage identified as Firefighter's Exhibit 2, which is video footage of Ambulance 3 on the day in question, at timestamp 16:19:30. Employee confirmed that at this timestamp Medic 3 was still moving and accordingly arrived after 16:19:30. Tr. p. 159.

When questioned by the Trial Board, Employee affirmed that he was assigned Engine 3, Number 2 Platoon. He testified that he graduated from the academy in June 2020. Tr. p. 160-161. Employee affirmed that at the time of the incident in question, he had been in operation for almost three years. Employee confirmed that he has never been assigned anywhere other than Engine 3, Number 2. Employee testified that he generally worked a lot of overtime and ninety-five percent of the time it is on Ambulance 3 or Medic 3. Tr. pp. 161-162. He affirmed that most of his overtime had been in the firehouse on one of these two transport units. Employee testified that he was not trained to stop for any reason during a dispatch. Tr. p. 162. Employee noted that there have been times in the firehouse when a call comes in, you may run to the bathroom, which is common. Employee indicated that he has never been on a dispatch and stopped somewhere other than the

dispatch location. Tr. p. 163. Employed confirmed that neither formally or informally was he ever given directions to make a stop on the way to an emergency. He further confirmed that no one has given him the impression that he, as an emergency responder had a role in determining either the dispatch priority or what types of runs to which he is required to respond. Tr. p. 164. Employee agreed that once a unit is dispatched, the unit is to go to the emergency and figure it out. It can be elevated to a larger response or escalated to a smaller response, but that cannot happen until the unit arrives on the scene. Tr. p. 165.

A member of the Trial board asked Employee for clarification of his testimony in which he stated that an EMT cannot offer assistance for a patient complaining of chest pain, and whether he stands by this testimony. Tr. p. 165. Employee testified that at that particular setting, there is nothing they can do because the transfer of care has not been granted to them. He testified that once they arrive at Kaiser, generally the doctor and nurse are there, and they are not willing to transfer care because they requested an ALS unit. The Trial Board asked Employee what the Department's expectation was when he arrived on the scene of an emergency. Tr. p. 166. Employee responded that he is meant to render care. He testified that when they walk in and see the patient, they are supposed to get a general impression. Employee stated his next step would be to talk to the patient, and ask them what is going on, and how are they feeling. Tr. p 166-167.

The Trial Board asked Employee whether he was able to downgrade the emergency to a BLS unit after making his initial assessment. Employee noted that in this particular case he could not have downgraded it because it was already deemed that the patient had an irregular EKG, and chest pain. Tr. p. 169. When asked by the Trial Board whether a BLS unit was able to make an assessment and determine whether the emergency was ALS or BLS, Employee responded in the affirmative. Tr. p. 170. The Trial Board asked Employee what time he assumed duty that day, to which Employee replied he was already there. Tr. p. 170. Member Carmody asked Employee what time his partner assumed duty and Employee testified that he was not sure. Employee confirmed that both he and his partner stated in their special reports that it was a busy day. Tr. p. 172. Employee indicated that a busy day can consist of eight (8) or more runs in a twelve (12)-hour shift. Employee testified that he was not sure of how many runs he had on the day of the incident in question but stated that they had approximately seven (7) or eight (8) runs. Employee noted that it was not just the runs, but the time spent at a dispatch location, which may be twenty minutes or two to three hours. Tr. p. 173-174.

When questioned by the Trial Board why his partner did not eat during the break they had between 7:41 and 8:42. Employee testified that he was not sure why his partner did not eat. Employee further stated that he had been there for twenty-four (24) hours and preferred to use that time to attend to personal care. Tr. pp. 174-175. When asked by the Trial Board why his fifth run of the day was for the exact same transport number and location as a previous run, Employee responded that it could have been a glitch with the system, and they do not have control over the dispatches they receive. Tr. pp 176-178.

Employee confirmed that after clearing a dispatch at 12:30p.m. his partner left the ambulance and went to the restroom for an extensive time and noted that she said she was feeling bad all day. Employee stated that his partner entered a high-rise building while they were on a call

and went inside to use the facility. Tr. pp. 180-182. The Trial Board sought clarification on Employee's statement that it was a very busy day, and they had no time to eat. When the Trial Board indicated that Employee and his partner had a break right in the middle of what most would consider lunchtime and neither of them took the opportunity to eat, Employee stated that he could only speak for himself, but he prefers to fast and does not eat prior to certain times. Employee stated that he tried to eat after 12:00p.m. Tr. pp. 183. Employee stated that he stopped at Cava prior to the incident in question. When the Trial Board asked Employee in his opinion whether there was any acceptable reason, aside from coming across another emergency, for stopping an emergency response vehicle in route to a medical emergency or other emergency, Employee testified there was not, not even for personal reasons. Tr p. 184.

When asked by the Trial Board how much time was spent on Medic 3 versus Engine 3 when he was at work on a regular shift, Employee testified that there were three of them and they rotated out. Employee confirmed that at least half of his time was spent on some sort of transport. Tr. pp. 185-186. Employee testified that he has done many runs to Kaiser Permanente, and those runs were routine. Employee confirmed that he told his partner that he was heading to Cava to order lunch and his partner told him she did not like Cava, which was why she ordered from a different location. Employee affirmed that his partner did not decline Cava because she was not feeling well or was not hungry, but because she did not like Cava. Tr. pp. 186-187. He further stated that his partner orders from Chick-Fil-A at the same time Employee ordered from Cava. Employee testified that when they received the dispatch in question, he suggested to his partner that they quickly go get her food, then head to the location of the emergency Tr. p. 187-188. Employee indicated this his partner said she felt as if she would pass out and was feeling nauseated. Employee testified that he remembered in the academy they were taught to prioritize their own safety, their partner's safety, then the safety of the patient. He further testified that he did not want his partner to become a patient because she would be no good to him if she could not help. Tr. p. 188-89.

Employee further testified that he turned off the sirens when they arrived at Chick-fil-A to be inconspicuous. Employee confirmed that if the emergency had been for a patient choking versus a patient at Kaiser Permanente, then he would not have stopped. Tr. p. 189. When asked by the Trial Board whether he requested a union representative when asked by a higher ranking official to change his special report, Employee testified that he did not know that was an option because he had never been in trouble before. He stated that he complied with the suggestion because it came from a higher ranking official, and did not question it. Tr. p. 190. When the Trial Board asked Employee what he would have written in his special report, Employee testified that he would have written that he made a mistake, and he was truly apologizing for it, and that he was trying to protect the interests of his partner. He further stated that he would have noted that his partner was about to pass out or something along those lines. Employee stated that the higher-ranking official advised him that he was coming across like he did not care, and that he did not want to give the impression to the Department that he did not care and advised Employee to go into more detail. Tr. p. 191.

The Trial Board questioned Employee about his statements about his partner's fatigue on the day in question. The Trial Board noted in the ambulance footage that Employee's partner was

singing loudly and talking on her phone. When asked by the Trial Board about his partner's behavior on the ambulance video footage, Employee responded that his partner had been saying she was not feeling well that day. Employee testified that his partner received a phone call when they were at Cava, and whomever it was must have been a bright spot for her, because it was obvious she liked the conversation. Tr. p. 192.

On re-cross examination, When Employee was asked why he did not go get his partner's food if she was feeling fatigued, Employee testified that since he was driving and in control of the unit, it was better for her to get out. He confirmed that when they stopped at Cava, he was the one who went into Cava, not his partner. Tr. p. 193.

Employee stated that he apologized for his behavior and took full accountability for his role. He further stated that he was an asset to the Department, he loved his job and was proud of it. He asked for the ability to retain his career as this was a teachable moment for him from which he had learned. Employee stated that it would never happen again. Tr. pp 194-195.

Lieutenant Stanley Jaworski ("Lieutenant Jaworksi"). Tr. Pp. 196-203

Lieutenant Jaworski he was a lieutenant assigned to Engine Company Number 3. Tr. p. 196. Lieutenant Jaworski testified that Employee had been assigned to his company for approximately three years. Lieutenant Jaworski testified that when Employee completed the academy, Employee was appointed to his shift. He testified that he has had the opportunity to observe Employee's abilities as an EMT. Tr. pp. 196-197. Lieutenant Jaworski described Employee as very competent at the EMT part of his job and noted that Employee has great bedside manners, and there had never been an issue with job performance. Lieutenant Jaworski further described Employee as hard-working with a work ethic equal to the other good employees because he is a good employee. Tr. pp. 197-198. Lieutenant Jaworski further noted that Employee had a great willingness to learn, loved driving the rig, and had a great interest in learning the District so he could be a better driver. He further testified that when he heard about the incident at issue, and that Employee would no longer be working with him, he was surprised because Employee had always done a good job. He acknowledged that he was surprised at the bad decision made. Lieutenant Jaworski stated that Employee was a valuable member of the team. Tr. p. 198.

Lieutenant Jaworski stated he had hoped Employee had learned his lesson, and from the few times he spoke with him, in general, it seems like he realized that he had made a bad mistake and was hoping to receive a second chance. Lieutenant Jaworski stated that if given the opportunity he would like to have him back as an Employee, because he did good work, he was very capable of doing the job and had never had any problems prior to this one. Tr. p. 199. Lieutenant Jaworski further testified that today's new hires were mixed bag unlike years ago. He stated that Employee was one of the people who was clearly capable of doing the job, was hard-working, and did very good work. Lieutenant Jaworski further testified that Employee worked a lot of overtime that the Department needed. He further stated that Employee was always signing up to help out. He further stated that Employee kept himself fit. Lieutenant Jaworski testified that whenever firefighting was necessary, Employee had defeated each door when forcible entry was required. Tr. p. 200-201.

Lieutenant Jaworski further confirmed that bedside manners were really important for the EMT side of the job. He testified that Employee knew the protocols and provides good care. Lieutenant Jaworski indicated that Employee had a great personality and was able to relate to people. He stated that Employee could sometimes bridge in difficult situations where the patient was going through a bad episode. He testified that Employee was able to speak to them and make them comfortable. Tr. p. 202. Lieutenant Jaworski testified that the incident in question was a very out of character event that got him in trouble.

When asked if he thought Employee could be valuable during a medical emergency, even if he needed to wait for someone else to get there to provide transport or other measures, Lieutenant Jaworski answered in the affirmative. Lieutenant Jaworski testified that Employee had bedside manners, knew his protocols, provided good care, and was very dependable. Tr. p. 203. Lieutenant Jaworski further noted that Employee would provide the proper care and would not need someone there to oversee his work. Tr. p. 203.

Firefighter Delonte Nelson ("Nelson") Tr. pp. 205-220

Nelson testified that he was an 'am' Firefighter EMT beside Engine 5 and Ambulance 2. He noted that he was at Engine 3 before that and had been with the department since 2012. Tr. p. 205. He stated that he was appointed to Engine 3 in 2013. Tr. p. 206. He testified that he typically rode on a BLS and ALS unit. Nelson reported that he has been called to Kaiser Permanente numerous times. Nelson noted that Kaiser requested an ALS unit ninety to ninety-five percent of the time. Tr. P. 206. Nelson testified that generally, when on a Kaiser call, if a BLS unit was called, an ALS unit would be present as well. He indicated that when a BLS unit arrived first, they usually go into Kaiser and make contact with the doctor or nurse Tr. p. 207. Nelson noted that nine out of ten times, they want an ALS unit. Tr. p. 208.

Nelson indicated that on an ALS call to a house, they go in, make an assessment, evaluate the patient, and then make the determination as to whether to upgrade, downgrade, or transport the patient themselves. He testified that the difference at Kaiser is that this evaluation and assessment has already been done. Nelson indicated that at Kaiser, when the BLS unit arrives first, they will get on the radio and officially request an ALS. In the meantime, the BLS unit is on standby. The BLS unit EMT's may gather the patient's belongings, get the 'face sheet' and demographics and input them into the "ePCR" to get the ball rolling for the ALS, but they do not perform patient care. The patient remains under Kaiser's care until the ALS unit arrives. Tr. p. 208. Nelson testified that an ALS unit is requested because a higher level of care is required than EMTs can provide. So if Kaiser cannot provide care, they want the call transferred to the highest level of care. Tr. p. 209.

Nelson testified that when he has been on a BLS call for an ALS call to Kaiser, there have been times when he arrived after the ALS unit. They are generally instructed to fall back and standby. Tr. p. 209. The ALS unit then makes their evaluation and either transports the patient or downgrades the call to the BLS unit. Nelson testified that it usually takes approximately five minutes to get from Engine 3 to Kaiser.

Nelson reported that he met Employee when he was a recruit at the training school. He had the opportunity to ride third on Engine 3, so Nelson and Employee became close through that experience. Nelson further testified that Employee was assigned to Engine 3 straight out of the academy. Tr. p. 210. Nelson reported that he and Employee have worked together and done years of worth of calls together. He stated they got a lot of calls. Nelson testified that Employee was an exceptional firefighter with excellent physical ability, and a positive attitude. Nelson testified that he never had to second guess Employee's abilities. Tr. p. 211. Nelson further testified that Employee had great work ethic, always shows up on time, asked questions, took initiative, and was a team player Tr. p. 212. Nelson testified that his work ethic was above that of his peers. He noted that some of the younger firefighters were lazy and you could not tell them anything, but Employee was the opposite and a starter. Tr. p. 213.

Nelson reported that Employee worked a lot of overtime and made himself available almost every day outside of his normal shift and would come in at the last minute if needed. Tr. p. 214. Nelson noted that he had never witnessed Employee make a mistake with patient care or protocol. Tr. p. 215. Nelson stated that if given the opportunity to work with Employee again he would with "no question, one hundred percent." Tr. p. 216. He testified that he believed Employee has learned his lesson. Tr. p. 217.

On cross-examination, Nelson was questioned whether he knew when Kaiser would accept a BLS unit and he testified that there were very few times when Kaiser accepted a BLS unit. He testified that for a 911 call, Kaiser wanted an ALS unit and that in very rare instances, a BLS unit would be required. Tr. pp. 218-219.

A member of the Trial Board asked Nelson if a BLS unit arrived prior to an ALS and it was of high priority, what the protocol says regarding the transport of the patient. Nelson responded that a BLS unit would likely transport the patient. Tr. pp. 219-220.

Panel Findings[5]

The Trial Board Panel made the following findings of fact based on their review of the evidence presented at the hearing. The Trial Board Panel found the following:

Findings of Fact

1) All evidence presented led the Panel to conclude that FF/EMT [Employee] of the offenses described in **Charge 1:**

    a. Although he pled not guilty at the hearing, FF/EMT [Employee] admitted that he violated Department Policy by stopping at Chick-fil-A while on an emergency run. FF/EMT [Employee's] testimony confirms that he violated Department policy by receiving an emergency medical services dispatch and driving in the opposite direction to get lunch for his partner at the Chick-

[5] *Id*. at tabs 25 & 27.

Fil-A Restaurant. Both the ambulance video footage and the I-Tracker data confirmed this misconduct.

b. FF/EMT [Employee] testified that his misconduct did not impact patient care; however, the Panel concludes that it hampered the Department's efficiency and diminished the public trust in the Department's ability to achieve its mission to preserve life and promote health and safety through excellent pre-hospital treatment and transportation. FF/EMT [Employee's] blatant disregard of Department policies and standards requiring providers to properly respond to dispatched emergencies was grossly negligent.

c. FF/EMT [Employee] testified that he stopped because his partner was fatigued; however, video footage showed a joyful partner who exhibited no signs of fatigue throughout the response.

d. The Panel is particularly troubled by the fact that FF/EMT [Employee] had a two-hour break before this response, which was ample time for him to get lunch.

2) All evidence presented led the Panel to also conclude that FF/EMT [Employee] is guilty of the offenses described in Charge 2; specifically, the ambulance footage established by preponderant evidence that FF/EMT [Employee] neglected his duties by showing the following careless actions and attitudes after he was dispatched:

a. He willingly responded to Chick-fil-A with lights and sirens and stopped at the location for several minutes, knowing he was on an emergency response.

b. FF/EMT [Employee] ate lunch while on a dispatched response while waiting for his partner to return from Chick-fil-A.

c. In addition, once his partner was in the ambulance, FF/EMT [Employee] began responding and he violated Department policy by eating while driving, not wearing a seatbelt, and disregarding stop signs on several occasions.

d. Finally, FF/EMT [Employee] failed to don the proper uniform shirt required by Order Book Article XXI (Uniforms).

Upon consideration and evaluation of all the testimony, The Trial Board found that there was a preponderance of evidence to sustain the charges against Employee. In addition to making

the findings of fact, the Panel also weighed the offenses against the relevant *Douglas* factors[6] and concluded that termination was the appropriate penalty for these offenses.[7]

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW[8]

Pursuant to the D.C. Court of Appeals holding in *Elton Pinkard v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Department*,[9] OEA has a limited role where a departmental hearing has been held. According to *Pinkard*, the D.C. Court of Appeals found that OEA generally has jurisdiction over employee appeals from final agency decisions involving adverse actions under the Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act ("CMPA"). The statute gives OEA broad discretion to decide its own procedures for handling such appeals and to conduct evidentiary hearings.[10] The Court of Appeals held that:

> "OEA may not substitute its judgment for that of an agency. Its review of the agency's decision is limited to a determination of whether it was supported by substantial evidence, whether there was harmful procedural error, or whether it was in accordance with law or applicable regulations. The OEA, as a reviewing authority, must generally defer to the agency's credibility determinations."

Additionally, the Court of Appeals found that OEA's broad power to establish its own appellate procedures is limited by Agency's Collective Bargaining Agreement. Thus, pursuant to *Pinkard*, an Administrative Judge of this Office may not conduct a *de novo* hearing in an appeal

---

[6] *Douglas v. Veterans Administration*, 5 M.S.P.R. 313 (1981). The *Douglas* factors provide that an agency should consider the following when determining the penalty of adverse action matters:

1) the nature and seriousness of the offense, and its relation to the employee's duties, position, and responsibilities including whether the offense was intentional or technical or inadvertent, or was committed maliciously or for gain, or was frequently repeated;
2) the employee's job level and type of employment, including supervisory or fiduciary role, contacts with the public, and prominence of the position;
3) the employee's past disciplinary record;
4) the employee's past work record, including length of service, performance on the job, ability to get along with fellow workers, and dependability;
5) the effect of the offense upon the employee's ability to perform at a satisfactory level and its effect upon supervisors' confidence in employee's ability to perform assigned duties;
6) consistency of the penalty with those imposed upon other employees for the same or similar offenses;
7) consistency of the penalty with any applicable agency table of penalties;
8) the notoriety of the offense or its impact upon the reputation of the agency;
9) the clarity with which the employee was on notice of any rules that were violated in committing the offense, or had been warned about the conduct in question;
10) potential for the employee's rehabilitation;
11) mitigating circumstances surrounding the offense such as unusual job tensions, personality problems, mental impairment, harassment, or bad faith, malice or provocation on the part of others involved in the matter; and

the adequacy and effectiveness of alternative sanctions to deter such conduct in the future by the employee or others.

[7] Agency Answer, tabs 25 & 27 (December 15, 2023).

[8] Although I may not discuss every aspect of the evidence in the analysis of this case, I have carefully considered the entire record. *See Antelope Coal Co./Rio Tino Energy America v. Goodin*, 743 F.3d 1331, 1350 (10th Cir. 2014) (citing *Clifton v. Chater*, 79 F.3d 1007, 1009-10 (10th Cir. 1996)) ("The record must demonstrate that the ALJ considered all of the evidence, but an ALJ is not required to discuss every piece of evidence").

[9] 801 A.2d 86 (D.C. 2002).

[10] *See* D.C. Code §§ 1-606.02(a)(2), 1-606.03(a)(c); 1-606.04 (2001).

before him/her, but must rather base his/her decision solely on the record below, when all of the following conditions are met:

> 1. The appellant (Employee) is an employee of the Metropolitan Police Department or the D.C. Fire & Emergency Medical Services Department;
>
> 2. The employee has been subjected to an adverse action;
>
> 3. The employee is a member of a bargaining unit covered by a collective bargaining agreement;
>
> 4. The collective bargaining agreement contains language essentially the same as that found in *Pinkard*, *i.e.*: "[An] employee may appeal his adverse action to the Office of Employee Appeals. In cases where a Departmental hearing [*i.e.*, Adverse Action Panel] has been held, any further appeal shall be based solely on the record established in the Departmental hearing"; *and*
>
> 5. *At the agency level, Employee appeared before an Adverse Action Panel that conducted an evidentiary hearing*, made findings of fact and conclusions of law, and recommended a course of action to the deciding official that resulted in an adverse action being taken against Employee (emphasis added).

There is no dispute that the current matter falls under the purview of *Pinkard*. Employee is a member of the D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department and was the subject of an adverse action (termination); Employee is a member of the International Fire Fighters Local 36, AFL-CIO MWC Union ("Union") which has a Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") with Agency. The CBA contains language similar to that found in *Pinkard* and Employee appeared before an Adverse Action Panel on September 7, 2023, for an evidentiary hearing. This Panel made findings of fact, conclusions of law and recommended that Employee be terminated for the current charges. Consequently, I find that *Pinkard* applies in this matter. Accordingly, pursuant to *Pinkard*, OEA may not substitute its judgement for that of the Agency, and the undersigned's review of Agency's decision in this matter is limited to the determination of (1) whether the Trial Board Panel's decision was supported by substantial evidence; (2) whether there was harmful procedural error; and (3) whether Agency's action was done in accordance with applicable laws or regulations.

Whether the Adverse Action Panel's decision was supported by substantial evidence

Pursuant to *Pinkard*, the undersigned must determine whether the Trial Board Panel's ("Panel") decision was supported by substantial evidence. Substantial evidence is defined as evidence that a reasonable mind could accept as adequate to support a conclusion.[11] If the Panel's findings are

[11] *Mills v. District of Columbia Department of Employment Services*, 838 A.2d 325 (D.C. 2003) and *Black v. District of Columbia Department of Employment Services*, 801 A.2d 983 (D.C. 2002).

supported by substantial evidence, then the undersigned must accept them even if there is substantial evidence in the record to support findings to the contrary.[12]

Agency's Position

Agency maintains that the evidence supports the charges and specifications. Agency argues that Employee intentionally delayed his response to a medical dispatch to make a food stop, and further disregarded Agency safety protocols by failing to completely stop at five (5) signs, driving without a seatbelt, driving with no hands on the wheel at one point, and eating while driving.[13] Agency maintains that Employee's misconduct was documented by tracking data, video footage, and Employee's own admission.[14]

Agency notes that video footage presented at the Trial Board Hearing shows that Employee received the dispatch at 4:11p.m. on the day in question. Agency avers that the video shows Ambulance 3 continuing in the opposite direction of the dispatch location and arriving at Chick-Fil-A. Agency maintains that Employee then sat idle in the Ambulance for four minutes, while his partner went inside Chick-Fil-A, then returned with her meal. Agency maintains that Ambulance 3 did not head in the direction of the dispatch location until approximately six minutes had passed from the time Employee received the initial dispatch Agency further avers that Employee did not show concern for the patient, violated Agency public service standards, failed to offer assistance when requested, failed to carry out assigned tasks, and demonstrated careless work habits, amounting to neglect of duty.[15]

Agency maintains that Employee was properly terminated, and Employee's rationale does not excuse his misconduct.[16] Agency argues that Employee had a two-hour break prior to the dispatch during which he and his partner could have taken a lunch break. Agency further notes that while Employee stated that his partner claimed fatigue and malaise, the video footage shows her laughing on her phone in route to Chick-Fil-A.[17] Agency also notes that the video footage shows Employee's partner get out of the ambulance on her own and back into the ambulance after retrieving her food without any problem. According to Agency, Employee did not offer to retrieve his partner's food from Chick-Fil-A. Agency argues that Employee's actions are not consistent with his rationale that he stopped because his partner was feeling fatigued and ill.[18]

In support of the second charge of neglect of duty, Agency notes that the Trial Board found that Employee violated department policy by eating while driving, not wearing a seatbelt, and disregarding stop signs on several occasions.[19] Agency also notes that Employee failed to wear the proper uniform shirt required by Order Book Article XXI.[20] Agency maintains that there is

[12] *Baumgartner v. Police and Firemen's Retirement and Relief Board,* 527 A.2d 313 (D.C. 1987).
[13] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 27 (December 15, 2023). *See also.* Agency's Brief, p. 3 (May 8, 2024).
[14] Agency's Brief, pp. 6, 7 (May 8, 2024).
[15] *Id*. at 6.
[16] *Id*. at 7.
[17] *Id*.
[18] Agency's Reply Brief, p. 3 (June 28, 2024)
[19] Agency's Brief, *supra* note 13 at 7.
[20] *Id*.

substantial evidence in the record to support its findings, including testimony by Chief Grover, in which he identified these violations in his review of the ambulance video footage. Agency notes that during his testimony, Chief Grover identified the points in the ambulance video footage where Employee committed each driving infraction. Agency maintains that the I- Tracker data, Ambulance 3 video footage, and Employee's own admissions are substantial, and show that Employee delayed its response to an emergency dispatch which supports the neglect of duty charges.[21]

Employee's Position

Employee maintains that his actions do not amount to neglect of duty. Employee argues that he stopped for food at Chick-fil-A while dispatched on an emergency because his partner complained of feeling fatigued from not eating. Employee stated that he feared his partner would become a patient; thus, he stopped to let her pick up the food she had previously ordered from the Chick-fil-A mobile application several minutes prior to receiving the dispatch.[22] Employee further averred that the dispatch was an Advanced Life Support Unit call. Employee explained that an Advanced Life Support Unit ("ALS") can offer services, including starting an IV, transporting a patient with an IV, perform EKGs, and place heart monitors on patients. Employee noted that Kaiser Permanente, the call location was one of his frequent runs. Employee argues that because his unit, Ambulance 3 was a Basic Life Support unit, he and his partner would have likely been on standby until an ALS unit arrived.[23]

Employee further avers that on the day in question, there was no more he could have done for the patient other than introduce himself because an ALS unit was requested. Employee further notes that Agency changed its policy shortly after the incident in question. Per this new policy, only an ALS unit is dispatched to healthcare facilities for patients with chest and/or heart problems.[24] Employee maintains that despite stopping to get food for his partner, there was no delay in patient care and therefore his conduct does not rise to the level of neglect of duty.[25] Employee further argues that despite arriving at the same time as the ALS Unit-Medic 3, this unit was not disciplined for a late response.[26]

Employee maintains that he advised his partner that stopping to get food was not a good idea; but Employee decided to stop because his partner said she felt as if she would pass out.[27] Employee avers he did not gain anything from stopping at Chick-Fil-A and did so to put his partner's safety first.[28] Employee argues that he recognized his mistake and apologized profusely for it.[29]

---

[21] *Id*. at 7-8
[22] Employee's Brief pp. 2, 3 (June 14, 2024).
[23] *Id*. at 3.
[24] *Id*. at 4 (citing Agency's Special Order SO-2023-166).
[25] *Id*. at 7.
[26] Employee's Prehearing Statement, p. 3 (February 8, 2024).
[27] Employee's Brief, *supra* note 21, at 14.
[28] *Id*.
[29] *Id*. at 11.

Additionally, Employee argues that Agency relied on inaccurate call records in determining that Employee had a two-hour break when he and his partner could have eaten.[30] Employee maintains that the call record Agency relied upon contains duplicate calls, which Employee stated in his testimony may have been a glitch in the system. Employee notes that Agency did not explain the discrepancy. Employee maintains the day in question was a busy day. He testified that he had seven (7) or eight (8) dispatch runs that day.[31] Employee maintains that because the day was busy, he did not have time to eat prior to the dispatch in question. Employee further maintains that his lack of time to eat is inconsistent with Agency's assessment that he and his partner had a two-hour break in which to eat.[32] Employee argues that Agency's decision to terminate Employee is not supported by substantial evidence because Employee stopped due to his partner feeling fatigued, there was no lapse in patient care, and Agency relied on erroneous call records.[33]

ANALYSIS

Substantial Evidence

After reviewing the record, as well as the arguments presented by the parties in their respective briefs to this Office, the undersigned finds that the Trial Board Panel met its burden of substantial evidence for this matter. Agency charged Employee with two charges of neglect of duty, citing violations of several D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Articles and other internal policy. Agency provided video footage, tracking data, and testimony that support the charges of misconduct.

In Charge 1, Agency charged Employee with: Violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VI, § 6 Conduct Unbecoming an Employee and Article XXIV, § 8, Emergency Responses: "Conduct unbecoming an employee includes conduct detrimental to good discipline, conduct that would adversely affect the employee or the agency's ability to perform effectively, or any conduct that violates public trust or law of the United States, any law, municipal ordinance, or regulation of the District of Columbia committed while on-duty or off-duty." Further, The Order Book section governing Emergency Responses states that "[u]pon receipt of an emergency response, EMS Providers shall immediately report to the apparatus, status the DEK Button # 1 within one (1) minute and respond to the incident."[34]

The undersigned reviewed the video footage and finds it to be consistent with Agency's findings and testimony in this matter. Consistent with the testimony of Chief Grover, the video footage shows that Employee initiated Ambulance 3s emergency sirens and traveled in the opposite direction of Kaiser Permanente to Chick Fil-A. Employee waited at Chick-Fil-A for several minutes while his partner went inside in to retrieve her food. Employee sat in Ambulance 3 while parked outside of Chick-Fil-A and began eating his food although he was on an active

[30] *Id*. at 8.
[31] *Id*. at 9.
[32] *Id*. at 10.
[33] *Id*. at 7-12.
[34] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 23 (December 15, 2023).

dispatch. Ambulance 3 then traveled to the location of the dispatch, Kaiser Permanente, several minutes after receiving the dispatch. Further, Seargeant Collins testified that Ambulance 3s delay activated an alarm that goes off if more than ten-minutes have elapsed before the ambulance arrives to the dispatch location.[35] Seargeant Collins confirmed that the I/Net Tracking software showed that Ambulance 3 was heading in the opposite direction of the dispatch location.[36] Further, Employee admitted in his testimony that he did not immediately turn around when he received the dispatch to Kaiser Permanente. He testified that he stopped at Chick-fil-A on 14th Street and Maryland Avenue, NE during an active dispatch. Agency has submitted substantial evidence to support Charge 1-Neglect of duty. Employee's decision to delay an emergency response adversely affects the Agency's ability to perform effectively, violates District regulations, and is in direct violation of the emergency response requirements noted above.

Agency further noted that Employee violated D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Bulletin No. 3, **Patient Bill of Rights, which states**: As our patient, you have the right to expect competent and compassionate service from us. You may expect:

> 11. That all of our personnel will be polite, compassionate, considerate, empathetic, respectful, and well mannered.

According to Battalion Fire Chief Jason C. Auth, "[b]oth Order Book Article XXIV and the Patient Bill of Rights required FF/EMT [Employee] to attempt to render competent, compassionate and empathetic emergency medical services by immediately responding to the incident."[37] Instead, Employee intentionally traveled away from the location of his dispatch to retrieve food, creating an extended delay in Ambulance 3s arrival at Kaiser Permanente. Employee's failure to render an immediate response supports violation of the Patient Bill of Rights. While Employee testified that he stopped because his partner "complained about how she was feeling tired, fatigued, headaches, stomachaches and all that stuff from the lack of eating" the undersigned found Employee's rationale for delaying his response to be inconsistent with the evidence.[38] Employee stated that his partner was feeling fatigue and malaise, yet Employee's partner can be seen talking on her cell phone and laughing, which is not consistent with Employee's report that she was feeling fatigued. Further, Employee's argument that his actions did not delay patient care does not overrule his conduct. While Employee may have correctly reasoned that he would only be aiding an ALS unit once he arrived at Kaiser, Employee was not the authority on whether a dispatch required an immediate response. Further it is a violation of Agency policy to delay a response to the scene of an emergency.

Agency notes that Employee's "misconduct is defined as cause in D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VII, Section 2(f)(3), which states: "Any on-duty or employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of government operations, to include: Neglect of duty." See also DPM § 1603.3(f)(3)(08/27/2012); see also DPM § 1605.4(e) (06/12/2019)." The undersigned finds the Trial Board's reliance on the

[35] Tr. pp. 25-26
[36] Tr. pp. 26-29; *See also* Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, exhibits at tab 20 (December 15, 2023).
[37] Agency Answer, tab 24 (December 15, 2023).
[38] Tr pp. 125-126

video footage, I/Net tracking Data, and Employee's admissions that he delayed his response to pick up food provide substantial evidence to support Employee's charges of misconduct for Charge 1.

Agency further charged Employee with Charge 2: Violation of D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article XVII, **Driving Safety**, which requires occupants to wear seat belts:

> The driver of the vehicle is required to stop at any stop signal, and required to promote safety while riding in a Department vehicle (2.2: All members and occupants in the vehicle, other than those covered in 2.7, shall be seated and belted in approve riding positions while the vehicle is in motion (NFPA 1451, 8.3.4). 2.21: Drivers encountering any of the following situations shall bring the vehicle to a complete stop and shall not proceed until it is confirmed that it is safe to do so (NFPA 1451, 7.1.3)):

Agency further noted that Employee failed to wear the correct uniform short, in violation of Order Book Article XXI, **Uniforms**, which states:

> 2.6: The regulation dark blue NFPA compliant uniform shirt with the official Department patch attached to the left sleeve with the thread, centered one inch below the shoulder seam, shall be worn as the work uniform shirt by all uniform employees assigned or detailed to the Operations Bureau, except for the Chief Officers or those acting in their stead.

I also find that Agency has presented substantial evidence to support the charges of misconduct for Charge 2. The undersigned's review of the video footage on the day in question is consistent with Chief Grover's testimony and the charges of misconduct levied against Employee. In his testimony, Chief Grover confirmed that the video footage shows Employee was eating while driving, not wearing a seatbelt, and failed to come to a complete stop at five (5) stop signs. Chief Grover further offered that Employee's conduct was not in compliance with Order Book, Article 17.[39] He testified that eating while driving is a distraction, in violation of Order Book, Article 17, subsection 4.7, which notes that all members are responsible for maintaining general awareness and promoting safety while riding in a Department vehicle.[40] The undersigned find chief Grover's testimony to be credible. Further upon review of the video footage, I find that it shows Ambulance 3 not fully stopping at stop signs. The video also shows that Employee is also eating while driving, visibly not wearing a seatbelt, and wearing an Agency-issued sweatshirt. This evidence demonstrates that Employee committed safety infractions, in violation of Agency's Order Book. While not wearing the proper Agency-issued shirt may not be reason to terminate Employee, this

[39] Tr. p. 106.
[40] Tr. p. 107

offense in totality with the other violations cited by Agency support termination. Accordingly, Agency has substantial evidence to support the charges against Employee.

After reviewing the record, as well as the arguments presented by the parties in their respective briefs to this Office, the undersigned finds that the Panel met its burden of substantial evidence for this matter. Agency charged Employee with two charges of neglect of duty, citing violations of several D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Articles and other internal policy. Agency provided video footage, tracking data, and testimony that support the charges of misconduct.

Whether There was Harmful Procedural Error

Agency maintains that there was no harmful procedural error in this administration of the adverse action in this matter. In terminating Employee, Agency relied on the 2012 version of the DPM, which is not the current version. Agency also cited both the 2012 and 2019 versions of the DPM in its submissions to the Office in this matter. Agency maintains that the Order Book is the controlling authority and accordingly it establishes cause independent of the DPM. [41]Agency avers that while the charges are pursuant to the Order Book, Agency often includes a citation to the 2012 DPM because the Order Book is modeled after the 2012 DPM. Agency notes that Article VII incorporates the charges and table of penalties found in the 2012 DPM.[42] Agency avers that the use of the 2012 DPM is correct because this is the version that was the subject of a collective bargaining agreement with the International Association of Firefighters, Local 36. Agency maintains that Agency cannot utilize a post 2012-DPM until a new collective bargaining agreement is reached.[43] Agency argues that it added the citation to the 2019 DPM as a "see also" signal in a good faith effort to comply with OEA precedent at that time.[44] Agency further maintains that there is no substantive difference regarding neglect of duty that could have impacted Employee's notice of his charges.

Whether Agency bargained for the use of the 2012 DPM, has to date been addressed by this Office and the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.[45] In the instant matter, whether use of the 2012 DPM amounts to harmful error is not an issue that requires substantive analysis because the charge of neglect of duty is found in both the 2012 and 2019 DPM versions, with the same penalty for the first offense, which is counseling to removal and removal as the maximum penalty for both.[46] This Office has held that an employee must be aware of the charges for which they are

[41] Agency's Brief, p. 9 (May 8, 2024).
[42] *Id*. at 10
[43] *Id*.
[44] *Id*.
[45] *Employee v. DC Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department*, OEA Matter 1601-0046-21, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (January 19, 2025); *D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department v D.C. Office of Appeals, et al.* No. 2023-CAB-1076 (D.C. Super. CT. Feb, 2011*)(reversing* OEA Matter 1601-0046-21 and holding that OEA's reliance on the 2017 version of the DPM was not supported by substantial evidence in the record).
[46] *See Employee v District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department*, OEA Matter 1601-0027-24 (July 10, 2024)(noting that Agency's use of the 2012 DPM amounted to harmless error because the charge of neglect of duty is found in both the 2012 and 2019 versions*)*.

penalized in order to appropriately address and appeal those charges.[47] Here, Employee was charged two counts of neglect of duty for violating several Articles of the Medical Services Department Order Book. Accordingly, to Agency:

> This misconduct is defined as cause in D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department Order Book Article VII, Section 2(f)(3), which states: "Any on-duty or employment related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of government operations, to include: "Any on-duty or employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of government operations, to include: Neglect of duty." See also DPM § 1603.3(f)(3)(08/27/2012); see also DPM § 1605.4(e) (06/12/2019).

Accordingly, I find that Employee was aware of the charges before him and how to address those charges because the penalty was the same, despite Agency's use of the 2012 DPM version. According to OEA Rule 634.6 "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of these rules, the Office shall not reverse an agency's action for error in the application of its rules, regulations, or policies if the agency can demonstrate that the error was a harmless error." Thus, the undersigned finds Agency's use of both the 2012 and 2019 DPM versions to be harmless error in this matter. Employee thus had adequate notice of the charges against him and that these charges could result in his removal.

Whether Agency's action was in accordance with law or applicable regulation

Whether the Penalty was Appropriate

In determining the appropriateness of an agency's penalty, OEA has consistently relied on *Stokes v. District of Columbia*, 502 A.2d 1006 (D.C. 1985). According to the Court in *Stokes,* OEA must determine whether the penalty was within the range allowed by law, regulation, and any applicable Table of Illustrative Actions ("TIA"); whether the penalty is based on a consideration of the relevant factors; and whether there is a clear error of judgment by Agency. An Agency's decision will not be reversed unless it failed to consider relevant factors, or the imposed penalty constitutes an abuse of discretion.[48]

Employee avers that the penalty was unreasonable. He asserts that there was no delay in patient care and that Employee has no past disciplinary record.[49] Employee further argues that Medic 3 also had a delayed response and did not receive similar discipline.[50] Employee also

[47] *Rachel George v. D.C. Office of the Attorney General,* OEA Matter No. 1601-0050-16, Opinion and Order (July 16, 2019); *See also Office of the District of Columbia Controller v. Frost*, 638 A.2d 657, 662 (D.C. 1994); *Johnston v. Government Printing Office*, 5 M.S.P.R. 354, 357 (1981); and *Sefton v. D.C. Fire and Emergency Svcs*., OEA Matter No. 1601-0109-13 (August 18, 2014).
[48] *Butler v. Department of Motor Vehicles,* OEA Matter No. 1601-0199-09 (February 10, 2011) citing *Employee v. Agency*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-82, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review*, 30 D.C. Reg. 352 (1985).
[49] Employee's Prehearing Statement, pp. 5-6 (February 8, 2024); *See also.* Employee's Brief, pp. 10, 14 (June 14, 2024).
[50] Employee Prehearing Statement, p. 3 (February 8, 2024).

maintains that seven of the twelve *Douglas* factors were not considered or were misapplied. Specifically factors, one, three, four, five, six, seven, eleven, twelve.[51]

Agency maintains that the evidence supports the charges levied against Employee.[52] Agency further iterates that based on the factual findings and testimony, the Trial Board found several of the *Douglas* Factors to be aggravating. Agency additionally avers that the Trial Board's decision to terminate Employee was appropriate considering that Employee acted against Agency policy and jeopardized Agency's operations and public trust.[53]

The undersigned finds that the penalty was appropriate in this matter. Agency presented substantial evidence to discipline Employee, including video footage, testimony and the Employee's own admission in his special report and during his testimony.[54] Thus, I find that the specifications listed in the Trial Board's Findings of Fact and Recommendation support the charges levied against Employee.[55] Further, the undersigned finds that the penalty was within the range allowed by law, and regulation. As previously noted, Agency applied the 2012 DPM in assessing the charge of neglect of duty. Agency also cited to the 2019 DPM sections concerning Neglect of Duty.[56] As indicated above, the use of the 2012 DPM amounts to harmless error here because the penalty range for neglect of duty in both the 2012 and 2019 versions of the DPM is reprimand to removal.[57] Thus, the penalty levied against Employee by Agency is within the range contemplated by the DPM, as required by *Stokes*.[58]

While Employee argues that the *Douglas* Factors were misapplied, the evidence does not suggest that Agency abused its discretion or failed to consider relevant evidence in assessing the Douglas factors. As noted in *Douglas*, the question is whether "managerial judgment has been properly exercised within the tolerable limits of reasonableness."[59] It must be clear that agency "conscientiously consider[ed] the relevant factors and did strike a responsible balance within tolerable limits of reasonableness."[60]

In this matter, Agency presented evidence that it considered the relevant *Douglas* Factors as outlined in *Douglas v. Veterans Administration,* including the nature and seriousness of the offense, Employee's job level and type of employment and contact with the public, Employee's dependability, the consistency of the penalty with those imposed upon others for similar offenses, the notoriety of the offense and its impact on Agency, the clarity with which Employee was on notice of any rules that were violated, and Employee's potential for rehabilitation. While Employee may not agree with the analysis and conclusions reached by Agency, this alone is not sufficient to

[51] *Id*. at p. 5; *See also. Supra* note 5
[52] Agency's Brief, pp. 5-6; 12-13 (May 8, 2024).
[53] Agency's Brief, *supra* note 51 at 13.
[54] Tr. pp. 187-188; *See also* Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 3 (December 15, 2023).
[55] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 3 (December 15, 2023).
[56] *Id*. at tab 23.
[57] *See* 16 DPM § 1603.3(f)(3)(2012) and 1619.1(6)(c)(2012); 16 DPM § 1605.4(e)(2019) and 1607.2(e)(2019)).
[58] *Stokes v. District of Columbia*, 502 A.2d 1006 (D.C. 1985).
[59] *Douglas v. Veterans Administration,* 5 M.S.P.R. at 329.
[60] *See*. *Alphonso Bryant v. Office of Employee Appeals*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, Civil Action No.: 2009 CA 006180, citing *Stokes v. District of Columbia*, 502 A.2d 1006, 1010 (D.C. 1985) (quoting *Douglas v. Veterans Administration*, 5 M.S.P.R. at 332-33).

overturn Agency's decision. The undersigned finds that Agency provided a detailed analysis of these factors, and found some to be aggravating.[61] Employee has not shown that the analysis was not "within the "tolerable limits of reasonableness." Accordingly, the undersigned will leave the penalty undisturbed.

Employee further asserts that Medic 3 also had a delayed response, but did not receive the same penalty.[62] Agency asserts that there were no comparator employees.[63] OEA has held that, to establish disparate treatment, an employee *must* show that he worked in the same organizational unit as the comparison employees (emphasis added). They *must* also show that both the petitioner and the comparison employees were disciplined by the same supervisor for the same offense within the same general time period (emphasis added).[64] Further, In *Jordan v. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA's board set forth the considerations regarding a claim of disparate treatment.[65] The Board held that:

> [An Agency must] apply practical realism to each [disciplinary] situation to ensure that employees receive fair and equitable treatment where genuinely similar cases are presented. It is not sufficient for an employee to simply show that other employees engaged in misconduct and that the agency was aware of it, the employee must also show that the circumstances surrounding the misconduct are substantially similar to [their] own. Normally, in order to show disparate treatment, the employee must demonstrate that he or she worked in the same organizational unit as the comparison employees and that they were subject to [disparate] discipline by the same supervisor [for the same offense] within the same general time period.

If a showing is made, then the burden shifts to the agency to produce evidence that establishes a legitimate reason for imposing a different penalty on the employee raising the issue.[66] The undersigned finds that Employee has not provided sufficient evidence to support a finding disparate treatment in the instant matter. Sgt. Collins testified that Medic 3 never broke the threshold of the ten (10)-minute mark, which would alert that there was a significant delay in their response and initiate an investigation.[67] Accordingly, the prongs discussed above have not been satisfied in this matter.

Based on the aforementioned, the undersigned finds that Agency acted in accordance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, that its charges were based on substantial evidence and

---

[61] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 27, (December 15, 2023).
[62] Employee's Prehearing Statement p. 3 (February 8, 2024).
[63] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, *supra* note 60 at tab 13.
[64] *Mills v. D.C. Department of Public Works*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0001-09, Opinion and Order on Petition for Review (December 12, 2011), citing *Manning v. Department of Corrections*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0049-04 (January 7, 2005); *Ira Bell v. Department of Human Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0020-03, Opinion and Order on Petition for Review (May 6, 2009); *Frost v. Office of D.C. Controller*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0098-86R94 (May 18, 1995); and *Hutchinson v. District of Columbia Office of Employee Appeals*, 710 A.2d 227, 236 (D.C. 1998).
[65] *Jordan v. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA. Matter No. 1601-0285-95, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (September 29, 1995).
[66] *Id*.
[67] Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal, tab 22 (December 15, 2023). *See also*. Tr. p. 74.

that there was no harmful procedural error. Further, the undersigned notes that removal is within the range of penalties for the charges assessed against Employee. Accordingly, I find that termination was an appropriate penalty. Consequently, the undersigned concludes that the Agency's action should be upheld.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is **ORDERED** that Agency's action of terminating Employee from service is hereby **UPHELD**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Natiya Curtis___
NATIYA CURTIS, Esq.
Administrative Judge

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	
Employee	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0020-24
v.	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: March 6, 2025
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	)	
Agency	)	
	)	

OPINION AND ORDER
ON PETITION FOR REVIEW
AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Employee worked as a Correctional Officer with the Department of Corrections ("Agency"). On December 7, 2023, Agency issued a final notice of decision suspending Employee for thirty (30) days. Employee was charged with violating District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") § 1607.2(d) failure/refusal to follow instructions – negligence and §1607.2(e) neglect of duty. The charges stemmed from a March 7, 2023, incident wherein Employee failed to check the restraints of an inmate which ultimately resulted in the inmate escaping from Howard University Hospital. Employee was subsequently suspended without pay from December 11, 2023, until January 10, 2024.[2]

On January 8, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA"). He asserted that the suspension penalty was too severe. Additionally, Employee contended that Agency's adverse action was without merit because it lacked evidence to support its claim. Therefore, Employee requested back pay, attorney's fees, and that the adverse action be removed from his personnel file.[3]

Agency filed an Answer to the Petition for Appeal on February 7, 2024. It argued that Employee failed to maintain physical custody and control of an inmate held at an unsecured medical facility. Therefore, it concluded that a thirty-day suspension was appropriate based on the Table of Illustrative Actions. Consequently, Agency requested that OEA uphold its suspension

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] *Petition for Appeal*, p. 7-14 (January 8, 2024).
[3] *Id.* at 2.

action.[4]

Prior to issuing an Initial Decision, the OEA Administrative Judge ("AJ") ordered both parties to submit briefs on jurisdiction.[5] On July 16, 2024, the AJ issued an Initial Decision. He held that in accordance with D.C. Code § 1-616.52(e), Employee could not simultaneously review a matter before OEA and through a negotiated grievance procedure. The AJ provided that § 1-616.52(f) provided that once an avenue of review is first selected, the review in another venue would not be permissible. Because Employee initially appealed through Agency's grievance procedure, the AJ ruled that OEA lacked jurisdiction over the matter. Consequently, the Petition for Appeal was dismissed.[6]

Employee filed a Petition for Review on August 15, 2024. He asserts that the Public Employee Relations Board ("PERB") decertified the Fraternal Order of Police/Department of Corrections Labor Committee ("FOP/DOC Union") on May 20, 2024. Because of the decertification, Agency has declined to arbitrate a matter that falls within the arbitration agreement because the Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") is null and void. Therefore, Employee requests that the matter be remanded for adjudication on the merits and that he receive back pay.[7]

---

[4] *The District of Columbia Department of Corrections' Answer*, p. 2-3 (February 7, 2024). Agency also filed a Motion to Dismiss on March 18, 2024. It argued that pursuant to D.C. Code § 1-616.52, an appeal from a suspension of ten days or more that also falls within the coverage of a negotiated procedure may, in the discretion of the aggrieved employee, be made to either OEA or raised through the negotiated grievance procedure but not both. To support its argument, Agency provided that the District of Columbia Court of Appeals determined in the *Fraternal Order of Police/Metropolitan Police Department Labor Commission v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Department,* 277 A.3d 1272 (D.C. 2022), that filing restrictions in D.C. Code § 1-616.52 are non-mandatory and have claim-processing limitations. Agency also provided that Employee filed his appeal on January 8, 2024, after he submitted his grievance to Agency on December 19, 2023. It was Agency's position that Employee timely received Agency's final decision and Employee's Petition for Appeal was filed after he already filed a grievance. Thus, it posited that the petition should be dismissed. *Agency's Motion to Dismiss,* p. 5-6 (March 18, 2024).

Employee filed an Opposition to Agency's Motion to Dismiss on March 26, 2024. He argued that Agency failed to respond after he filed a grievance. Additionally, Employee asserted that he filed an appeal with OEA to preserve his appeal rights since Agency did not complete the grievance process to challenge his suspension by the prescribed deadline. He contended that pursuant to the language in D.C. Code § 1-616.52, he was not precluded from filing his appeal at OEA. *[Employee's]Opposition to Agency's Motion to Dismiss*, p. 11-16 (March 26, 2024).

[5] *Order* (April 12, 2024). Agency filed a Reply to Employee's Opposition to Agency's Motion to Dismiss. Agency explained that D.C. Code §§ 1-616.52 (e) and (f) provides that an aggrieved employee can appeal a disciplinary matter to either OEA or through a negotiated grievances process—but not both and that Employee exercised his decision to pursue the negotiated grievance when he requested arbitration on January 5, 2024. It argued that Employee had until January 10, 2024, to file an appeal at OEA; thus, it posited that Employee should have waited for a response pertaining to arbitration before filing an OEA appeal. Moreover, Agency opined that Employee would not have been prejudiced because his Collective Bargaining Agreement provides an explicit right that an employee can request that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia compel arbitration if Agency were to decline to arbitrate the matter. *Agency's Reply to Employee's Opposition to Agency's Motion to Dismiss*, p. 1-3 (April 30, 2024).

In response, Employee maintained that he preserved his rights by filing an appeal to OEA. Employee explained that he did not seek to litigate the matter twice; he merely wanted the opportunity to appeal his case on the merits. He argued that he should not be penalized for not waiting until Agency responded to his arbitration request. As a result, Employee requested that OEA maintain jurisdiction over the matter. *[Employee's] Opposition to Agency's Reply*, p. 2-6 (May 14, 2024).

[6] *Initial Decision*, p. 4-6 (July 16, 2024).

[7] *Petition for Review of Initial Decision*, p. 4-11 (August 15, 2024).

On September 15, 2024, Agency filed a Response to Employee's Petition for Review. It concedes that PERB revoked the FOP/DOC Union's certification as an exclusive bargaining representative on May 20, 2024. Agency submits that it is unable to proceed with Employee's grievance and demand for arbitration. It acknowledges that Employee's appeal to OEA was timely. As a result, it no longer contests OEA's jurisdiction over the matter.[8]

Employee filed a Motion for Reconsideration on September 26, 2024. He requests that the motion supplement the Petition for Review since Agency provided in its response that it no longer contests OEA's jurisdiction. Therefore, Employee requests that the Initial Decision be overturned, the matter be resolved based on the facts and law and that he receive back pay.[9]

OEA Rule 637.4(a) provides that the Petition for Review shall set forth objections to the Initial Decision supported by reference to the record. The Board may grant a Petition for Review when the petition establishes that new and material evidence is available that, despite due diligence, was not available when the record closed. In his Petition for Review, Employee argues that there is new and material evidence that is available which should be considered by OEA. Specifically, he asserts that the Fraternal Order of Police/Department of Corrections union was decertified on May 20, 2024, and that Agency officially declined to arbitrate the matter. Accordingly, this left bargaining unit employees who chose to grieve, no avenue to contest Agency's action. Agency concedes that Employee will be deprived of an avenue to appeal his suspension, and it does not contest that OEA has jurisdiction to consider the matter. As a result, Employee has provided that there is new and material evidence available. OEA is the appropriate venue for which Employee can appeal his suspension. Therefore, this matter is remanded to the Administrative Judge to consider this case on its merits.

[8] *Agency's Response to Employee's Petition for Review*, p. 1 (September 16, 2024)
[9] *Motion for Reconsideration*, p.1-2 (September 26, 2024).

ORDER

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Review is **GRANTED**, and this matter is **REMANDED** for further consideration**.**

FOR THE BOARD:

_____
Dionna Maria Lewis, Chair

_____
Arrington L. Dixon

_____
LaShon Adams

_____
Jeanne Moorehead

_____
Pia Winston

Either party may appeal this decision on Petition for Review to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. To file a Petition for Review with the Superior Court, the petitioning party should consult Superior Court Civil Procedure Rules, XV. Agency Review, Rule 1.

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0034-22R23
Employee	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: February 13, 2025
	)	
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	)	MONICA DOHNJI, ESQ.
Agency	)	Senior Administrative Judge
	)	

Employee, *Pro Se*
Connor Finch, Esq., Agency's Representative

INITIAL DECISION ON REMAND

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 6, 2022, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the D.C. Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the D.C. Department of Corrections' ("DOC" or "Agency") decision to terminate her from her position as an Operations Research Analyst, effective December 3, 2021. Employee was terminated for (1) "Failure to meet established performance standards"[2] and (2) "Negligence, including the careless failure to comply with rules, regulations, written procedures, or proper supervisory instructions and Deliberate or malicious refusal to comply with rules, regulations, written procedures or proper supervisory instructions."[3] Employee was also charged with violating Agency's Policy and Procedures 3300.1E – Employee Code of Ethics and Conduct, Section 10 Personal Accountability – Employees shall obey all lawful orders from their superior. On January 6, 2022, OEA issued a Request for Agency's Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal. Agency submitted its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on February 22, 2022. Following a failed attempt at mediation, this matter was assigned to the undersigned Senior Administrative Judge ("SAJ") on May 17, 2022. Thereafter, on May 17, 2023, the undersigned issued an Initial Decision ("ID") reversing Agency's decision to terminate Employee.

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] 6B District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") §1607.2(m).
[3] 6B DCMR §§1607.2(d)(1) and (2).

On June 21, 2023, Agency filed a Petition for Review with the OEA Board. Employee filed an Opposition to Agency's Petition for Review on June 22, 2023. The OEA Board issued an Opinion and Order on September 7, 2023, noting the following: "we believe that the parties' arguments related to service of the PIP notice present a contested issue of fact that cannot be deciphered based on the record in its current state." The OEA Board also highlighted that "Agency notes that it possesses a certificate of service indicating how the decision was delivered; however, it was not introduced as part of the record prior to the filing of the Petition for Review." Additionally, the OEA Board opined that "We believe that the AJ erred by relying *sua sponte* on a DCHR website without allowing the parties an opportunity to present briefs or oral testimony related to the FAQ section. This Board cannot decipher whether the FAQ page is current, accurate, or whether it provides any binding legal authority to support a finding that Agency violated DCMR § 1410.3." Regarding the PIP end date, the OEA Board stated that "there is no testimonial evidence in the record to support the AJ's conclusion that Employee was unapprised of the ending date of the PIP period. Agency's basis or methodology for unilaterally ending the PIP on August 22, 2021, is also unknown." Accordingly, the OEA Board remanded the matter to the undersigned for further proceedings.

Thereafter, the undersigned issued an Order on September 25, 2023, convening a Prehearing Conference for October 17, 2023, with Prehearing Statements due October 11, 2023. Both parties attended the scheduled conference and submitted their respective Prehearing Statements. Subsequently, on November 1, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order scheduling an Evidentiary Hearing for November 28, 2023. Agency filed a Consent Motion for Continuance on November 16, 2023, requesting that the Evidentiary Hearing be vacated as the parties were engaged in settlement negotiations.[4] On November 21, 2023, the undersigned issued an Order Granting Agency's Consent Motion for Continuance. The November 28, 2023, Evidentiary Hearing was canceled, and the parties were required to submit a written status update on their settlement progress by December 1, 2023. Agency filed a written status update as requested, noting that the parties were still engaged in settlement discussions. Agency also requested an additional thirty (30) days to provide a more substantive update. This request was granted in an Order issued December 6, 2023. This Order further required the parties to submit another written status update by January 12, 2024.

Subsequently, on January 26, 2024, Employee submitted a written Status Update noting that the parties were still engaged in settlement negotiations. Accordingly, on January 31, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order requiring the parties to submit another written status update by February 21, 2024. Agency filed a written Status Update on February 21, 2024, wherein, it noted that settlement negotiations were ongoing. Thus, on February 26, 2024, I issued an Order requiring the parties to submit a written status update by April 19, 2024. Agency filed a written Status Update on April 19, 2024, noting that although the parties were still engaged in settlement

[4] On November 17, 2023, OEA received Designation of Employee Representative noting that Employee had retained attorney Charles Tucker Jr. of The Cochran Firm to represent her in this matter.

discussion, Employee expressed that "she desired to schedule a hearing date." Consequently, the undersigned issued an Order Convening an Evidentiary Hearing for October 16, 2024.[5]

On September 12, 2024, Agency filed an Opposed Motion for Continuance requesting that the scheduled Evidentiary Hearing be continued to October 17, or 18, 2024. The undersigned issued an Order on September 17, granting Agency's Motion for Continuance and continued the Evidentiary Hearing to October 17, 2024. Both parties were present for the Evidentiary Hearing held on October 17, 2024. The undersigned emailed the Evidentiary Hearing Transcript to the parties and issued an Order on November 8, 2024, requiring the parties to submit written closing arguments by December 6, 2024. On December 4, 2024, Agency filed its Opposed Motion for Extension of Time, requesting that the deadline to submit written closing arguments be extended to December 20, 2024. Employee filed her written closing arguments on December 6, 2024. Agency's Motion for Extension of Time was granted by AJ Harris on December 12, 2024.[6] Agency filed its written closing argument on December 20, 2024. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

OEA has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUES

1) Whether Agency complied with DPM § 1410.5 notice requirement**;** and

2) Whether the ePerformance FAQ on the District of Columbia Human Resources website is accurate or provides any binding legal authority to support a finding that Agency violated DCMR § 1410.3; and

3) Whether Employee was apprised of the ending date of the Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP") prior to the start of the PIP; and

4) Whether Agency had cause to discipline Employee; and

5) If so, whether the penalty of termination is appropriate under District law, rules, regulations or the Table of Illustrative Actions.

SUMMARY OF MATERIAL TESTIMONY

[5] In an email dated August 2, 2024, Employee notified the undersigned of a change in her legal representation. Employee stated in this email that "As of this date, Charles Tucker and his team, formerly known as The Cochran Firm, will no longer be representing me in OEA Matter 1601-0034-22R23. I will now be representing myself *pro se*." Mr. Tucker filed a Praecipe withdrawing his appearance as Employee's representative on September 17, 2024.

[6] Due to personal extenuating circumstances requiring the undersigned's absence, on December 12, 2024, AJ Harris issued a Notice Regarding Temporary Abeyance of Proceedings to the parties until my return. AJ Harris granted Agency's December 4, 2024, Motion in this Notice.

The following represents a summary of the relevant testimony given during the Evidentiary Hearing as provided in the transcript (hereinafter denoted as "Tr.") which was generated following the conclusion of the proceeding.

Agency's Case in Chief

Reena Chakraborty ("Dr. Chakraborty") Tr. pgs. 15-210.

Dr. Chakraborty is employed by Agency as a Chief of Strategic Planning and Analysis and has been in this position since May of 2012. Tr. pg. 16. She is a team lead for three (3) operations research analysts and two (2) program analysts. Together, they are responsible for providing strategic planning, which includes performance reporting for Agency and developing Agency's annual performance plan analysis for internal and external customers. This includes pulling data and providing analysis routine and on request as well as evaluation, which includes preparing independent government estimates for procurements. Tr. pgs. 16-18. Dr. Chakraborty has been a supervisor since 2011. She has completed all mandatory MSS employee coursework for supervisors during the past twelve (12) years. Dr. Chakraborty also completed an Executive Leadership Program in 2017. Tr. pgs. 18-19.

Dr. Chakraborty asserted that she hired Employee to work at Agency in 2014, and Employee was under her direct supervision until Employee's termination. Dr. Chakraborty testified that Employee was hired as a DS-14, which is a senior operations research analyst, and, in this role, her duties included supporting strategic planning and analysis, but not evaluation. Tr. pgs. 20-21. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 1 as the position description form for a DS-14 operations research analyst Tr. pg. 25. Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that Agency's Exhibit 1 accurately describes the duties and responsibilities of an operations system analyst. She testified that based on the position description, a DS-14 operations research analyst is expected to be able to perform their duties with general direction and minimal supervisory intervention. She explained that at this level, the operations research analyst is expected to exhibit good analytical judgement. Tr. pg. 28. Dr. Chakraborty stated that projects assigned to DS-14 operations research analysts were often presented in relatively nonspecific language. She noted that the projects may involve multiple methods of analysis, which may include formal quantitative and qualitative methods; research; consulting others and going out and observing procedures and practice. Tr. pg. 29.

Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that she had concerns about Employee's performance in fiscal year ("FY") 2020, which she documented. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 2 as Employee's annual performance evaluation for FY 2020, which Dr. Chakraborty completed. Tr. pg. 30. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that every supervisor is required to consider prior year performance in setting the performance goals for the following fiscal year. She confirmed that Employee's FY 2020 performance was considered in setting her FY 2021 performance goals. She explained that she suggested in Employee's FY 2021 performance plan that Employee work on customer service and communication. Tr. pg. 33.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that Agency had not returned to in-person work when she set Employee's FY 2021 performance goals. She cited that Employee was on Family Medical Leave ("FMLA") during FY 2021 starting in December 2020 and ending March 2021. Dr Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 3 as the notification of the approval of Employee's FMLA leave which she received when Employee was on FMLA leave. Tr. pgs. 33-34. When asked if Employee was on FMLA leave at the time she completed Employee's performance plan for fiscal year 2021, Dr. Chakraborty answered 'no'. Tr. pg. 35.

Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 4 as Employee's FY 2021 performance document. She affirmed that she authored the document and entered all the SMART goals. Tr. pgs. 35-36. Referencing Agency's Exhibit 4, Dr. Chakraborty testified that "Core competencies are common for all District employees, and we don't edit those. Those are as they are. The SMART goals are established by the employee's supervisor in consultation with the employee hopefully where possible." She noted that Employee's first SMART goal was to perform a comprehensive analysis of overtime utilization which was a critical agency need and that was the type of analysis expected from a Grade 14 operations research analysts. Tr. pgs. 37-38.

Regarding the timeline for completing the project, Dr. Chakraborty testified that different people have different working styles and can take different amounts of time to complete the project. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that because there was another Agency hearing coming up in June, if they had the overtime utilization analysis prior to that hearing or sometime in May of 2021, then they would have served the Agency, and this goal would have been met. Tr. pg. 39.

For the second SMART Goal - demonstrated advanced Excel skills, Dr. Chakraborty explained that this goal was very important for analysts to have. She explained that because she felt that the first goal was very politically heavy, the second SMART goal would support it and would be a somewhat lighter goal to accomplish. Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that both SMART goals essentially work together to reach the same goal. Tr. pg. 40.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that she set the third SMART Goal because "I felt that this was an obstacle to [Employee] being effective and that we discussed it, and I continued to see evidence that there was deficiency in her performance in this area. For example, you know, grammar and spelling and I felt that with some attention to this area, she could be more effective in her work." Tr. pg. 41. Dr. Chakraborty reiterated that "SMART goal No. 1 was really the most important for everyone. … We really needed a solid product for SMART Goal No. 1. SMART Goal 2 and 3 were developed to support success in SMART Goal No. 1." Tr. pg. 41.

Dr. Chakraborty stated that Employee's SMART goals were modified in March 2021. She identified Agency's Exhibit 5 as an email she sent to Employee stating that Employee's FY'21 performance plan was attached and informing Employee that she had to change some of the goals that were discussed on November 5th because Employee was going to be out on FMLA. Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that Employee's FY'21 Performance Plan was transmitted to her via email. Tr. pgs. 42-44. Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that she used DCHR's template in creating the performance plan document. She stated that Employee was on FMLA when she

emailed the FY'21 Performance Plan to Employee. Dr. Chakraborty does not recall discussing Employee's performance plan with Employee when she returned from FMLA. Tr. pg. 45.

Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that Agency's Exhibit 7 is an email thread which reflects her transmission of Employee's performance plan on December 15, 2020, and that Employee responded to the email on March 29, 2021, when she returned from FMLA. Tr. pg. 48. She stated that her correspondence with Employee was mainly through email. Tr. pg. 49. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that there was a performance hearing in June, so she really needed the SMART Goal 1 analysis done prior to the performance hearing. Dr. Chakraborty averred that the overtime analysis was the only project Employee had at the time. Tr. pgs. 50-51.

Dr. Chakraborty stated that she provided Employee with general directions as was appropriate for a DS-14 analyst on how to complete the overtime analysis. Dr. Chakraborty averred that her communication with Employee about the project was primarily in writing since they had not returned to the office. She noted that she was available to answer questions but does not recall getting any questions from Employee. Tr. pgs. 58-60. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that Employee also had other resources such as access to past analyses that were performed by the team and made available in a shared network folder to assist Employee complete the project. She clarified that while Agency had models for written reports, there are no written reports on overtime. Tr. pg. 60. Dr. Chakraborty explained that the pieces of analyses that would be contained in an overtime report were available to Employee for the most part. Tr. pg. 61.

Upon review of Agency's Exhibit 33, Dr. Chakraborty testified that Employee submitted the analysis of overtime transportation unit prior to the issuance of the current PIP. Tr. pg. 74. Dr. Chakraborty recalled reviewing the transportation unit analysis overtime document that Employee completed. Tr. pg. 76. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 10 as the initial analysis of overtime for the transportation unit that Employee completed for her review. Tr. pgs. 76 - 77.

Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that it was typical to have some assignments not specifically stated on a performance plan. Tr. pg. 51. She explained that when Employee asked that the first SMART goal be extended to June 30th, she provided Employee an extension through May 31. Tr. pg. 52. Dr. Chakraborty cited that one of Employee's assignments was to update the website. She explained that "there are three reports that have to be pulled. One is a population snapshot for either the last day of the quarter or the first day of the following quarter. There's an intakes report that has to be pulled and a releases report that has to be pulled. These three reports have to be analyzed using pivot tables to produce a series of charts and graphs with then pasted into a document for example in PowerPoint … which is then converted to a PDF document and posted to the website." Tr. pg. 54.

Dr. Chakraborty acknowledged that the transportation unit analysis is part of the broader overtime utilization project that is part of Employee's SMART Goal number one, and it was a piece of the overall overtime analysis project with a later date. She asserted that she provided Employee feedback on this portion of SMART Goal number one. Tr. pg. 78. Dr. Chakraborty explained that "… the transportation unit is a small portion of the agency's overtime, so it's about

4 percent. And … based on the outline I saw, I saw that many pieces of what I was expecting was there content-wise, but there were again business communication-related matters that needed to be addressed." Tr. pg. 79. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that this piece of the project did not fully meet her expectations, but it was getting there. Tr. pg. 80. Dr. Chakraborty testified that as a supervisor, she is required to ensure that all communications made by her and the staff members were compliant with Agency's workplace policy, the mayor's standards for business communications and District-wide standards. Tr. pg. 82.

Dr. Chakraborty testified "I felt that the performance deficiencies that were identified in FY 2020 continued to be present in FY 2021. And after consultation with the Human Resources Department, I felt that the best option to help [Employee] come back into compliance with the standards of performance for a DS-14 operations analyst was to issue a PIP and to monitor her performance on that PIP." Tr. pg. 86. She asserted that Employee was the first employee she placed on a Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP"). Dr. Chakraborty stated that she transmitted the performance plan to Employee via email, during a virtual meeting she had with Employee. Tr. pg. 87. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 12 as her email to Employee, informing her that a copy of her PIP was attached. Tr. pg. 88.

Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 13 as the PIP document she issued to Employee. Tr. pg. 89. She confirmed that the PIP was based off Employee's performance plan for FY 2021 and on areas of the performance plan that were deficient. Dr. Chakraborty stated that under the first core competency as stated in the PIP document, she observed that Employee did not meet business standards as required of a person working as an operations research analyst. Tr. pg. 90. She averred that there were many errors in Employee's emails and written material and the standard of performance for Employee's position required substantially error-free work. Tr. pg. 91. Dr. Chakraborty explained that she observed the written and oral communication between she and Employee, and Employee and other customers both internal and external. She also highlighted that she expected Employee to use spell checks on all written communication. Tr. pgs. 92-93.

Regarding the next core competency - customer service, Dr. Chakraborty testified that in their role as analysts, everyone in the Agency is their customer. She explained that the customer service core competency was part of Employee's PIP because she observed that Employee had a different definition of who constituted a customer. Dr. Chakraborty noted that if Employee was directly assigned to support a person, they were a customer, and she treated them accordingly. However, other people, such as her supervisor or others who were not directly her customers, did not receive the same level of attention and service as those she believed to be her customers did. Tr. pgs. 93 -94. For the accountability core competency, Dr. Chakraborty testified that "accountability is taking personal responsibility for making sure that assignments are completed on time and up to the standards." Tr. pg. 94. She confirmed that Employee was deficient in this area in FY 2021 that is why it was part of her PIP. Dr. Chakraborty could not recall specific instances of this deficiency in FY 2021. Tr. pgs. 94-95.

When asked about goal attainment, Dr. Chakraborty asserted that "if an assignment is given, it should be completed on time and up to performance standards." She explained that "for

work that's been done before, there shouldn't need to be several levels of revision, or for work that's newly assigned, you know, if there's questions to be asked, to make sure that the goal is achieved in a timely way." Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that she expected Employee to turn in her work on time and communicate the progress she was making. Tr. pgs. 95-96. For job knowledge, Dr. Chakraborty stated that analysts must consistently work to improve their knowledge of what it takes to be an analyst. She noted that there were different ways for analysts to improve their job knowledge which included taking courses appropriate for them and using web-based resources which were freely available and provided by the district government. Tr. pgs. 96-97.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that SMART goal number one was part of Employee's PIP because that was the most important work product that was required of Employee by Agency. She cited that she "had every expectation that [Employee] would complete this work and successfully complete the PIP." Dr. Chakraborty explained that prior to placing Employee on the PIP, she observed that Employee's work on the transportation overtime analysis project had not fully met her standards. She stated that SMART goal number one mirrored what was in Employee's performance plan. Dr. Chakraborty also stated that the due date for this SMART goal in Employee's performance plan was different from the due date provided in the PIP. She explained that with the performance plan, the due date for this SMART goal was May 1, 2021, which was extended to May 31, 2021, based on Employee's request. Dr. Chakraborty averred that for the PIP, the due date for this SMART goal was June 8, 2021, because Agency needed the information to prepare for the performance hearing. Tr. pgs. 97-101. Dr. Chakraborty testified that SMART goal number three was part of Employee's PIP. Tr. pg. 102.

Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 14 as the video of the Microsoft Teams meeting, she had with Employee regarding her PIP. Tr. pg. 104. Upon review of Agency's Exhibit 14, Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that the Correctional Training Facility ("CTF") analysis was part of the overtime analysis and was similar to the transportation analysis. She cited that Employee had made some progress towards the completion of SMART goal number one prior to being placed on the PIP. Tr. pgs. 114 -115.

Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 16 as an email chain between she and Employee where she reminded Employee that "the SMART goal and the PIP both clearly specify a written report, not say a PowerPoint document." Tr. pg. 118. Dr. Chakraborty stated that she had some concerns with the CTF overtime report that Employee submitted, and she provided Employee with feedback. Tr. pg. 119. Dr. Chakraborty testified that "As in terms of how the posts contributed to overtime and analysis of overtime by activity, which I did not see in the version that I received. And that's what I say in that email. And I also mentioned that I would like a written report for CTF." Tr. pg. 120. She explained that she wanted something other than a PowerPoint slide because PowerPoint slides don't contain "the linkage of the graphs and charts that an analyst produced through the insights that they derived, the insights and recommendation." She stated that this part was essential because it "represents the thought process, the true analysis that's done in arriving at the insights." Tr. pgs. 120-121.

Regarding the email Employee sent to Dr. Chakraborty on May 26, 2021, at 3:47 p.m., Dr. Chakraborty testified that analysts use analytical methods to process large numbers of

records. She explained that a typical Excel book can hold up to 700,000 records. Dr. Chakraborty stated that while analysts don't usually handle large numbers of records, it's not unusual for them to work with large data sets. But if they must work with large records, they have prepackaged tools or methods that allow them to break up the records into smaller subsets that can be easily processed. Tr. pgs. 122-123. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 17, as an email exchange between she and Employee. Tr. pg. 127. Dr. Chakraborty testified that she asked all her staff to submit weekly plans. She also noted that to monitor Employee's PIP, she asked Employee to submit weekly plans. Tr. pg. 128. Dr. Chakraborty stated that Employee was informed while on the PIP that Dr. Chakraborty would monitor her performance using the weekly plan. Tr. pg. 129.

Referencing Agency's Exhibit 18, Dr. Chakraborty testified that Employee submitted an overtime analysis for SMART goal number 1 on June 4, 2021, which did not meet her set expectations for SMART goal number one. Dr. Chakraborty testified that the report "… was not comprehensive, and it had errors in communication. And finally, and probably most importantly, it was missing that connection between the graphs and charts and the inferences and insights and recommendations." Tr. pgs. 129-130, 132-133. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that there were some insights that appeared to be actionable in Employee's report, but that connection piece was missing. She stated that Agency could not rely on Employee's report for the performance hearing because the piece on the analysis of mandatory and drafted overtime was missing, which had an adverse effect on Agency as they were delayed in their response to the council. Dr. Chakraborty stated that she did the analysis because it was required, and she could not rely on Employee's report since it was incomplete, and had several grammatical errors, as well as style and usage. She affirmed that she provided Employee with feedback on these issues. Tr. pgs. 135-137.

Dr. Chakraborty identified the last email in Agency's Exhibit 22 as the feedback she provided to Employee after she completed the overtime analysis. Tr. pgs. 141-142. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 24 as "the revised analysis with the analysis of the security posts." Tr. pgs. 143-144. She affirmed that Employee transmitted the revised version of the overtime report to her in an email in July as documented in Agency's Exhibit 24. Tr. pg. 145. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 25 as the final overtime analysis report submitted by Employee. She explained that Agency's Exhibit 25 was an attachment to Employee's email to her in Agency's Exhibit 24. Tr. pgs. 145-146.

Dr. Chakraborty noted that Employee's submission in Agency's Exhibit 25, did not meet the expectations of the report set in the performance improvement plan. She explained that "the critical piece that I'm looking for, which was the outlining of thought process that the analyst goes through to arrive at the insights and recommendations was missing. It was missing for the two largest sections… two facilities that used the most overtime…. For the CDF and CTF, that line of thought that the analyst went through to generate recommendations and insights, and follow-up actions was missing." Tr. pgs. 148-149. Dr. Chakraborty also testified that the report did not meet the standard for such reports because "Grammatical error continued to be present." Tr. pg. 149.

According to Dr. Chakraborty, the overtime analysis report Employee submitted "… was still missing the analysis of mandatory and drafted overtime, so it was incomplete… So that was an issue… I would have expected analysts to generate several next level questions, at least one key next level question if not more. That was missing. And the organization of the various sections was not consistent. I mean some sections offered a lot more and some sections offered probably less." Tr. pgs. 149-150. Dr. Chakraborty identified Agency's Exhibit 26 as the letter she wrote and signed, informing Employee that the conditions of the PIP had not been met. Tr. pg. 151.

Dr. Chakraborty asserted that she is trained in performance management approximately every two (2) years. She stated that a normal PIP runs for 90 days. Dr. Chakraborty stated that she completed Employee's PIP form "with guidance of DOC HR because I had never completed a PIP form before." Tr. pgs. 153- 154. She testified that "I read the instructions on the PIP form. And I sought advice from DOC HR to be sure that that PIP form met their standards before the PIP was issued to [Employee]." Tr. pg. 155. Referencing Agency's Exhibit 4, Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that Employee was on FMLA when her FY21 performance plan was emailed to her on December 15, 2020. Tr. pgs. 155-156. Dr. Chakraborty also confirmed that she received Employee's acknowledgment of receipt of her PIP on March 29, 2021. Tr. pg. 156. She also affirmed that the SMART goals that were issued via email in December 2020, and received by Employee on March 29, 2021, were not the initial goals that she discussed with Employee. Tr. pgs. 158, 160.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that based on advice from DOC HR, she was not specific about the length of Employee's PIP. She explained that the policy at Agency was that unless otherwise specified, a PIP was for 90 days. She averred that based on her training she did not know if a PIP had to be entered into the PeopleSoft system. Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that Employee's PIP mirrored her performance plan for the entire fiscal year. Tr. pgs. 166-167, 207-208. Dr. Chakraborty testified that "A PIP does not cover the previous year's performance. I was placing you on a PIP because the deficiencies I noted in the previous year, I continued to observe in FY21." Dr. Chakraborty affirmed having a performance discussion on November 5, 2020, with Employee. Tr. pg. 167.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that performance plans are "supposed to be issued in the month of October, if possible. However, because of everything that was going on, I was unable to have that performance discussion before November 5th. So it was late. I admit to that." She noted that she was unable to finalize Employee's performance plan before December 15th. Dr. Chakraborty affirmed that they were working remotely when she issued the PIP to Employee. Tr. pg. 168.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that she observed Employee's deficiencies in "…the communication that we had between October 1st and the time that you departed on FMLA, and also after you returned to duty and before the PIP was issued. So there was time to observe your performance during that period." Tr. pg. 169. Dr. Chakraborty stated that "I communicated to you that the performance for FY2020 was unsatisfactory during our performance review. I believe it was on November 5th of 2020 for FY21. In FY21, when we had the FY20 performance

review and discussion on November 5th, that is when I communicated that the FY20 performance was unsatisfactory. Regarding the FY21 performance, I observed performance between October 1st and the time that you left, and also between the time that you returned and when the PIP was issued." Dr. Chakraborty stated that she unfortunately did not have documentation to support her observations. Tr. pgs. 171 -172. She asserted that she did not communicate these deficiencies to Employee in writing because they had already had a discussion on November 5th where she communicated these to Employee. She also noted that she believed Employee was sufficiently aware and understood what the deficiencies were. Tr. pgs. 174, 176.

According to Dr. Chakraborty, because they were still in remote work status in FY'21, she observed that most of Employee's work product that was submitted was deficient. She also testified that she observed deficiencies with Employee's email communication such as numerous grammatical errors and usage errors. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that these errors made it sometimes difficult to understand what Employee was typing. She stated that "those caused me concern because those go to businesses communication, that is a competency that was deficient in FY20. And I was seeing a similar pattern in FY21. …that subsequently led me to consult DOC HR and subsequently issued the PIP." Tr. pgs. 173 – 174. Dr. Chakraborty testified that she asked Employee if she would like coaching, and she either did not receive a response or the response was no. However, Dr. Chakraborty does not recall which date or month she offered coaching to Employee. Tr. pg. 179.

Dr. Chakraborty stated that SMART Goal number 3 was part of the PIP. She explained that SMART Goal number 3 had no specific due date because SMART Goal number 3 was a companion goal to SMART Goal number 1. Tr. pg. 185. Dr. Chakraborty asserted that she provided feedback for SMART Goal number 1 in the PIP letter issued to Employee informing her that she did not meet the conditions of the PIP. Tr. pgs. 185-186.

Dr. Chakraborty cited that she did not update the FY21 plan with the revised due date for SMART Goal number 1 because she was not advised it was required. Tr. pg. 187. She could not recall the exact date the PIP ended. Tr. pg. 188. Dr. Chakraborty confirmed that she entered Employee's performance plan into PeopleSoft for FY21. She stated that she does not know how a Standard Form 50 ("SF-50") is generated. Tr. pg. 191.

Dr. Chakraborty does not recall when Employee received the PIP letter advising Employee that she did not meet the PIP standards. Tr. pgs. 196-197. When asked if Employee was doing additional work during the PIP period, Dr. Chakraborty said "no". Tr. pg. 199. Dr. Chakraborty cited that during the PIP period, Employee was required to complete facts and figures report, and the population report within thirty (30) days of the end of the quarter. Dr. Chakraborty testified that Employee was also expected to work on her SMART Goals within thirty (30) and this was not unusual. Tr. pg. 200.

Dr. Chakraborty explained that after receiving Employee's final draft of SMART Goal number 1, she concluded that Employee had not met the requirements. However, she waited for 90 days to ensure the other requirements, especially the business communication had not been

met. Tr. pg. 201. Dr. Chakraborty testified that SMART Goal number 3 was customer service. She explained that "Competency on communication was part of the PIP as well, and that was the part that had to do more specifically with business communication. That was the part that I provided additional time to monitor." Tr. pg. 202.

Philip Mancini ("Mr. Mancini") Tr. pgs. 214-267.

Mr. Mancini has been employed by D.C. Department of Human Resource ("DCHR") since 2014 as a Human Resource ("HR") Specialist, Performance Management. He explained that his duties included assisting agency managers manage the performance plan process for their individual employees during the fiscal year. He also provided consultation and training related to performance management. Mr. Mancini affirmed that he worked with both managers and employees. Tr. pgs. 214-216, 224-225.

Mr. Mancini confirmed that he was familiar with the performance management process. He explained that employees' performance expectations are set by their managers for each fiscal year through a performance plan. Tr. pg. 216. Mr. Mancini asserted that if a manager had concerns about an employee's performance after the performance plan was set, the manager "should talk to the employee, see what's going on with the employee, and coach them." He stated that if the concerns continue, the manager can implement a performance improvement plan ("PIP"). Mr. Mancini asserted that a PIP can last for no more than 90 days or fewer than 30 days. Tr. pgs. 217, 249-250.

Mr. Mancini explained that the employee should be informed that they are on a PIP. Tr. pgs. 217-218. When asked if there are any requirements that the duration of the PIP be communicated to the employee, Mr. Mancini said "none". He affirmed that it was within the manager's discretion to decide the duration of the PIP. Tr. pg. 218.

Mr. Mancini does not recall communication with Employee about a PIP. He identified Agency's Exhibit 30 as an email he sent. Tr. pg. 219. Mr. Mancini confirmed that Agency's Exhibit 30 was an email chain between him and Employee. Tr. pg. 220. When asked if there was any reason to place an improvement plan into PeopleSoft, he answered "no". He explained that that was not how PIPs work. He testified that PIPs are conducted outside PeopleSoft because "if an employee succeeds on a PIP, then it doesn't become part of their PeopleSoft record." He noted that "if the employee is not successful, then it will become a part of their record for whatever action is taken." Tr. pgs. 221, 233.

Mr. Mancini identified Agency's Exhibit 31 as a PIP guidance document. Tr. pgs. 221-222. He explained that the purpose of the PIP guidance document is to guide managers through the process of taking an employee through a performance improvement plan. Tr. pg. 222. Mr. Mancini stated that although managers are trained on performance modules, they don't necessarily have to know how to complete PIP forms because "it's something that they don't do

all the time, so they may have a question about it when it comes up." He asserted that managers "would be guided how to implement the performance improvement plan." Tr. pg. 225.

Referring to Agency's Exhibit 13, Mr. Mancini testified that the rating period for a PIP is the fiscal year in which the PIP is administered. He highlighted that a PIP cannot be based on a previous fiscal year's performance. He explained that the PIP applies to the current fiscal year. Tr. pgs. 228-229, 258. Mr. Mancini testified that it's up to the manager to decide how long the PIP will run, between 30 and 90 days. Tr. pgs. 228-229, 231, 249. Mr. Mancini explained that "when a manager sits down with an employee or informs an employee that they're on a PIP, they know that it has to be at least 30 days and they know it can be no more than 90 days, but they may not know how much within that it's going to be depending on how the employee performs in that period." He cited that it was not the manager's responsibility to inform the employee of the duration of the PIP. He confirmed that a PIP is based on calendar days. Tr. pgs. 231-233.

Mr. Mancini testified that "… if an employee is employed with the District government and eligible for a performance plan and has a performance plan in place, yes, they should be evaluated for that fiscal year." Tr. pgs. 235-236. Mr. Mancini asserted that "under certain circumstances, you can get an increase for exceptional performance. One of the criterion -- one of the criteria for that is a completed performance evaluation for the prior fiscal year rated at least a 3.5 or higher, cumulatively. How that is actually promulgated in the PeopleSoft system, I don't know how that is done." Tr. pgs. 238-240. Mr. Mancini asserted that the "PIP is supposed to be based on a performance plan." He explained that "it's up to the manager to decide what part of the PIP -- what part of the plan the PIP will be based on. So if the manager decides that, yes, then that would be the case." He also confirmed that the PIP is supposed to address observed deficiencies. Tr. pg. 257.

Mr. Mancini confirmed that he was familiar with PIP corrective or adverse actions. He noted that the PIP notification period is ten (10) days. He explained that he has never been involved in communicating the outcome of the PIP to the employee. However, he stated that the outcome must be communicated to the employee either via "Teams message the employee, email the employee, call the employee, whatever it needs to be, to let them know within ten days whether they have succeeded or not on the PIP." Tr. pgs. 243-244. Mr. Mancini also affirmed that mailing a notice to the employee's address was an acceptable form of notification. Tr. pgs. 244-245. He testified that If the PIP runs its course and the employee is not successful on the PIP, the agency will take adverse action. Tr. pg. 248.

When questioned on how the PIP duration is communicated to affected employees, Mr. Mancini testified that "So there's a fiscal year. And within the fiscal year, you're allowed to put an employee on a performance improvement plan. When you put the employee on the performance improvement plan, you'll say, you're on a performance improvement plan. And … the manager will monitor that. If the manager decides, … after however many days, between 30 and 90, they'll say, you know what? I've monitored. I see that you've improved, so we're going to end this. And then the PIP is over." Mr. Mancini confirmed that a manager does not have to tell the employee the duration of the PIP. Tr. pgs. 265-266.

G. Stewart-Ponder ("Ms. Stewart-Ponder") Tr. pgs. 270 -295.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder is currently employed by Prince George's County Office of Human Resources and Management as a Deputy Director for Employee and Labor Relations. Tr. pg. 270. Prior to her current role, Ms. Stewart-Ponder worked for Agency from January of 2016 to December of 2021, first as an EEO and Diversity Major from January to November of 2016, and later as Deputy Director of Administration from November of 2016 to December of 8 2021. Tr. pgs. 270-271. Ms. Stewart-Ponder testified that as a Deputy Director of Administration, she had oversight over numerous units and divisions within the department to include human resources and strategic planning. She confirmed knowing Dr. Chakraborty. Tr. pg. 270. Ms. Stewart-Ponder stated that Dr. Chakraborty was the Chief of Strategic Planning, and she reported directly to Ms. Stewart-Ponder. Tr. pg. 271.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder affirmed that in her role as Deputy Director of Human Resources Management, she was responsible for performance management. She stated that she had oversight over the Department of Human Resources that handled performance management issues. She asserted that she ensured that this department was compliant with county, city standards and requirements, to include performance management. Tr. pgs. 272.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder testified that from 2016 when she assumed the position of Deputy Director of Administration, Dr. Chakraborty had several concerns about Employee and by 2020, 2021, these concerns were becoming more numerous and frequent. He explained that Dr. Chakraborty's concerns were almost every other week and related to Employee's performance, and she decided that the next step was to place Employee on a PIP. Tr. pg. 273.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder asserted that Employee's PIP was for a 90-day period which was within the city regulations prescribing the PIP duration to be for 30, 60 and/ or 90 days. She explained that Agency did PIPs for 90 days "because that was the maximum opportunity of an employee to improve their performance." Ms. Stewart-Ponder cited that Dr. Chakraborty found that Employee was not successful in the PIP at the end of the 90-day period, and based on personnel rules, Employee was terminated. Tr. pg. 274. Ms. Stewart-Ponder stated that she followed personnel rules and proceeded with termination for failure of the PIP. Tr. pg. 275.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder identified Agency's Exhibit 27 as the Advance Notice she signed, but did not draft. She stated that the document was drafted by Human Resources, which she reviewed and signed. Tr. pgs. 275-276. Ms. Stewart-Ponder confirmed that she was familiar with the *Douglas* factors. She affirmed that she reviewed the application of the *Douglas* factors as found in Agency's Exhibit 27 that she signed. Tr. pgs. 277 - 278.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder noted that she was not Employee's direct supervisor. Tr. pg. 280. She stated that "it is my responsibility to advise my direct reports on next actions and give guidance after a PIP is not successfully completed and/or if it was successfully completed." Tr. pgs. 281-283. When questioned why she chose removal instead of reassignment or demotion, Ms. Stewart-Ponder stated that "I think the demotion is an option for failure on a PIP when a person exhibits that they don't have the skill set to complete what the department is asking for. In

this case, that wasn't the situation. You are highly skilled at what you do. You are very good at what you do. It's only when you choose to do so. … so I didn't see an alternative outside of termination given your high skill set and knowledge and capabilities." Tr. pg. 290.

Ms. Stewart-Ponder acknowledged that SMART Goal number 3 was part of Employee's PIP. She stated that if SMART Goal number 3 was part of the PIP, then "it would be due at the conclusion of the PIP." Tr. pg. 292. She also stated that SMART Goal number 3 was part of Agency's Exhibit 27, because Employee did not complete this goal within the time period of the PIP, and beyond the time period of the PIP. Tr. pgs. 293-294.

Employee's Case in Chief

Employee – Tr. pgs. 298-344

Employee worked for Agency from August 24, 2015, to December 3, 2021, as an operations research analyst. Employee stated that Agency went into remote work from March 15th, 2020, and did not return to the office until July 12, 2021. She asserted that her FY20 performance goals were modified on May 8, 2020. Tr. pg. 298.

Employee testified that because they were still in remote posture, her team met via Teams on November 4, 2020, and individually on November 5, 2020, to talk about the upcoming FY21 Goals. Employee explained that she was out on FMLA from December 7, 2020, to March 29, 2021. Tr. pg. 299. Employee explained that when she returned to work on March 29, 2021, she received an email from Dr. Chakraborty informing Employee that "because I received the unsatisfactory performance for FY20, [Dr. Chakraborty] was going to put me on a PIP." Employee cited that she was not observed or informed of any deficiencies in her work from October 1, 2020, to December 7, 2020. She highlighted that March 29, 2021, was the first time she was notified that she would be placed on a PIP based on the previous fiscal year performance. Employee stated that "I submitted my work, but as you can, … it was pretextual and I was placed on a PIP." Employee reiterated that she was "never informed there was any deficiencies in my work product in FY21." Tr. pg. 302. She restated that "… I believe that the, well, I know that the, it was pretextual because it was written before I received my SMART Goals to even begin working on…" Tr. pgs. 303-304.

According to Employee, she was "responsible for quarterly reports, facts and figures, and those things that go on the website." She asserted that she completed all her required work. Tr. pg. 301. Employee testified that the overtime analysis for an oversight hearing was not requested until February 2021, after she was given her performance, and she included this in her report. Employee stated that "this category was not captured in the database until March 1st, 2021." Tr. pg. 301. Employee stated that "If you have thousands and thousands of records, you cannot give a written report. The report I provided has recommendations. It has graphs." Tr. pgs. 301-302.

Employee stated that she "worked on a SMART Goal No. 2. There's emails and feedback that [Dr. Chakraborty] provided letting you know where I stood." Employee highlighted that she had to work on "SMART Goal No. 3, September the 1st, but I'll say that I'm during that time,

[Dr. Chakraborty] was aware that I had three deaths in the family…" thus, she had to take bereavement leave during the PIP period. Tr. pg. 302.

Employee also noted that "I was not told the duration of the PIP…" Tr. pgs. 300. She maintained that she was not informed she was on a 90-day PIP. Tr. pg. 304. Employee testified that she was out on annual and sick leave, and she was not aware of the proposed adverse action. Tr. pg. 303. She explained that "I was not giving any progressive performance, coaching, feedback, or anything about any particular one SMART Goal." Tr. pg. 304.

When asked if she did any work towards SMART Goal No. 3 at any point either prior or during the PIP, Employee responded 'No.' She explained that according to her performance plan, SMART Goal number 3 was not due until September 30th. She also noted that according to her March 29, 2021, emails with Dr. Chakraborty, Dr. Chakraborty had to approve the courses required to complete SMART goal number 3. Employee stated that Agency omitted several emails from Dr. Chakraborty directing her to stop working on different things. Tr. pgs. 304-306.

Referencing Agency's Exhibit 4, page 3, Employee confirmed that she received the document on March 29, 2021, upon her return from FMLA. When asked during cross-examination if she did work towards SMART Goal No. 3, Employee stated that she did. She explained that she "… took a course in Microsoft Publisher and I used Publisher for facts and figures. …I'm testifying that I took a course required business communication, oral and written, and I applied that to the facts and figures as required by Reena in April. Tr. pgs. 307-308. Employee stated that she only took one course and she had an error free communication the rest of the year. Tr. pgs. 308, 312. Employee also noted that the overtime report she submitted in June 2021, should have been error free because she used 'Grammarly'. Tr. pg. 308.

Employee asserted that she was the sole author of Agency's Exhibit 18. When asked if there were any errors in that document, Employee responded that "Not that I know of. It said the question was email communications. SMART Goal No. 3 said email communication." She cited that her reports were not part of SMART goal number 3 because the reports were not email communication. Tr. pg. 309. Employee reiterated that the overtime analysis is a report, but SMART Goal number 3 referred to 30 days of emails. She noted that the overtime reports she submitted did not need to evince improved communication skills. Employee testified that "The report was a report. You cannot ascertain an improvement from a report. The email was complete coursework in business communications, oral and written. I took a course in Publisher. I used that course to do the facts and figures, which is not on the SMART Goal, and I never received feedback from the facts and figures concerning errors." Tr. pgs. 309- 311.

Employee further testified that she could not give a written report with thousands of records because was a dataset. Employee noted that "you cannot write about a dataset that has various data of thousands and thousands of records. … It has to have, if you are using Excel, which is data driven, then you have to write a narrative of what the data represents, cannot write a paper." When asked if it was her job to take large pieces of datasets and large amounts of data and turn into comprehensive reports for senior management, Employee answered 'No, it's not.' Tr. pg. 312. She explained that "As a senior analyst, our job is to usually have reports that are

done with no more than a 12-month span. It doesn't expand two and a half years." Tr. pg. 313. Employee asserted that she submitted a written report for the overtime analysis and Agency's Exhibit 18 was "a written report in form." Tr. pg. 313.

Employee identified Agency's Exhibit 8 as an email from her to Dr. Chakraborty. Tr. pg. 319. When asked if there were any errors in the email dated April 20, 2021, Employee responded that "There are not. I used Microsoft Word that highlights the errors." She asserted that "I was never given a discussion on this email as an error." Tr. pg. 320. Employee cited that "Grammarly was used for the SMART Goal report, not emails. I was never informed that this was an email that contained an error by my supervisor." Tr. pg. 321. Employee was also asked if she ran spell check or grammar check on all emails, and she responded that "that was not a part of the SMART Goal." Tr. pg. 321. She noted that she sometimes spell checked, and grammar checked emails to her supervisor. Tr. pgs. 321-322.

Employee averred that SMART Goal No. 3 was not due until September 2021 and she did not work on it all year because she decided to work on time sensitive projects such as SMART Goal No. 1, quarterly reports, and SMART Goal No. 2. She reiterated that she was "never given discussion or feedback that any of the emails I sent was related to SMART Goal No. 3." Tr. pg. 322. Employee noted that she did not communicate with anyone about the expectations set in her PIP and that it was not her responsibility to ask her supervisor about the duration of her PIP. Tr. pgs. 328-329. Employee testified that a PIP is supposed to be for the current fiscal year and her PIP email stated that she "would be put on a PIP for the prior fiscal year performance. So, therefore, I was not even given a fair opportunity to complete my SMART Goals before Reena and Gitana decided that they were going to place me on a PIP." Tr. pg. 329.

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW[7]

Pursuant to OEA Rule § 631.2, Agency has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed disciplinary action was taken for cause. On September 7, 2023, the OEA Board issued an Opinion and Order ("O&O") remanding this matter to the undersigned. In doing so, the OEA Board noted that: "we believe that the parties' arguments related to service of the PIP notice present a contested issue of fact that cannot be deciphered based on the record in its current state…. Agency notes that it possesses a certificate of service indicating how the decision was delivered; however, it was not introduced as part of the record prior to the filing of the Petition for Review." Additionally, the OEA Board opined that "We believe that the AJ erred by relying *sua sponte* on a DCHR website without allowing the parties an opportunity to present briefs or oral testimony related to the FAQ section. This Board cannot decipher whether the FAQ page is current, accurate, or whether it provides any binding legal authority to support a finding that Agency violated DCMR § 1410.3." Regarding the PIP end date, the OEA Board stated that "there is no testimonial evidence in the record to support the AJ's conclusion that Employee was

[7] Although I may not discuss every aspect of the evidence in the analysis of this case, I have carefully considered the entire record. See *Antelope Coal Co./Rio Tino Energy America v. Goodin*, 743 F.3d 1331, 1350 (10th Cir. 2014) (citing *Clifton v. Chater*, 79 F.3d 1007, 1009-10 (10th Cir. 1996)) ("The record must demonstrate that the ALJ considered all of the evidence, but an ALJ is not required to discuss every piece of evidence").

unapprised of the ending date of the PIP period. Agency's basis or methodology for unilaterally ending the PIP on August 22, 2021, is also unknown."

1) **Whether Agency complied with DCMR §§ 1410.5 notice requirement**

In its September 7, 2023, O&O, the OEA Board stated that "we believe that the parties' arguments related to service of the PIP notice present a contested issue of fact that cannot be deciphered based on the record in its current state…. *Agency notes that it possesses a certificate of service indicating how the decision was delivered*; however, it was not introduced as part of the record prior to the filing of the Petition for Review." (Emphasis added). DCMR §§ 1410.5-6 & 11 highlight that: **1410.5:** "[w]ithin ten (10) business days after the end of the PIP period, the employee's immediate supervisor or, in the absence of the employee's immediate supervisor, the reviewer, *shall issue a written decision to the employee* as to whether the employee has met or failed to meet the requirements of the PIP." **1410.6:** "If the employee fails to meet the requirements of the PIP, the written decision shall state the reason(s) the employee was unsuccessful in meeting those requirements and: a. Extend the PIP for an additional period, in accordance with Subsection 1410.8; or b. Reassign, reduce in grade, or remove the employee." **1410.11:** "[w]henever an immediate supervisor or, in the absence of the immediate supervisor, a reviewer, *fails to issue a written decision* within the specified time period as provided in Subsections 1410.5 or 1410.9, *the employee shall be deemed to have met the requirements of the PIP*." (Emphasis added).

Here, the current PIP ran from May 24, 2021, to August 22, 2021. Pursuant to DCMR § 1410.5, Agency was required to issue a written decision of the outcome of the PIP to Employee "[w]ithin ten (10) business days after the end of the PIP period." Ten (10) business days from August 22, 2021, is September 3, 2021. Agency argued in its December 20, 2024, Closing Argument that "[i]n this context, the word "issue" means "[t]o be put forth officially" or "to send out or distribute officially."[8] Agency maintained that "[b]ecause 6-B DCMR § 1410.5 only requires when a written decision must be issued without reference to when it must be received, an agency need only show that it issued a decision within the ordinary meaning of the word within 10 business days of the end of a PIP." Mr. Mancini confirmed that he was familiar with PIP corrective or adverse actions. He explained that the PIP outcome *must* be communicated to the employee either via "Teams message the employee, email the employee, call the employee, whatever it needs to be, to *let them know within ten days* whether they have succeeded or not on the PIP." (Emphasis added). Tr. pgs. 243-244. Mr. Mancini also affirmed that mailing a notice to the employee's address was an acceptable form of notification. Tr. pgs. 244-245.

The current regulation is silent on what steps Agency must undertake to 'issue' or 'to put forth officially', the written decision of the outcome of the PIP '*to the employee*'. (emphasis added). Contrary to Agency's assertion that 6-B DCMR § 1410.5 only requires that the written decision be issued, 6-B DCMR § 1410.5 goes further to state that the written decision *must be issued to the employee*. (emphasis added). Therefore, I find that it would be unreasonable for a document or decision to be considered 'issued' if the affected employee or intended party does

[8] Agency's Closing Argument (December 20, 2024), citing to Black's Law Dictionary (12th ed. 2024).

not have official notice of the document. I further find that to have official notice or 'to be put forth officially', as Agency noted, the document must be served on the intended or affected employee.

Additionally, the OEA Board noted in the September O&O that "we believe that the parties' arguments related to service of the PIP notice present a contested issue of fact that cannot be deciphered based on the record in its current state…. *Agency notes that it possesses a certificate of service indicating how the decision was delivered; however, it was not introduced as part of the record prior to the filing of the Petition for Review*." (Emphasis added). Agency submitted a United States Postal Service ("USPS") mail envelope dated September 02, 2021, along with the written PIP decision.[9] Agency selected the "Signature Required" box on the USPS mailing coversheet, but it did not include a 'signature receipt' or a certificate of service indicating how the written decision was delivered to Employee with its submission.[10] Although the mailing envelope submitted by Agency indicates that Agency selected the "signature required" box, Employee provided that she found all three (3) Express Mail envelopes with no signature or receipt at her mailing address on September 10, 2021.[11] Moreover, Agency has still not provided this Office with a certificate of service or return receipt for the PIP written decision it mailed to Employee. Agency has the burden of proof in this matter.[12] Because Agency failed to provide a certificate of service for the PIP written decision it mailed to Employee and given Employee's assertion that she received the notice on September 10, 2021, I find that Agency failed to timely notify Employee of the PIP outcome as mandated by DCMR §1410.5.[13]

DCMR § 1410.11 provides that whenever an immediate supervisor or a reviewer *fails to issue a written decision within the specified time period as provided in Subsections 1410.5 or 1410.9, the employee shall be deemed to have met the requirements of the PIP*. (Emphasis added). Since Agency failed to submit a return receipt or a certificate of service evincing service of the PIP written decision to Employee by September 3, 2021, I find that Employee is deemed to have met the requirements of the PIP, and any adverse action stemming from the PIP is null and void.

---

[9] Agency's Exhibit 27. This appears to be the same document Employee submitted with her Petition for Appeal.
[10] *Id.*
[11] *See* Petition for Appeal, *supra*.
[12] As previously notes, DCMR § 1410 is silent on how the written decision of the PIP outcome should be 'issued' to the employee. However, because DCMR § 1410.7 provides that: "The *written decision may serve as a notice of proposed* reassignment, reduction in grade, or removal and be provided to the employee when the decision complies with the provisions of Chapter 16. Alternatively, *the agency may issue a written decision and subsequently issue a separate notice of proposed reassignment, reduction in grade or removal*", I find that the notice requirement in DCMR §§1618.6-8, are applicable here. Specifically, DCMR § 1618.8 provides that, "For purposes of §§ 1618.6 and 1618.7, *service shall be deemed effective when the employee has actual notice of the proposed actions*." (Emphasis added). Here, Agency issued both a written decision and a separate notice of proposed removal on the same day, September 2, 2021. Applying DCMR § 1618.8 to the current matter, I conclude that Employee only had *actual notice* of the written decision and the notice of proposed removal when she received the written decision and the notice of proposed removal on September 10, 2022.
[13] Employee also noted on the last page of her Petition for Appeal that "this is not my address. I updated my address in the PeopleSoft system in April 2021 and as early as August 10, 2021, when I needed a new badge." Agency did not address this assertion. A review of the record highlights that Employee's address on file for the pay period beginning September 12, 2021, is different from the address Agency mailed out the written decision to.[13]

2) Whether the ePerformance FAQ on the District of Columbia Human Resources website is accurate or provides any binding legal authority to support a finding that Agency violated DCMR § 1410.2

The undersigned relied on the "EPerformance Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) Performance Improvement Plan (PIP)" found on the DCHR website in the ID. This document addressed whether an employee's performance evaluation can be extended into a new performance management period and whether a PIP based on past performance can be considered when issuing a new PIP to an employee. Agency argued that the ePerformance FAQ is not a binding legal authority and that it did not violate the DCHR's ePerformance FAQ. Mr. Mancini identified Agency's Exhibit 31 as a PIP guidance document. Tr. pgs. 221-222. He explained that the purpose of the PIP guidance document is to guide managers through the process of taking an employee through a performance improvement plan. Tr. pg. 222. Referencing Agency's Exhibit 13, Mr. Mancini testified that the rating period for a PIP *is the fiscal year in which the PIP is administered*. He highlighted that *a PIP cannot be based on a previous fiscal year's performance*. He explained that *the PIP applies to the current fiscal year*. (Emphasis added). Tr. pgs. 228-229, 258.

Employee testified that "So again, I want to state that I was never informed there was any deficiencies in my work product in FY'21." Tr. pg. 302. Employee cited that a PIP is supposed to be for the current fiscal year and her PIP email stated that she "would be put on a PIP for the prior fiscal year performance." Tr. pg. 329. Dr. Chakraborty on the other hand testified that "I felt that the performance deficiencies that were identified in FY'2020 continued to be present in FY'2021. And after consultation with the Human Resources Department, I felt that the best option to help [Employee] come back into compliance with the standards of performance for a DS-14 operations analyst was to issue a PIP and to monitor her performance on that PIP." Tr. pg. 86. Dr. Chakraborty explained that prior to placing Employee on the PIP, she observed that Employee's work on the transportation overtime analysis project had not fully met her standards. She stated that SMART Goal Number One mirrored what was in Employee's performance plan.

Dr. Chakraborty also testified that "A PIP does not cover the previous year's performance. I was placing you on a PIP because the deficiencies I noted in the previous year, I continued to observe in FY'21." Dr. Chakraborty also testified that she observed Employee's deficiencies in "…the communication that we had between October 1st and the time that you departed on FMLA, and also after you returned to duty and before the PIP was issued. So there was time to observe your performance during that period." Tr. pgs. 169, 171 – 176. Dr. Chakraborty, while going through the PIP standards with Employee during the May 24, 2021, meeting noted that "… where there is an area where in <u>*FY'20*</u>… *the performance wasn't … a three or higher*, … *what I've filled out is what the desired outcome will be*. … *what the action plan is to improve performance*…"[14] (Emphasis added). Furthermore, Dr. Chakraborty also stated during the PIP meeting that "So, what I will say is that, based on how things have been going, *I have observed an improvement* and this is really designed to help you be effective as a DS 14 performance analyst… so really to get you up to the standard of… performance that is

[14] Agency's Exhibit 14 at 0.30secons to 1minute.

expected… *I think that I am encouraged*, if you continue to be on the path that you are on, we would hopefully be able to … show that the performance goals have been met and this will be pass us at the end of FY'21…"[15] (Emphasis added). I find Dr. Chakraborty's May 24, 2021, statement on this issue more credible than her testimony during the Evidentiary Hearing because her statement on May 24, 2021, was captured in 'real time', whereas her testimony at the Evidentiary Hearing occurred over three (3) years after the PIP. Additionally, based on the statements made during the PIP meeting, she seemed pleased with Employee's performance in FY'21. Consequently, relying on Mr. Mancini's testimony, I find that Agency erred in placing Employee on a PIP based on Employee's previous fiscal year (FY'20) performance and not the current fiscal year (FY'21) performance, in violation of DCMR § 1410.2.

3) Whether Employee was apprised of the ending date of the Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP") prior to the start of the PIP.

Employee argued that Agency placed her on a PIP for one (1) year.[16] Employee testified that "I was not told the duration of the PIP…" Tr. pg. 300. She maintained that she was not informed she was on a 90-day PIP. Tr. pg. 304. Dr. Chakraborty testified that based on advice from DOC HR, she was not specific about the length of Employee's PIP. She explained that the policy at Agency was that unless otherwise specified, a PIP was for 90 days. Tr. pgs. 166-167. Mr. Mancini testified that it is up to the manager to decide how long the PIP will run for between 30 and 90 days. He confirmed that the manager does not have to tell the employee the duration of the PIP. Tr. pgs. 265-266. He noted that there are no requirements that the duration of the PIP be communicated to the employee and that it was within the manager's discretion to decide the duration of the PIP. Tr. pg. 218. Ms. Stewart-Ponder asserted that Employee's PIP was for a 90-day period which was within the city regulations prescribing the PIP duration. She explained that Agency did PIPs for 90 days to maximum the opportunity of an employee to improve their performance. Tr. pg. 274. DCMR §1410.3, provides in part that "a PIP issued to an employee *shall* last for a period of *thirty (30) to ninety (90) days*." (Emphasis added). Based on Mr. Mancini's testimony that the managers are not required to tell the employee the duration of the PIP, I conclude that Agency was not required to apprise Employee of the PIP end date, and it was within its discretion to end the PIP on the 90th day in compliance with DCMR §1410.3.

4) Whether Agency had cause to discipline Employee

Pursuant to OEA Rule § 631.2, Agency has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed disciplinary action was taken for cause. Here, following a PIP, Employee was terminated for (1) "Failure to meet established performance standards;" (2) "Negligence, and (3) violating Agency's Policy and Procedures 3300.1E – Employee Code of Ethics and Conduct, Section 10 Personal Accountability – Employees shall obey all lawful orders from their superior.

Agency asserted that as a Grade 14, Employee was required to have a mastery and expert

[15] *Id*. at 2minutes, 10 seconds to 3minutes, 03 seconds.
[16] Employee's Brief, *supra*.

knowledge in mathematics, statistics, writing, and Microsoft Office, but her performance failed to rise to what was required of her position.[17] Agency asserted that Employee was placed on a PIP in 2021, due to her declining performance. Agency averred that Employee's performance did not improve at the end of the PIP period, as she failed to meet the requirements of the PIP.[18] Agency stated in the PIP outcome letter that the Overtime ("OT") utilization report was due on June 8, 2021, however, Employee submitted the final report on July 27, 2021, almost a month beyond the due date of June 8, 2021. Therefore, Employee did not meet the Communication competency requirements of being (1) clear and concise, and (2) timely. Agency also stated that Competency of communication also required that Employee used MS Office tools to spell, and grammar check writings and proofread critical messages before sending, but Employee did not meet this requirement. Additionally, Agency asserted that Employee did not meet the Accountability competency. Agency explained that Employee's performance in the first SMART Goal was untimely and not of the highest standard. It averred that Employee's performance was an example of poor customer service. Agency also asserted in the advance notice of removal that Employee's failure to meet the PIP requirements was a failure to follow instructions and neglect of duty.[19] Agency concluded that Employee's performance was unacceptable and her failure to meet performance standards and her refusal to follow supervisory instructions was cause for removal.[20]

Employee testified that she completed the overtime utilization report ("OT Report") and submitted it to Dr. Chakraborty on June 11, 2021. She stated that the report and her emails were error free because she used 'Grammarly' and 'spell check'. Tr. pg. 308. Employee reiterated that the overtime analysis is a report, but SMART Goal Number 3 referred to 30 days of emails. She noted that the overtime reports she submitted did not need to evince improved communication skills. Tr. pgs. 309- 311. Employee testified that she could not give a written report with thousands of records "Because it's a dataset. So, you cannot write about a dataset that has various data of thousands and thousands of records. … It has to have, if you are using Excel, which is data driven, then you have to write a narrative of what the data represents, cannot write a paper." Tr. pg. 312. She explained that "As a senior analyst, our job is to usually have reports that are done with no more than a 12-month span. It doesn't expand two and a half years." Tr. pg. 313.

Dr. Chakraborty testified that Employee's submission in Agency's Exhibit 25 did not meet the expectations of the report set in the performance improvement plan. She explained that "the critical piece that I'm looking for, which was the outlining of thought process that the analyst goes through to arrive at the insights and recommendations was missing. It was missing for the two largest sections -- or two largest facilities that -- two facilities that used the most overtime... For the CDF and CTF, that line of thought that the analyst went through to generate recommendations and insights, and follow-up actions was missing." Tr. pgs. 148-149. Dr. Chakraborty also testified that "Grammatical errors continued to be present. And the standard is for a report of this nature, I have to be able to take and give it to the stakeholder that requested it with minimal amount of edits, and this report simply wasn't there." Tr. pg. 149. Dr. Chakraborty

[17] Agency Closing Argument, *supra*.
[18] *Id*.
[19] Agency's Exhibit 27.
[20] *Id*.

reiterated that the overtime analysis report Employee submitted was incomplete as it was still missing the analysis of mandatory and drafted overtime. She stated that the report had grammatical errors, the discussion section that laid out the analytical thought pattern was missing, and she expected an analyst like Employee to generate at least one (1) next level question. Dr. Chakraborty also stated that Employee's organization of the various sections was not consistent. She testified that "… some sections offered a lot more and some sections offered probably less." Tr. pgs. 149-150.

I find that it is within the Administrator's discretion to reach a different conclusion about an employee's performance as long as the Administrator's opinion is supported by substantial evidence. The D.C. Superior Court in *Shaibu v. District of Columbia Public Schools*[21] highlighted that "[supervisors] enjoy near total discretion in ranking their [employees]" when implementing performance evaluations.[22] This Office has consistently held that the primary responsibility for managing and disciplining Agency's work force is a matter entrusted to the Agency, not to OEA.[23] As performance evaluations are "subjective and individualized in nature,"[24] this Office will not substitute its judgment for that of an agency; rather, this Office limits its review to determining if "managerial discretion has been legitimately invoked and properly exercised."[25] Therefore, based on Dr. Chakraborty's testimony that Employee did not meet the performance requirements of the PIP, I conclude that Agency was within its rights to reassign, reduce in grade, or remove employee in compliance with DCMR §1410.12.

5) Whether the penalty of termination is appropriate under District law, rules, regulations or the Table of Illustrative Actions.

In the instant matter, although Agency has met its burden to establish cause for the adverse action in this matter, I conclude that because Agency did not comply with all the PIP requirements, Agency cannot discipline Employee pursuant to 6B DCMR §1607.2(d)(1) and (2); and Agency's Policy and Procedures 3300.1E. Agency's has the burden of proof in this matter, and it failed to provide a certificate of service proving that Employee was notified of the outcome of the PIP within ten (10) business days. Additionally, I find that the current PIP was based on Employee's previous fiscal year (FY'20) performance and not the current fiscal year (FY'21) performance, in violation of the PIP procedures. Therefore, I conclude that in

[21] Case No. 2012 CA 003606 P (January 29, 2013).
[22] *Id. Citing Washington Teachers' Union, Local #6 v. Board of Education*, 109 F.3d 774, 780 (D.C. Cir. 1997).
[23] *See Mavins v. District Department of Transportation*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0202-09, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (March 19, 2013); *Mills v. District Department of Public Works*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0009-09, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 12, 2011); *Washington Teachers' Union Local No. 6, American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO v. Board of Education of the District of Columbia*, 109 F.3d 774 (D.C. Cir. 1997); *see also Huntley v. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0111-91, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (March 18, 1994); and *Hutchinson v. District of Columbia Fire Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0119-90, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (July 2, 1994).
[24] *See also American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO v. Office of Personnel Management*, 821 F.2d 761, 765 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (noting that the federal government has long employed the use of subjective performance evaluations to help make RIF decisions).
[25] *See Stokes v. District of Columbia*, 502 A.2d 1006, 1009 (D.C. 1985).

accordance with DCMR § 1410.11, Employee was successful in the PIP and Agency cannot rely on the current PIP to terminate Employee.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Agency's action of terminating Employee is **REVERSED**; and
2. Agency shall reimburse Employee all back-pay, and benefits lost as a result of her termination; and
3. Agency shall file with this Office, within thirty (30) days from the date on which this decision becomes final, documents evidencing compliance with the terms of this Order.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Monica N. Dohnji
MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0038-24
EMPLOYEE,[1]	)	
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: February 14, 2025
v.	)	
	)	
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH REHABILITATION SERVICES,	)	NATIYA CURTIS, Esq.
Agency	)	Administrative Judge
	)	

Employee, *Pro Se*
Michele McGee, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On March 21, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services' ("Agency" or "DYRS") decision to terminate her from her position as a Youth Development Representative, effective February 22, 2024. The removal action was for inability to carry out assigned duties.[2] OEA issued a letter dated March 22, 2024, requesting Agency file an Answer on or before April 21, 2024. Agency filed its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal as required. This matter was assigned to the undersigned Administrative Judge on April 23, 2024.

On April 30, 2024, I issued an Order Convening a Prehearing Conference for June 6, 2024. On May 15, 2024, Agency submitted a Request for Issuance of Subpoenas Duces Tecum. On May 20, 2024, I issued an Order, staying the Prehearing Conference and convening a Status/Discovery Conference for June 6, 2024, which was rescheduled to June 26, 2024, due to scheduling conflicts. The parties appeared for the Status/Discovery Conference on June 26, 2024, as required. During that conference, the undersigned extended the deadline for discovery and scheduled a Status Conference for July 19, 2024.

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] Agency's Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal (April 19, 2024).

On July 17, 2024, Agency filed a Consent Motion to extend the time for discovery through August 31, 2024. A Status/Discovery Conference was convened on July 19, 2024. After the Status/Discovery Conference, the undersigned issued a Post Status/Discovery Conference Order grating Agency's Consent Motion in part and extending discovery in this matter through August 8, 2024.

On August 12, 2024, I issued an Order convening a Prehearing Conference for September 19, 2024. The parties appeared at the Prehearing Conference as required. On September 20, 2024, I issued a Post Prehearing Conference Order requiring the parties to submit briefs addressing the issues in the matter. Agency's brief was due by October 28, 2024. Employee's brief was due by November 25, 2024. Agency had the option to submit a sur-reply brief by or before December 19, 2024. On October 28, 2024, Agency filed a Motion for an Extension of Time to file its brief. On October 30, 2024, I issued an Order granting Agency's Motion. Agency's brief was now due by or before November 18, 2024. Further, Employee's brief was now due by or before December 13, 2024. Agency submitted its initial brief as required. Employee failed to submit her brief as required.

As a result, on December 19, 2024, I issued an Order for Statement of Good Cause, requiring Employee to submit her brief along with a statement of good cause for her failure to submit a response by the deadline required by the October 30, 2024, Order.[3] Employee's brief and statement of good cause was due by January 3, 2025. Employee did not submit her statement and brief as required. On January 21, 2025, I issued a second Order for Statement of Good Cause and Brief to Employee. Employee's brief and statement of good cause was due by February 4, 2025. As of the date of this decision, Employee has not submitted her brief and statement of good cause as required. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this Appeal should be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule 631.1, 6-B DCMR Ch. 600 (December 27, 2021) states:

> The burden of proof for material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence.
>
> Preponderance of the evidence shall mean: That degree of relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find a contested fact more probably true than untrue.

[3] A courtesy copy of this Order was emailed to the parties on December 30, 2024.

OEA Rule 631.2 *id.* states:

> For Appeals filed under § 604.1, the employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing. The agency shall have the burden of proof as to all other issues.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

OEA Rule 624.3, DCMR Ch. 600, et seq (December 27, 2021) grants an Administrative Judge the authority to "…dismiss the action or rule for the appellant" if a party fails to take reasonable steps to prosecute or defend an appeal. Failure of a party to prosecute or defend an appeal includes, but is not limited to, a failure to:

(a) Appear at a scheduled proceeding after receiving notice;
(b) *Submit required documents after being provided with a deadline for such submission* (emphasis added); or
(c) Inform this Office of a change of address which results in correspondence being returned.

This Office has consistently held that a matter may be dismissed for failure to prosecute when a party fails to appear for scheduled proceedings or fails to submit required documents.[4] Here, Employee was advised in both of the Orders for Statement of Good Cause issued on December 19, 2024 and January 21, 2025, that failure to comply with those Orders could result in sanctions, including dismissal. As of the date of this decision, Employee has not responded or provided a written response to the December 19, 2024, and January 21, 2025, Orders. Employee's response was required to make an informed decision regarding the resolution of this matter. Accordingly, I find that Employee has not exercised the diligence expected of an appellant pursuing an appeal before this Office, and Employee's inaction presents a valid basis for dismissing this matter. For these reasons, I find that this matter should be dismissed for Employee's failure to prosecute.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Petition in this matter is **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Natiya Curtis
Natiya Curtis Esq.
Administrative Judge

[4] *See*. *David Bailey Jr. v. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0007-16 (April 14, 2016).

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0040-21R24
Employee	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: February 28, 2025
	)	
D.C. FIRE AND EMERGENCY	)	
MEDICAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT,	)	MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Agency	)	Senior Administrative Judge
	)	

Pamela M. Keith, Esq., Employee Representative
Connor Finch, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION ON REMAND

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 9, 2021, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department's ("Agency" or "FEMS") decision to terminate her from her position as a Firefighter/EMT effective July 31, 2021. OEA issued a Request for Agency Answer to Petition for Appeal on September 28, 2021. Agency submitted its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on October 27, 2021. This matter was initially assigned to Administrative Judge ("AJ") Cannon.[2] Thereafter, this matter was reassigned to the undersigned on April 5, 2022. The undersigned Senior Administrative Judge ("SAJ") issued an Initial Decision ("ID") reversing Agency's decision to terminate Employee on September 7, 2022. Agency filed a Petition for Review with the OEA Board on October 12, 2022. The OEA Board issued an Opinion and Order ("O&O") on January 19, 2023, denying Agency's Petition for Review.

Agency appealed the ID to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia ("Superior Court") and on February 16, 2024, the Superior Court issued an Order Vacating the Initial

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] AJ Cannon issued an Order scheduling a Prehearing Conference in this matter for March 24, 2022, and the parties were required to submit Prehearing Statements on March 17, 2022. Agency submitted its Prehearing Statement as requested.

Decision of the Office of Employee Appeals and Remanding the Case.[3] The D.C. Superior Court Judge remanded the matter to OEA for reconsideration by the undersigned "of at least two issues following briefing and argument – and, if necessary, the presentation of evidence – by the parties: (1) the proprietary of FEMS's application of the 2012 DPM – rather than the 2017 DPM – to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline; and (2) whether [Employee] waived her right to challenge her discipline on the ground that her conduct was not subject to discipline by failing to raise the issue before the FEMS Trial Board."[4]

Thereafter, the undersigned issued an Order on February 26, 2024, and February 29, 2024, requiring the parties to submit written briefs addressing the issues on remand. On March 5, 2024, Employee filed her Motion for Leave to Conduct Limited Discovery and Stay the Briefing Schedule Until Such Discovery Can Be Completed. On March 11, 2024, Agency filed its Opposition to Request for Discovery and to Stay Briefing. On March 14, 2024, Employee filed her Reply in Support of her Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery and to Stay the Scheduling Order. On March 18, 2024, Agency filed a Consent Motion to Vacate Scheduling Order. On March 20, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order granting Employee's Motion for Leave to Conduct Limited Discovery and Stay the Briefing Schedule Until Such Discovery Can Be Completed and Agency's Consent Motion to Vacate Scheduling Order. This Order also scheduled a Status Conference for March 26, 2024. Following the Status Conference, the undersigned issued an Order requiring Agency to submit the applicable Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") between Employee's Union and Agency. This Order also clarified that the March 20, 2024, Order only applied to Employee's Motion to Stay Briefing Schedule.

On April 12, 2024, Agency filed Agency's Notice Responsive to March 26, 2024, Order, wherein, it included the applicable CBA with its submission.[5] On April 18, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order requiring the parties to submit written briefs addressing five (5) issues.[6] On April 30, 2024, Agency filed its Motion for Certification of Interlocutory Appeal to the OEA Board and Request for Stay of Proceedings. On May 2, 2024, the undersigned issued an Order on Motion for Certification of Interlocutory Appeal to the OEA Board and Request for Stay of Proceedings, referring the matter to the OEA Board. On May 30, 2024, the OEA Board issued an Opinion and Order on the Motion for Interlocutory Appeal remanding this matter to the undersigned noting that "… the AJ's order exceeds the scope of the directives on remand since OEA is limited to reviewing the record established at the Trial Board level." Thereafter, the

[3] *D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department v. D.C. Office of Employee Appeals, et. al.*, No. 2023-CAB-001068 (February 16, 2024).
[4] *Id.*
[5] It should be noted that the applicable CBA went into effect in 2018, approximately one (1) year after the effective date of the 2017 DPM.
[6] (1) Whether Article 31, Section A of the September 5, 2018, CBA between Employee's Union and Agency specifically referenced Chapter 16 of the 2012 DPM and/or Chapter 16 of the 2017 DPM. (2) Whether there is a conflict between the 2018 CBA and the 2017 DPM version which was in effect at the time of the current adverse action. (3) Whether the parties were engaged in impacts and effects bargaining regarding Chapter 16 of the 2017 DPM at the time the current adverse action occurred. **The parties must provide documentary evidence in support of their position.** (4) The proprietary of Agency's application of the 2012 DPM – rather than the 2017 DPM to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline even though the governing and applicable CBA was executed in 2018, about a year after the 2017 DPM version and approximately six (6) years after the 2012 DPM version. (Emphasis added). (5) Whether Employee waived her right to challenge her discipline on the ground that her conduct was not subject to discipline by failing to raise the issue before the Trial Board.

undersigned issued a Second Order for Briefs on June 12, 2024. While Agency timely submitted its brief, on July 28, 2024, Employee filed her Consent Motion for Enlargement of Time to File her Response to Agency's Brief on Petition for Review. Employee filed her Brief on Remand on July 25, 2024. On August 7, 2024, Agency submitted its Reply Brief on Remand. Thereafter, according to the directives from the OEA Board, this matter was held in abeyance.[7] The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

OEA has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUES

1) The proprietary of FEMS's application of the 2012 District Personnel Manual ("DPM") – rather than the 2017 DPM – to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline; and

2) Whether [Employee] waived her right to challenge her discipline on the ground that her conduct was not subject to discipline by failing to raise the issue before the FEMS Trial Board.

BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule 628.1, 59 DCR 2129 (March 16, 2012) states:

> The burden of proof with regard to material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. "Preponderance of the evidence" shall mean:
>
> > That degree of relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find a contested fact more probably true than untrue.

OEA Rule 628.2 *id.* states:

> The employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing. The agency shall have the burden of proof as to all other issues.

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW[8]

[7] Additionally, due to personal extenuating circumstances requiring the undersigned's absence, on December 12, 2024, AJ Harris issued a Notice Regarding Temporary Abeyance of Proceedings to the parties until my return.

[8] Although I may not discuss every aspect of the evidence in the analysis of this case, I have carefully considered the entire record. See *Antelope Coal Co./Rio Tino Energy America v. Goodin*, 743 F.3d 1331, 1350 (10th Cir. 2014)

Pursuant to OEA Rule § 628.1, Agency has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed disciplinary action was taken for cause. On February 16, 2024, the D.C. Superior Court Judge remanded the matter to OEA for reconsideration by the undersigned "of at least two issues following briefing and argument – and, if necessary, the presentation of evidence – by the parties: (1) the proprietary of FEMS's application of the 2012 DPM – rather than the 2017 DPM – to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline; and (2) whether [Employee] waived her right to challenge her discipline on the ground that her conduct was not subject to discipline by failing to raise the issue before the FEMS Trial Board."

1) **The proprietary of FEMS's application of the 2012 DPM – rather than the 2017 DPM – to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline.**

In the September 7, 2022, ID, the undersigned found that the 2017 and not the 2012 DPM was applicable in the instant matter. However, D.C. Superior Court Judge Kravitz remanded this matter to OEA for reconsideration by the undersigned "… the proprietary of FEMS's application of the 2012 DPM – rather than the 2017 DPM – to its determination whether [Employee]'s conduct was subject to discipline." D.C. Superior Court Judge Matini issued a ruling in *D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Servs. Department v. D.C. Office of Employee Appeals*,[9] wherein, the Court agreed with Agency and found that Local 36 bargained to implement a disciplinary system consistent with the 2012 version of the DPM. It reasoned that the charges levied against the employee were brought in accordance with the charges and penalties outlined in the bargained-for version of the DPM, and not the revisions which brought about "substantial changes…with regard to charges and penalties." Additionally, the OEA Board noted in *Employee v. D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Servs. Department v. D.C. Office of Employee Appeals*[10], that "… current case law dictates that Agency's use of the 2012 DPM in this matter was proper." Consistent with the aforementioned rulings of both the Superior Court for the District of Columbia and the OEA Board, I conclude that the 2012 DPM is the applicable DPM in this matter.

2) **Whether Employee waived her right to challenge her discipline on the ground that her conduct was not subject to discipline by failing to raise the issue before the FEMS Trial Board.**

Agency argued that because Employee failed to raise the issue of the appropriate regulation before the FEMS Trial Board, she waived her rights to do so. Because the Superior Court for the District of Columbia's and the OEA Board found that the 2012 DPM is the applicable regulation, I find that the issue of whether Employee waived her rights when she failed to challenge this issue before the FEMS Trial Board is MOOT.

(citing *Clifton v. Chater*, 79 F.3d 1007, 1009-10 (10th Cir. 1996)) ("The record must demonstrate that the ALJ considered all of the evidence, but an ALJ is not required to discuss every piece of evidence").

[9] 2023-CAB1076 (D.C. Super Ct. December 29, 2023). See also. *D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Servs. Department v. D.C. Office of Employee Appeals*, 2023-CAB 003933 (D.C. Super Ct. January 15, 2025).

[10] OEA Matter No. 1601-0050-23 (January 16, 2025).

Whether the Penalty was Appropriate

The undersigned found in the September 7, 2022, ID that there was substantial evidence in the record to support Agency's findings in both Charge No. 1, Specification No. 1; and Charge No. 2, Specification No. 1. The undersigned also noted that Agency's action was taken for cause with regard to Charge No. 2, Specification No. 1. Employee received a 72 duty hours suspension for Charge No. 2, Specification No. 1 (Neglect of Duty). Agency did a thorough *Douglas* factors analysis in this matter. Therefore, I conclude that Agency had sufficient cause to suspend Employee for 72 duty hours for Charge No. 2., Specification No. 1.

Charge No. 1, Specification No. 1, had two (2) separate causes of actions (1) Neglect of Duty and (2) unreasonable failure to give assistance to the public. The undersigned previously held that Agency did not utilize the appropriate version of the District Personnel Manual in its administration of this action and therefore found that Agency could not terminate Employee pursuant to Charge No. 1, Specification No. 1. However, again, consistent with the aforementioned D.C. Superior Court and OEA Board rulings, I find that Agency's use of the 2012 DPM in this matter was proper. Accordingly, I find that Agency was within its managerial discretion to terminate Employee pursuant to Charge No. 1, Specification No. 1.

As provided in *Love v. Department of Corrections*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0034-08R11 (August 10, 2011), selection of a penalty is a management prerogative, not subject to the exercise of discretionary disagreement by this Office.[11] When an Agency's charge is upheld, this Office has held that it will leave the agency's penalty undisturbed when the penalty is within the range allowed by law, regulation or guidelines, is based on consideration of the relevant factors, and is clearly not an error of judgment. I find that Agency has properly exercised its managerial discretion and its chosen penalty of termination for Charge No. 1, Specification No. 1, and 72 hours suspension Charge No. 2, Specification No. 1 is reasonable and not an error of judgment.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Agency's action of terminating Employee is **UPHELD.**

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Monica N. Dohnji
MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

[11] *Love* also provided that "[OEA's] role in this process is not to insist that the balance be struck precisely where the [OEA] would choose to strike it if the [OEA] were in the agency's shoes in the first instance; such an approach would fail to accord proper deference to the agency's primary discretion in managing its workforce. Rather, the [OEA's] review of an agency-imposed penalty is essentially to assure that the agency did conscientiously consider the relevant factors and did strike a responsible balance within tolerable limits of reasonableness. Only if the [OEA] finds that the agency failed to weigh the relevant factors, or that the agency's judgment clearly exceeded the limits of reasonableness, is it appropriate for the [OEA] then to specify how the agency's decision should be corrected to bring the penalty within the parameters of reasonableness." *Citing Douglas v. Veterans Administration.*

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0050-16AF23
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	
Employee	)	Date of Issuance: March 6, 2025
v.	)	
	)	
D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL,	)	
Agency	)	
	)	

OPINION AND ORDER
ON
PETITION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Employee worked as a Support Enforcement Specialist with the D.C. Office of the Attorney General ("Agency"). On February 24, 2016, Agency issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Removal to Employee for "failing to satisfactorily perform one or more of the duties of [her] position" and "any on-duty employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of operations." The charges were based on Employee's failure to successfully complete the standards identified in her Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP"). On April 20, 2016, Agency issued its Final Decision on Proposed Removal, sustaining the charges against Employee. Her termination was effective on April 25, 2016.[2]

Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA") on May 24, 2016. On October 22, 2018, the OEA Administrative Judge ("AJ") issued an Initial Decision reversing Agency's termination action. Thereafter, Employee and Agency sought review of the Initial Decision with the OEA Board.[3] On July 16, 2019, the Board issued an order upholding the Initial Decision. Agency then filed an appeal with the Superior Court for the District of Columbia on August 13, 2019. On July 2, 2020, the Court denied Agency's petition and affirmed OEA's

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] *See Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal*, Tab 12 (OEA Mater No. 1601-0050-16).
[3]Although she was determined to be the prevailing party, Employee's petition argued that she was unable to present certain documents during the evidentiary hearing; the prehearing statement was altered by her former attorney; and the prehearing conferences were not recorded.

ruling reversing Employee's termination. Agency subsequently appealed to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals. On May 23, 2023, the Court affirmed the Superior Court's ruling.[4]

On June 21, 2023, Employee, acting in a pro se capacity, filed a third Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs with OEA.[5] Employee's motion included reimbursement requests for services rendered from the following: David Branch, Esq.; William Dansie, Esq.; Alan Lescht and Associates, PC; Berry & Berry, PLLC; David Shapiro, Esq.; and witness Christoper Tate.[6]

Agency submitted its response to the fee petition on July 31, 2023. It asserted that although Employee was the prevailing party in this matter, an award of fees was not required in the interest of justice. Specifically, Agency opined that none of the factors outlined in *Allen v. United States Postal Service,* 2 M.S.P.R. 420 (1980), applied to this matter because it did not engage in a prohibited personnel practice; its termination action was not taken without merit or wholly unfounded; Agency did not act in bad faith; and it did not commit a gross procedural error. It further posited that Employee could not be reimbursed for services that she rendered herself. Therefore, it believed that an award of fees was not warranted.[7]

During a September 20, 2023, status conference, Employee was ordered to submit a supplemental brief to include the fee requests from the attorneys who previously represented her before OEA. Agency was also directed to submit a report regarding the status of Employee's reinstatement to her position of record.[8] On September 29, 2023, Alan Lescht and Associates, PC filed a fee petition requesting $54,524.50 in attorney's fees for work associated with prosecuting Employee's appeal. However, on October 13, 2023, Employee filed a Motion to Disregard the petition filed for attorney fees as well as a motion for additional time to file her own supplemental brief. In her filings, Employee claimed *inter alia* that the petition was filed without her consent; Alan Lescht & Associates was not rehired to represent her; the firm's actions were fabricated; and firm attorney, Sara Safriet, Esq., deliberately failed to represent Employee truthfully in 2016 and 2017.[9]

On October 18, 2023, the AJ issued an order scheduling a status conference to discuss the outstanding issues related to attorney's fees and compliance with the October 22, 2018, Initial Decision.[10] After several continuances, the AJ held a conference on February 21, 2024, to ascertain the status of Employee's fee request. On March 8, 2024, Employee submitted a brief detailing her basis for requesting attorney's fees. She reiterated her desire to be reimbursed in accordance with

[4] Case No. 20-CV-0482 (May 23, 2023).
[5] Employee previously filed motions for attorney's fees on August 15, 2019, and July 31, 2020. Both motions were denied by the AJ as premature because the matter is still pending before this Office.
[6] *Employee's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs* (June 21, 2023).
[7] *Agency's Opposition to Employee's Motion for Attorney's Fees* (July 31, 2023).
[8] *Post-Status Conference Order* (September 20, 2023).
[9] *Employee's Motion to Disregard the Petition Filed for Attorney Fees by Alan Lescht and Associates* (October 13, 2023) and *Employee's Motion Requesting Extension of Time to Submit a Supplemental Motion for Attorney's Fees and Other Costs* (October 13, 2023).
[10] *Order Regarding Employee's Motion for an Extension of Time and to Disregard Filing by Alan Lescht & Associates, P.C.* (October 18, 2023). In her order, the AJ noted that an attorney from the firm stated via email that it was not currently representing Employee. However, the attorney cited that Employee had forwarded the August 25, 2023, Post-Status Conference Order requesting briefing on attorney's fees, which prompted their filing. The AJ's order also granted Employee's motion to disregard the filing submitted by the firm.

the amounts reflected in her June 21, 2023, motion. Employee also contended that an award was warranted because she was the prevailing party; she paid retainer fees and other costs to three attorneys associated with proceedings before OEA; and the retained attorneys who engaged in unprofessional conduct and failed to protect Employee's interests in accordance with the law. Hence, Employee reasoned that she was entitled to be reimbursed for the legal costs that she incurred to secure representation.[11]

The AJ issued a Third Addendum Decision on Attorney's Fees on July 16, 2024. First, she highlighted OEA Rule § 639.1 and D.C. Code § 1-606.08, which collectively provide that an employee shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees if they are the prevailing party, and the award is warranted in the interest of justice. As it related to the prevailing party requirement, the AJ provided that OEA's Initial Decision reversed Agency's termination action; the ruling was upheld by Superior Court on July 2, 2020; and the Court of Appeals affirmed the reversal of Employee's termination on July 2, 2020. Therefore, she concluded that Employee was the prevailing party in this matter.[12]

Next, the AJ relied on the factors provided in *Allen v. United States Postal Service*, which serve as directional markers to determine whether a petitioner is entitled to attorney's fees in the interest of justice.[13] Specifically, she outlined that Agency violated *Allen* factor No. 4, – gross procedural error – because it failed to follow all applicable District laws, rules, and regulations in the administration of Employee's termination action. As such, she held that an award of fees was appropriate in the interest of justice. However, the AJ went on to explain that while Employee was previously represented by Alan Lescht & Associates, PC and Danise & Danise, LLP, both attorneys withdrew their appearances in 2018. Further, the AJ noted that Employee's motion for attorney's fees also requested reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses paid to David Branch, Esq., Berry & Berry, PLLC, and Dave Shapiro, Esq..[14]

After reviewing the record, the AJ held that D.C. Code § 1-606.08 and OEA Rule 639 did not provide for an award for fees for employees representing themselves. The AJ reasoned that while Employee previously retained legal representation throughout this matter, she required the withdrawal of her representation by those attorneys. Therefore, she deemed Employee to be a pro se litigant at the time the third Motion for Attorney's Fees was filed. Moreover, the AJ provided that Employee's Motion sought reimbursement for fees paid to attorneys who represented her during her appeal, as well reimbursement for fees and services that she completed herself. Thus, the AJ concluded that although attorney's fees were warranted in this case, as a pro se litigant,

[11] *Employee's Motion to Award Attorney's Fees and Other Costs* (March 8, 2024).
[12] *Third Addendum Decision on Attorney's Fees* (July 16, 2024).
[13] The Court provided the following factors to be considered in awarding attorney's fees: 1. Where the agency engaged in a "prohibited personnel practice." 2. Where the agency's action was "clearly without merit" or was "wholly unfounded", or the employee is "substantially innocent" of the charges brought by the agency. 3. Where the agency initiated the action against employee in "bad faith," including: a. Where the agency's action was brought to "harass" the employee. b. Where the agency's action was brought to "exert pressure on the employee to act in certain ways." 4. Where the agency committed a "gross procedural error" which "prolonged the proceeding" or "severely prejudiced the employee" and 5. Where the agency "knew or should have known that it would not prevail on the merits" when it brought the proceeding.
[14] The AJ acknowledged Employee's September 29, 2023, request to disregard the fee petition filed by Alan Lescht. Therefore, it was not considered in her analysis of the attorney fee request.

Employee was not entitled to an award for the out-of-pocket monies she paid for legal services. Assuming *arguendo* Employee could be awarded fees, the AJ nonetheless ruled that the documentation submitted was insufficient to support such an award. As a result, Employee's June 21, 2023, Motion for Attorney's Fees was denied.[15]

Employee disagreed with the AJ's ruling and filed a Petition for Review of the Third Addendum Decision on Attorney's Fees with the OEA Board on August 19, 2024. She argues that the attorneys hired to represent her participated in professional misconduct and misinformed her of the filings, charges, and time spent on prosecuting her appeal. Employee contends that each attorney failed to protect her rights under the applicable labor laws. She asserts that this Office lacks jurisdiction to correct the misclassification of her status under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). Employee also requests that the Board conclude that OEA lacks jurisdiction to award or deny attorney's fees. She further asks that the Board rule that it has no jurisdiction over criminal matters under the purview of the D.C. Office of the Attorney General and the Office of the Inspector General.[16]

In response, Agency submits that Employee's submission fails to meet any of the criterial specified in Chapter 6B, Section 637.4 of the D.C. Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") as a basis for granting her Petition for Review. It characterizes Employee's arguments related to her FLSA status as wholly irrelevant to the instant petition. Agency agrees with the AJ's findings that Employee is not entitled to the award of fees she incurred as a pro se litigant. Finally, it posits that the relief requested by Employee is unrelated to the fee petition at issue. Consequently, Agency asks that the petition be denied.[17]

Substantial Evidence

As discussed herein, this Board finds no basis for awarding attorney's fees to Employee as requested in her June 21, 2023, motion. OEA Rule 637.4 provides that the Board may grant a Petition for Review when new and material evidence is available that, despite due diligence, was not available when the record closed; the decision of the Administrative Judge is based on an erroneous interpretation of statute, regulation or policy; the findings of the AJ are not based on substantial evidence; or the initial decision did not address all material issues of law and fact properly raised in the appeal. The Court in *Baumgartner v. Police and Firemen's Retirement and Relief Board*, 527 A.2d 313 (D.C. 1987), held that if administrative findings are supported by substantial evidence, then it must be accepted even if there is substantial evidence in the record to support a contrary finding. While Employee's motion fails to raise any of the arguments contemplated within OEA Rule 637.4 as a basis for granting her petition, this Board finds that the Initial Decision is based on substantial evidence, and we will nonetheless address the appropriateness of her current fee request.[18]

[15] *Initial Decision* at 8.
[16] *Employee's Petition for Review on Attorney's Fees* (August 19, 2024).
[17] *Agency's Opposition to Employee's Petition for Review* (September 23, 2024).
[18] The rule provides the following: The Petition for Review shall set forth objections to the Initial Decision supported by reference to the record. The Board may grant a Petition for Review when the petition establishes that: (a) New and material evidence is available that, despite due diligence, was not available when the record closed; (b) The decision of the Administrative Judge is based on an erroneous interpretation of statute, regulation, or policy; (c) The findings of the Administrative Judge are not based on substantial evidence; or (d) The Initial Decision did not address all material issues of law and fact properly raised in the appeal.

Prevailing Party and Interest of Justice

Under D.C. Code § 1-606.08, an OEA Administrative Judge "…may require payment by the agency of reasonable attorney fees if the appellant is the prevailing party and payment is warranted in the interest of justice." To determine whether a fee award is merited, OEA has historically relied on *Allen v. United States Postal Service*, in which the Merit Systems Protection Board ("MSPB") provided circumstances to serve as "directional markers towards the 'interest of justice,' a destination which, at best, can only be approximate."[19]

It is undisputed that Employee is prevailing party in this case. Under OEA Rule 635.1, the October 22, 2018, Initial Decision reversing Agency's termination action became final thirty-five days after issuance. OEA's ruling was upheld on appeal by both the D.C. Superior Court and the Court of Appeals. There is also evidence in the record to support the AJ's finding that an award of attorney's fees is warranted in the interest of justice. As the AJ previously held, Agency committed a gross procedural error because it violated DPM §§ 1410.5 and 1410.6 when it failed to issue the written results of Employee's PIP within ten days of the competition of the improvement plan. Consequently, we will leave the AJ's rulings on these issues undisturbed.

Fee Recovery for Pro Se Employees

OEA Rule 615.1 states that an employee "… may appear on their own behalf, through an attorney, through a union representative, or through any other competent individual" in any proceeding before this Office. D.C. Code § 1–623.27(b)(2) also provides the following:

> "If a person utilizes the services of an *attorney-at-law* in the successful prosecution of his or her claim under § 1-623.24(b) or before any court for review of any action, award, order, or decision, there shall be awarded, in addition to the award of compensation, in a compensation order, a reasonable attorney's fee…." (emphasis added).

Moreover, in *Copeland v. District of Columbia Department of Employment Services*, 3 A.3d 331 (Sept. 2, 2010), the District of Columbia Court of Appeals held that "[t]he plain language of the statute [D.C. Code § 1–623.27(b)(2)] provides for payments to 'attorney-at-law' and does not specify any other class of person eligible to receive such payments."

Here, Employee first indicated her desire to proceed in a pro se capacity after she filed the Motion to Disregard the Petition Filed by Alan Lescht and Associates, PC on October 13, 2023. Her motion was acknowledged and granted by the AJ on October 18, 2023. Employee's most recent motion for attorney's fees contains her signature only and there is no indication that she is a licensed attorney in any United States jurisdiction. Therefore, Employee was a self-represented, non-attorney litigant at time the instant petition to the Board was filed.

Employee's June 21, 2023, filing requests reimbursement for fees paid to attorneys who represented her at various junctures before OEA. She also seeks costs associated with tasks that she completed herself, including submitting documents to this Office during the prosecution of her appeal. However, nothing within the language of D.C. Code § 1–623.27 or OEA's rules allows for

[19] 2 M.S.P.R. 420 (1980).

the payment of attorney's fees to pro se litigants. Employee is not a licensed attorney and does not qualify as an individual who would otherwise be entitled to the payment of legal services. Additionally, Employee's request to be reimbursed for work that she completed herself is not an attorney fee request and the associated costs are therefore unrecoverable.

Finally, in *Employee v. D.C. Fire & Emergency Services Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0109-13AF15 (November 19, 2015), the OEA AJ, citing the holdings in *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886 (1984) and *Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*, 857 F.2d 1516 (D.C. Cir. 1988), provided the following as it relates to fee recovery:

> "Once the conclusion is reached that attorney fees should be awarded, the determination must be made on the amount of the award. The burden is on the fee applicant to produce satisfactory evidence that the requested rates are in line with those prevailing in the community for similar services by lawyers of reasonably comparable skill, experience, or reputation. The best evidence of the prevailing hourly rate is ordinarily the hourly rate customarily charged in the community in which the attorney whose rate is in question practices."

As the AJ noted, even if this Board were to consider her request for reimbursement for fees already paid to other attorneys as permittable, Employee has failed to expound upon or provide supporting documentation related to the identified attorneys' itemized expenses, years of experience, or requested hourly rate, which is standard practice before this Office.[20] As a result, this Board finds no basis for granting Employee's third Motion for Attorney's Fees.[21] Consequently, we must deny Employee's petition.

[20] *See Employee v. Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0017-21AF24 (February 28, 2024); *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886 (1984); *Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*, 857 F.2d 1516 (D.C. Cir. 1988); *Employee v. Department of Employment Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-14AF22, *Opinion and Order on Attorney's Fees* (March 2, 2023); *Employee v. Department of Employment Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0053-22AF23 (October 31, 2024); *Employee v. Department of Public Works*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0023-22AF23 (January 3, 2024); *Employee v. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0027-18AF22 (January 3, 2024); and *Employee v. D.C. Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0215-11R18R20AF22 (May 23, 2022).

[21] This is not to say that Employee's previous attorneys are estopped from seeking fees before OEA. However, we note that Alan Lescht and Associates, PC has not renewed its fee request with this Office following the AJ's October 18, 2024, order granting Employee's motion to disregard the firm's fee request.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Review is **DENIED**.

FOR THE BOARD:

_____
Dionna Maria Lewis, Chair

_____
Arrington L. Dixon

_____
Jeanne Moorehead

_____
LaShon Adams

_____
Pia Winston

Either party may appeal this decision on Petition for Review to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. To file a Petition for Review with the Superior Court, the petitioning party should consult Superior Court Civil Procedure Rules, XV. Agency Review, Rule 1.

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0050-16C23
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	
Employee	)	Date of Issuance: March 6, 2025
v.	)	
	)	
D.C. OFFICE OF THE	)	
ATTORNEY GENERAL,	)	
Agency	)	
	)	

OPINION AND ORDER
ON
ADDENDUM DECISION
ON COMPLIANCE

Employee worked as a Support Enforcement Specialist with the D.C. Office of the Attorney General ("Agency"). On February 24, 2016, Agency issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Removal to Employee for "failing to satisfactorily perform one or more of the duties of [her] position" and "any on-duty employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency or integrity of operations." The charges were based on Employee's failure to successfully complete the standards specified in her Performance Improvement Plan ("PIP"). On April 20, 2016, Agency issued its Final Decision on Proposed Removal, sustaining the charges against Employee. Her termination was effective on April 25, 2016.[2]

Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA") on May 24, 2016. On October 22, 2018, the OEA Administrative Judge ("AJ") issued an Initial Decision reversing Agency's termination action. Thereafter, Employee and Agency sought review of the Initial Decision with the OEA Board.[3] On July 16, 2019, the Board issued an order upholding the Initial Decision. Agency then filed an appeal with the Superior Court for the District of Columbia on August 13, 2019. On July 2, 2020, the Court denied Agency's petition and affirmed

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.
[2] *See Agency's Answer to Petition for Appeal*, Tab 12 (OEA Mater No. 1601-0050-16).
[3] Although she was determined to be the prevailing party, Employee's petition argued that she was unable to present certain documents during the evidentiary hearing; the prehearing statement was altered by her former attorney; and the prehearing conferences were not recorded.

OEA's ruling reversing Employee's termination. Agency subsequently appealed to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals. On May 23, 2023, the Court affirmed the Superior Court's ruling.[4]

Employee filed a Motion to Enforce the Order from the District of Columbia Court of Appeals with this Office on July 21, 2023, wherein she argued that Agency failed to comply with the order to reinstate her with back pay and benefits. The AJ subsequently ordered the parties to submit briefs addressing both the compliance issue as well as a separate attorney fee request submitted by Employee.[5] On November 27, 2023, Agency filed a Supplemental Motion in Lieu of Brief requesting that the AJ's briefing order be vacated. It explained that on November 22, 2023, Employee was issued an offer letter of reinstatement as a Case Management Specialist, CS-301-11/10.[6] In response, the AJ issued a January 2, 2024, order granting Employee's request for an extension time to address any outstanding compliance issues. Employee submitted a filing on January 29, 2024; however, the AJ assessed that additional status conferences were required to resolve the matter.[7]

During a February 21, 2024, status conference, Employee indicated that she had yet to submit the required documents requested by Agency to effectuate her back pay, stating that it was illegal to do so under the District Personnel Manual ("DPM"). Employee further asserted that she refused to accept Agency's offer of reinstatement because Agency failed to place her in her previous position within thirty days after the Court of Appeal's decision became final. The AJ informed Employee that pursuant to DPM Instruction No. 11B-80, an employee's failure to submit the required documentation necessary to calculate backpay would preclude them from receiving the amount owed. She also reminded Employee that that the instant compliance matter was initiated following her own Motion for Enforcement, and that the purpose of the process was to address the outstanding reinstatement and back pay issues.[8] As a result, Employee was again ordered to provide a response to the AJ's concerns no later than March 8, 2024.[9] Employee's response brief outlined her arguments pertinent to Agency's alleged acts of misconduct but did not address the compliance issues.[10]

The AJ issued an Addendum Decision on Compliance on July 16, 2024. She explained that Employee filed a Motion for Enforcement after the Court of Appeals issued its May 23, 2023, order affirming the reversal of Agency's termination action. The AJ stated that while Agency indicated that it could not initially locate a position identical to that held by Employee at the time of termination, the same position was ultimately identified. According to the AJ, Agency sent written notice to Employee on November 22, 2023, which provided that she was being reinstated to her previous position effective January 16, 2024. She went on to discuss how Agency's letter informed Employee that she was still required to submit the required documentation to process the restoration of backpay and benefits in accordance with Chapter 6B, Section 1149 of the D.C.

[4] Case No. 20-CV-0482 (May 23, 2023).
[5] *Post-Status Conference Order* (November 28, 2023).
[6] *Agency's Supplemental Motion in Lieu of Brief* (November 27, 2023).
[7] *Employee's Response to Agency's Supplemental Motion in Lieu of Brief* (January 29, 2024).
[8] *Post-Status Conference Order* (February 21, 2024).
[9] *Id.* During the conference, Agency indicated that its offer letter had lapsed as a result of Employee's refusal to provide a written acceptance.
[10] *Employee's Response* (March 8, 2024).

Municipal Regulations ("DCMR"); that her orientation would begin on January 16, 2024; and that Employee was required sign the offer of reinstatement within five business days of the offer, or it would expire.[11]

In assessing whether Agency complied with the reinstatement requirements, the AJ held that Employee refused to accept the position Agency identified in the November 22, 2023, letter of reinstatement, instead offering unrelated assertions of Agency's wrongdoing, fraud, forgery, and other illegal activities. The AJ characterized Employee's claim that it would be illegal for her to complete the paperwork to calculate backpay as wholly unfounded. Moreover, because Employee refused to submit the required paperwork as of the date of the addendum decision, the AJ concluded that this Office had no further measures for which it could take to ensure that Employee received the backpay owed to her. As a result, she ruled that Agency provided Employee reinstatement to her previous position of record with correct salary and grade/step; Agency complied with the October 22, 2018, Initial Decision to reinstate Employee; and Employee's refusal to accept the position was her own choice and not because of Agency's failure to comply. Since Agency complied with the Initial Decision, the AJ denied Employee's Motion for Enforcement.[12]

Employee subsequently filed a Petition for Review of the Addendum Decision on Compliance on August 19, 2024. She presents arguments related to her alleged misclassification under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). Employee also contends that Agency committed acts of fraud, forgery, retaliation, revenge, and concealment of evidence, all of which serve as a basis for reversing her removal. As a result, she requests that the Initial Decision be declared invalid.[13]

In response, Agency submits that Employee's petition related to compliance should be dismissed. It asserts that OEA's rules do not provide an avenue for appealing decisions on compliance to the Board. Therefore, Agency maintains that OEA is unable to address Employee's arguments related to its compliance with the October 22, 2018, Initial Decision.[14]

Discussion

OEA Rule 637.2 states that "any party to the proceeding may serve and file one (1) original and one (1) copy of a Petition for Review of an Initial Decision with the Board within thirty-five (35) calendar days of issuance of the Initial Decision." Therefore, a party is permitted to file a petition for review of an Initial Decision. Section 640 of OEA's rules, related to compliance and enforcement, provides no procedural avenue for an employee to appeal an addendum decision on compliance to the OEA Board. There is no mention of the OEA Board within any of the provisions of OEA Rule 640. Additionally, this Board has previously denied petitions for review of addendum decisions on compliance in *Employee v. Department of Employment Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-14AF22, *Opinion and Order on Attorney's Fees* (March 2, 2023); *Employee v. D.C. Child and Family Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0058-01C07, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (January 25, 2010); *Employee v. Department of Mental Health*, OEA Matter No. 1601-

[11] *Addendum Decision on Compliance* (July 16, 2024).
[12] *Id.*
[13] *Petition for Review of Addendum Decision on Compliance* (September 23, 2024).
[14] *Agency Answer to Petition for Review of Addendum Decision on Compliance* (September 24, 2024).

0046-12C16, *Opinion and Order on Compliance* (December 3, 2019); and *Employee v. Department of Health*, OEA Matter No. 2401-0020-10R17C19, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (June 30, 2020). Because OEA's rules do not authorize this Board to review Employee's arguments related to compliance with the October 22, 2018, Initial Decision, her petition must be denied.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Review is **DENIED**.

FOR THE BOARD:

_____
Dionna Maria Lewis, Chair

_____
Arrington L. Dixon

_____
Jeanne Moorehead

_____
LaShon Adams

_____
Pia Winston

Either party may appeal this decision on Petition for Review to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. To file a Petition for Review with the Superior Court, the petitioning party should consult Superior Court Civil Procedure Rules, XV. Agency Review, Rule 1.

This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the District of Columbia Register and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0055-24
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: February 13, 2025
v.	)	
	)	Lois Hochhauser, Esq.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT	)	Administrative Judge
Agency	)	

Daniel McCartin, Esq., Employee Representative
Lauren Schwartz, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On May 30, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal ("PFA") with the District of Columbia Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA"), challenging the decision of the District of Columbia Metropolitan Police Department ("Agency") to suspend him indefinitely without pay. OEA Executive Director Sheila Barfield notified Chief of Police Pamela Smith of the appeal on May 31, 2024, and advised her of the June 30, 2024, deadline for Agency to file its answer. Agency filed its Answer to Petition on June 24, 2024. This Administrative Judge ("AJ") was appointed to hear the appeal on or about July 30, 2024.

On August 22, 2024, Agency filed its "Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Disposition." On August 29, 2024, the parties filed a consent motion to extend the deadline for Employee to file his response. By Order issued an Order on September 3, 2024, Agency was directed to provide certain information by October 3, 2024. Employee filed his Opposition to Agency's Motions on September 13, 2024. Agency submitted its response to the Order October 1, 2024. On January 3, 2025, Agency filed a Motion to Dismiss. The parties filed a joint motion to extend Employee's filing deadline on January 23, 2025. The AJ granted the motion by Order issued on January 27, 2025, extending the filing deadline to February 6, 2025. On February 6, 2025, the parties filed their "Joint *Praecipe* to Withdraw (1) Agency's Motion to Dismiss, and (2) Employee's Petition for Appeal" ("Joint *Praecipe*"). The record in this matter

[1] This Office does not identify employees filing appeals by name in the published decisions on its website.

closed on that date.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

This Office's jurisdiction was initially established by the District of Columbia Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978 ("CMPA") and then amended by the Omnibus Personnel Reform Amendment Act of 1998, D.C. Law 12-124 ("OPRAA"). Both the CMPA and OPRAA confer jurisdiction on this Office to hear appeals of adverse actions, including suspensions of at least ten days. *See also*, OEA Rule 604.1. Therefore, at the time this decision was issued, this Office preliminarily determined that it had jurisdiction of this matter.

In the Joint *Praecipe*, Employee agreed to withdraw this PFA and Agency agreed to withdraw its pending motion. The parties requested that the AJ approve both requests. Based on the voluntary resolution of this matter by the parties, the AJ grants these requests. Both Agency's motion to dismiss and Employee's appeal are dismissed.[2]

ORDER

The Petition for Appeal is dismissed.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Lois Hochhauser

Lois Hochhauser, Esq.
Administrative Judge

[2] The parties are commended on the successful resolution of this matter.

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	
EMPLOYEE, Employee	OEA Matter No. 1601-0059-20
v.	Date of Issuance: February 13, 2025
D.C DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SERVICES, Agency	Senior Administrative Judge JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.

Charles Tucker, Esq., Employee Representative
Tonya Robinson, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 14, 2020, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the D.C. Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Department of Employment Services' ("DOES" or "Agency") decision to terminate her from her position as an Administrative Law Judge effective August 28, 2020, due to Unauthorized Absence of five workdays or more. On February 4, 2021, OEA requested Agency's response to the appeal. After OEA granted Agency's March 3, 2021, Motion for Extension of Time to File an Answer, Agency submitted its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on March 30, 2021.

Following an unsuccessful attempt at mediation, this matter was assigned to Administrative Judge Arien Cannon ("AJ Cannon") on or about October 14, 2021. After a November 16, 2021, Prehearing Conference, AJ Cannon ordered Agency to submit the backpay worksheet related to Employee's previous matter before OEA.[1] He reasoned that although Employee's prior matter is under a separate matter number, the compliance issues addressed in that case had the potential to impact the instant matter. On December 20, 2021, AJ Cannon granted Agency's Motion for Extension of Time. On January 7, 2022, Agency filed proof of its compliance with Employee's 1601-0012-14C16 matter.

[1] *Employee v. Agency*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-14C16.

On January 24, 2022, Employee filed a Motion for a Hearing on Damages and a Motion to Strike Agency's Response. On January 24, 2022,[2] AJ Cannon held the instant matter in abeyance until he could determine if his prior Initial Decision ("ID") in the prior 1601-0012-14C16 matter has been fully complied with. On March 25, 2022, Agency filed a Motion to Close the Issue of Compliance and Response to Employee's Motion for Attorney Fees, arguing that it had fully complied with the ID and that Employee was estopped from securing additional attorney fees when she accepted the offer of judgment on January 2, 2020.

On or about October 27, 2022, the instant matter was reassigned to Senior Administrative Judge Monica Dohnji ("AJ Dohnji"). AJ Dohnji scheduled a conference for December 7, 2022, but rescheduled it for December 20, 2022. This matter was then reassigned to the undersigned Senior Administrative Judge ("AJ") on December 22, 2022.

Thereafter, on February 22, 2023, I issued an Order scheduling a Status Conference in this matter for March 6, 2023. Both parties failed to appear. On March 6, 2023, I issued a Show Cause Order to Agency for its failure to appear at the conference. I also issued a Show Cause Order to Employee for the same reason on June 6, 2023. After both parties showed cause,[3] I issued a June 26, 2023, Order scheduling a Prehearing Conference for July 18, 2023.

At the parties' request, this matter was held in abeyance while they pursued settlement talks. On October 31, 2023, I ordered the parties to submit monthly reports on the progress of their settlement discussions. Thereafter, the parties submitted progress reports on November 6, 2023, December 6, 2023, January 8, 2024, February 6, 2024, March 7, 2024, and April 8, 2024.

On May 2, 2024, I scheduled a Status Conference for May 13, 2024, but rescheduled it for June 17, 2024, at the request of the parties. At the June 17, 2024, Conference, the parties indicated that they wanted to restart settlement discussions. Although the parties agreed to another status conference to be held on July 9, 2024, Employee did not appear. I issued a Show Cause Order to Employee on July 9, 2024. After Employee showed cause on July 31, 2024, I scheduled a Status Conference for September 16, 2024.

On September 16, 2024, both parties informed the undersigned that AJ Cannon's prior ID was not at issue and that the only issue in the instant matter was Employee's August 28, 2020, termination. I then issued a Post Status Conference Order requiring the parties to submit written briefs addressing the issues raised at the Conference by December 11, 2024. On December 6, 2024, I granted Employee's request for an extension of the deadlines with the final deadline of December 19, 2024. Both parties have now submitted their written briefs. After considering the parties' arguments as presented in their submissions to this Office, I have decided that there are no material issues of fact in dispute, and as such, an Evidentiary Hearing is not required. The record is closed.

[2] This was misdated as 2021 instead of 2022.
[3] Agency had a change of counsel while Employee had a post office mix-up.

JURISDICTION

OEA has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUES

1) Whether Employee's actions constituted cause for adverse action; and

2) If so, whether the penalty of removal is within the range allowed by law, rules, or regulations.

FINDINGS OF FACT[4], ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On March 1, 2009, DOES hired Employee as an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ").[5] On October 18, 2013, DOES removed Employee from her position as an Administrative Law Judge.[6] On November 1, 2013, Employee appealed the termination with OEA. This was docketed as OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-14. On December 6, 2013, Agency filed its response to Employee's OEA appeal. This matter was assigned to AJ Cannon on February 13, 2015.

Meanwhile, Employee filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia ("District Court") alleging violations of the American with Disabilities Act against the District of Columbia on May 13, 2015, and amended her Complaint on January 1, 2016. After Employee accepted a settlement, the Court issued an Order and Judgment on January 3, 2020.[7]

On April 22, 2016, AJ Cannon issued an ID returning Employee to her former position and awarding her backpay as well as benefits.[8] On August 29, 2016, Agency sent via FedEx notice of return to work on September 6, 2016, to Employee. On September 6, 2016, Employee returned to work at Agency. Employee last reported to work at Agency on November 14, 2016. Between September 6, 2016, and November 14, 2016, Employee performed no substantive work as an ALJ.[9]

On March 10, 2017, Employee elected not to restore medical or other benefits for the period of 2013 to 2016.[10] On August 4, 2017, a check was issued for Employee's backpay and all qualifying leave.[11] On November 5, 2017, OEA certifies the compliance issue to the Executive Office of the Mayor.

---

[4] The following facts were obtained from the record and the parties' stipulations of facts, undisputed submissions and representations.
[5] Agency's Stipulation of Facts, Exhibit and Witness List (June 3, 2024), Exhibit A, Notice of Personnel Action. Employee is not an ALJ with the Office of Administrative Hearings but rather a "hearing officer" employed with DOES, pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 2-1831.01 (2) and (8).
[6] *Id*. Exhibit B, 2013 Termination Packet.
[7] *Id*. Exhibit C, Amended Complaint and Notice of Acceptance of Offer of Judgment and Order and Judgment.
[8] *Id*. Exhibit D, *Employee v. Agency*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0012-14 (April 22, 2016).
[9] *Id*. Exhibit E, 2017 Performance Evaluation.
[10] *Id*. Exhibit F, Restoration of Benefits Page.
[11] *Id*. Exhibit G, Check Register.

On July 31, 2018, the Executive Officer of the Mayor (EOM) issued a decision that found Agency in substantial compliance with AJ Cannon's ID.[12] On September 18, 2018, Employee filed a lawsuit with the District of Columbia Superior Court ("DCSC") challenging the decision issued by EOM regarding Agency's compliance with OEA ID. On August 5, 2020, DCSC dismissed the case.[13]

On January 16, 2020, Employee, through counsel, advised DOES that she had been cleared to return to duty and inquired as to "what was needed to return."[14] DOES advised Employee that she would need a certificate of good standing from the District of Columbia Bar and documentation related to her fitness for duty with or without restrictions.[15] Pursuant to DC Code § 1-608.81, all ALJs employed with DOES must be members of the District of Columbia Bar since 2015.[16] DOES and Employee agreed that Employee would return to work on February 10, 2020.[17]

On February 3, 2020, Employee advised DOES, through her legal representative, that she would not be able to produce a note related to her fitness for duty as her medical insurance lapsed and no primary care physician would treat her.[18] DOES advised Employee that a letter from an emergency doctor would also suffice.[19]

After Employee failed to report to work from February 10, 2020, through February 28, 2020, and failed to provide the required certificate of good standing from the District of Columbia Bar, Agency charged Employee with absence without authorized leave.[20] On or about March 5, 2020, Agency sent via FedEx the proposed notice of termination that contained the cause for the proposed termination, the process to respond to the proposed termination and the designated hearing officer.[21] On May 4, 2020, after review of supporting documentation from Agency and Employee, Hearing Officer Rudy Chounoune concluded that the Agency's removal of Employee was supported by the preponderance of the evidence.[22] On or about August 14, 2020, Employee received the final decision on the proposed termination via FedEx.[23] Employee's termination was effective on August 28, 2020.[24]

---

[12] *Id*. Exhibit H, EOM Decision on Compliance with OEA Order issued April 22, 2016.
[13] *Id*. Exhibit I, Complaint filed with DC Superior Court and DC Superior Court Order.
[14] *Id*. Exhibit J, Emails Regarding Return to Work between Employee's counsel and Agency Human Resources Officer.
[15] *Id*.
[16] Agency brief in support of termination (November 4, 2024) Exhibit H.
[17] Agency's Stipulation of Facts, Exhibit and Witness List (June 3, 2024),
[18] *Id*.
[19] *Id*.
[20] Agency brief in support of termination (November 4, 2024) Exhibit J.
[21] *Id*. Exhibit C, Termination Packet. On or about March 5, 2020, Employee received the proposed notice of termination.
[22] *Id*. Exhibit D, Hearing Officer Report.
[23] *Supra*. Exhibit C, 2020 Termination Packet.
[24] *Id*.

Agency's Position[25]

Agency asserts that the uncontroverted facts in this matter supports its decision to remove Employee for cause from her position of ALJ for two reasons. Agency explains that Employee was guilty of an Attendance-related offense: Unauthorized Absence of five (5) workdays or more, pursuant to District Personnel Manual ("DPM") §1605.4(f)(2). Secondly, Agency further notes that based on the publicly available records of the District of Columbia Bar, Employee is currently still not a member in good standing to be employed as an ALJ as required by law, specifically DC Code§ 1-608.81. Agency's investigation also reveals that Employee has not been in good standing with the District of Columbia Bar since February 2020.

Agency further states that its fitness for duty and DC Bar membership are not new requirements nor are they retaliatory as alleged by Employee. It points out that the Table of Illustrative Actions includes termination for a first offense of Unauthorized Absence of five workdays or more.[26] As for Employee's failure to meet the requirement of a DC Bar membership for her position, Agency asserts that based on the law and the DPM, the only appropriate penalty is termination. Therefore, it concludes that this Office should sustain Agency's termination of Employee.

Employee's Position[27]

Employee argues that Agency had mistreated and continued to mistreat her by terminating her from her position twice. She asserts that Agency did not require her to undertake a fitness for duty medical examination before nor did it require her to waiver her bar license into DC before. Employee states that if she had known that a D.C. Bar license was required, she would have obtained it.

However, Employee does not deny that she had been absent from work since February 10, 2020, the official date of her return. More importantly, Employee does not deny that she still does not have the legally required DC Bar membership to be an ALJ with Agency.

Whether Employee's actions constituted cause for discipline

Under DPM §1605.4(f)(2), the definition of "cause" includes Attendance-related offenses, including unauthorized absence. DC Code§ 1-608.81 also requires that an ALJ in the District Government must possess DC Bar membership. In this matter, Employee does not deny the facts underlying Agency's causes of action. In her brief, Employee does not offer any credible reason as to why she never came to work or why she never obtained the legally required DC Bar membership. Consequently, given the totality of the circumstances, I find that Agency had met its burden of proof for its causes of action against Employee.

[25] Agency brief (November 4, 2024).
[26] Agency Reply Brief in Support of Termination (December 18, 2024), Exhibit C.
[27] Employee Response to Agency's Brief in Support of Termination (December 10, 2024).

Whether the penalty of removal is within the range allowed by law, rules, or regulations.

In determining the appropriateness of an agency's penalty, OEA has consistently relied on *Stokes v. District of* Columbia, 502 A.2d 1006 (D.C. 1985).[28] According to the Court in *Stokes*, OEA must determine whether the penalty was within the range allowed by law, regulation, and any applicable Table of Illustrative Actions ("TIA"); whether the penalty is based on a consideration of the relevant factors; and whether there is a clear error of judgment by Agency. In the instant case, I find that Agency has met its burden of proof for all its charges and specifications against Employee.

In reviewing Agency's decision to terminate Employee, OEA may look to the TIA. Chapter 16 of the DPM outlines the TIA for various causes of adverse actions taken against District government employees. The penalty for a first offense for Unauthorized Absence of five (5) workdays or more is removal.[29] In addition, an ALJ for Agency is required by law to possess a DC Bar membership in good standing. In light of this, I find that Employee's request to be returned to work as an ALJ for Agency despite her lack of the required Bar membership is not a reasonable request as it would violate the law.

As provided in *Love v. Department of Corrections*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0034-08R11 (August 10, 2011), selection of a penalty is a management prerogative, not subject to the exercise of discretionary disagreement by this Office.[30] When an Agency's charge is upheld, this Office has held that it will leave the agency's penalty undisturbed when the penalty is within the range allowed by law, regulation or guidelines, is based on consideration of the relevant factors and is clearly not an error of judgment. I find that the penalty of removal was within the range allowed by law. Accordingly, I further conclude that Agency's action should be upheld.

---

[28] *See also Anthony Payne v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0054-01, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (May 23, 2008); *Dana Washington v. D.C. Department of Corrections*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0006-06, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (April 3, 2009); *Ernest Taylor v. D.C. Emergency Medical Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0101-02, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (July 21, 2007); *Larry Corbett v. D.C. Department of Corrections*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0211-98, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (September 5, 2007); *Monica Fenton v. D.C. Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0013-05, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (April 3, 2009); *Robert Atcheson v. D.C. Metropolitan Police Department*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0055-06, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (October 25, 2010); and *Christopher Scurlock v. Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0055-09, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (October 3, 2011).

[29] DPM §1607.2(f)(4).

[30] *Love* also provided that "[OEA's] role in this process is not to insist that the balance be struck precisely where the [OEA] would choose to strike it if the [OEA] were in the agency's shoes in the first instance; such an approach would fail to accord proper deference to the agency's primary discretion in managing its workforce. Rather, the [OEA's] review of an agency-imposed penalty is essentially to assure that the agency did conscientiously consider the relevant factors and did strike a responsible balance within tolerable limits of reasonableness. Only if the [OEA] finds that the agency failed to weigh the relevant factors, or that the agency's judgment clearly exceeded the limits of reasonableness, is it appropriate for the [OEA] then to specify how the agency's decision should be corrected to bring the penalty within the parameters of reasonableness." citing *Douglas v. Veterans Administration*, 5 M.S.P.R. 313, 5 M.S.P.R. 280 (1981).

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Agency's action of removing Employee is **UPHELD**.

FOR THE OFFICE: *s/Joseph Lim*_____
JOSEPH E. LIM, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office of Employee Appeals' Chief Operating Officer of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE,	)	
Employee	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0066-24
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: February 24, 2025
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS,	)	
Agency	)	ERIC T. ROBINSON, ESQ.
	)	SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rashida I. Sims, Esq., Employee Representative
Angel Cox, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 11, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or the "Office") in the above captioned matter contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools' (the "Agency") action of separating him from service due to a charge of Misconduct pursuant to 5-E DCMR § 1401.2 (s). Employee's last position of record with the Agency was Teacher. On July 11, 2024, the OEA sent a notice to the Agency requesting that it submit an Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal. According to this notice, the Agency's Answer was due on or before August 10, 2024.[1] The Agency timely filed its Answer on August 12, 2024. This matter was then assigned to the Undersigned Administrative Judge on August 13, 2024. After review, a Prehearing/Status Conference was convened on September 17, 2024. Subsequently, a Status Conference was held on October 9, 2024. During this extended stretch, the parties participated in settlement talks on their own accord. On February 14, 2025, Employee, through counsel, submitted an executed Stipulation of Dismissal which indicated that he was requesting that the above-captioned matter be dismissed. After reviewing the salient documents of record, I have determined that no further proceedings are necessary. The record is now closed.

[1] The Undersigned takes judicial notice that August 10, 2024, was a Saturday. Accordingly, Agency's Answer, which was received the next business day, is deemed to be timely filed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Since Employee voluntarily withdrew his Petition for Appeal, I find that Employee's Petition for Appeal should be dismissed.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that the above-captioned Petition for Appeal be dismissed.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Eric T. Robinson_
Eric T. Robinson, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the District of Columbia Register and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE[1]	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0082-24
Employee	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: February 24, 2025
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS,	)	Monica Dohnji, Esq.
Agency	)	Senior Administrative Judge
	)	

Employee, *Pro Se*
Gehrrie Bellamy, Esq., Agency's Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 27, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools' ("Agency" or "DCPS") decision to terminate him from his position as a Teacher effective August 2, 2024. Employee was terminated for receiving a final IMPACT rating of 'Minimally Effective' for the 2023-2024 school year ("SY"), following a final IMPACT rating of 'Developing' from the previous school year. OEA issued a Request for Agency's Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on August 27, 2024. Agency filed its Answer to Employee's Petition for Appeal on September 25, 2024.

This matter was assigned to the undersigned on September 25, 2024. Thereafter, on October 7, 2024, I issued an Order scheduling a Status/Prehearing Conference for November 19, 2024. Both parties were present at the scheduled conference. Subsequently, on November 20, 2024, I issued a Post Status/Prehearing Conference Order, requiring the parties to submit written briefs. Agency's brief was due by December 13, 2024; Employee's brief was due by January 3, 2025; and Agency had the option to submit a sur-reply by January 17, 2025. On December 2, 2024, Agency filed a Request for a New Due Date for Agency's Brief. The undersigned issued an Order on December 3, 2024, granting Agency's request and revised the briefing schedule as follows: Agency's brief was now due by December 27, 2024; Employee's brief was due January

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.

17, 2025; and Agency's sur-reply was due February 7, 2025.[2] Agency timely submitted its brief. However, Employee did not submit his brief by the January 17, 2025, deadline. Thereafter, on February 3, 2025, Agency filed a Request for a New Due Date for Agency Sur Reply.

On February 4, 2025, the undersigned issued an Order for Statement of Good Cause on wherein, Employee was ordered to explain his failure to respond to the December 3, 2024, Order.[3] Employee had until February 19, 2025, to respond to the Statement of Good Cause Order. As of the date of this decision, Employee has not responded to this Order. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule § 631.1, 6-B District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR") Ch. 600, et seq (December 27, 2021) states:

> The burden of proof for material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. "Preponderance of the evidence" shall mean:
>
> > the degree of relevant evidence that a reasonable person, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find that a contested fact is more likely to be true than untrue.[4]

OEA Rule § 631.2 *id.* states:

> For appeals filed under § 604.1, the employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing. The agency shall have the burden of proof as to all other issues.

[2] Due to personal extenuating circumstances requiring the undersigned's absence, on December 12, 2024, AJ Harris issued a Notice Regarding Temporary Abeyance of Proceedings to the parties until my return. AJ Harris further informed the parties that they should adhere to the briefing deadlines prescribed in the December 3, 2024, Order.
[3] Agency was informed in this Order that its request for a new due date to submit its sur-reply will be addressed after February 19, 2025. Because Employee did not comply with the February 4, 2025, Order for Statement of Good Cause, and this matter is being dismisses for Employee's failure to prosecute, I find that Agency's request for additional time is moot.
[4] OEA Rule § 699.1.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

OEA Rule 624.3, DCMR Ch. 600, et seq (December 27, 2021) grants an Administrative Judge ("AJ") the authority to impose sanctions upon the parties as necessary to serve the ends of justice. The AJ "in the exercise of sound discretion may dismiss the action or rule for the appellant" if a party fails to take reasonable steps to prosecute or defend an appeal.[5] Failure of a party to prosecute or defend an appeal includes, but is not limited to, a failure to:

(a) Appear at a scheduled proceeding after receiving notice;
(b) *Submit required documents after being provided with a deadline for such submission* (emphasis added); or
(c) Inform this Office of a change of address which results in correspondence being returned.

This Office has consistently held that failure to prosecute an appeal includes a failure to submit required documents after being provided with a deadline for such submissions.[6] Here, Employee was warned in the December 4, 2024, and February 4, 2025, Orders that failure to comply could result in sanctions, including dismissal. Employee did not provide a written response to these Orders. These responses were required for a proper resolution of this matter on its merits. Wherefore, I find that Employee's failure to prosecute his appeal is a violation of OEA Rule 624. Accordingly, I further find that Employee has not exercised the diligence expected of an appellant pursuing an appeal before this Office. Therefore, this matter should be dismissed for his failure to prosecute.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that this matter be **DISMISSED** for Employee's failure to prosecute his Appeal.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Monica N. Dohnji
MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

[5] OEA Rule 624.3.
[6] *Williams v. D.C. Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. 2401-0244-09 (December 13, 2010); *Brady v. Office of Public Education Facilities Modernization*, OEA Matter No. 2401-0219-09 (November 1, 2010).

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	
	OEA Matter No.: J-0084-24
EMPLOYEE[1],	
Employee	
	Date of Issuance: February 13, 2025
v.	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES,	MICHELLE R. HARRIS, ESQ. Senior Administrative Judge
Agency	

Joseph F. Davis, Employee Representative
Pamela B. Washington, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On August 30, 2024, Employee filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Department of Motor Vehicles' ("Agency" or "DMV") decision to remove him from his position of a Hearing Examiner, effective August 9, 2024. Following a letter from OEA dated September 3, 2024, requesting an Answer in this matter, Agency filed its Answer and Motion for Summary Disposition on October 3, 2024. Agency cited therein that Employee was in probationary status at the time of separation, and that OEA lacked jurisdiction to adjudicate this matter. This matter was assigned to the undersigned Senior Administrative Judge ("AJ") on October 4, 2024. On October 9, 2024, I issued an Order scheduling a Status/Prehearing Conference for October 29, 2024.

Both parties appeared for the Status/Prehearing Conference as required. Following the conference, I issued an Order requiring the parties to address the jurisdiction issue raised by Agency. The parties were required to submit briefs regarding the jurisdiction issue raised by Agency. Employee's brief was due on or before November 25, 2024. Agency's response was due by or before December 16, 2024. Both parties filed their briefs as required. Upon review of the

[1] Employee's name was removed from this decision for the purposes of publication on the Office of Employee Appeals' website.

parties' submissions and the record, I have determined that an Evidentiary Hearing is not warranted in this matter. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Office has not been established in this matter.

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule 631.1, 6-B DCMR Ch. 600 (December 27, 2021) states:

> The burden of proof for material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. "Preponderance of the evidence" shall mean:
>
> > That degree of relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find a contested fact more probably true than untrue.

OEA Rule 631.2 *id.* states:

For appeals filed under §604.1, the employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing. The agency shall have the burden of proof as to all other issues.

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Employee was hired to work at Agency as a Hearing Examiner CS-0930-13/1. By letter dated January 11, 2024, Employee's tenure began at Agency on January 29, 2024. In a letter dated July 26, 2024, Employee was provided notice that he was being removed from service during his probationary term. Employee's termination was effective, August 9, 2024.

Employee's Position

Employee asserts that he should not have been subject to a probationary period due to his prior civil service, and as a result, Agency's termination action should be rescinded. Employee contends that prior to his position with Agency, he worked for 15 years in "permanent civil service" with D.C. Water, and as a result, he should not have been subject to a probationary term for this position. Employee avers that he worked for DC Water and that it is a "quasi-governmental entity" created by District law in 1996.[2] Employee cites that "as a hybrid entity, DC Water possesses characteristics of both government agencies and private organizations. However, its

[2] Employee's Brief at Page 3 (November 26, 2024).

foundational purpose, governance structure and legal obligations align it closely with the District Government, qualifying it as an extension of public service."[3] Further, Employee contends that because of his work with DC Water, that upon the start of the position with agency on January 29, 2024, he was reinstated as a career service employee and not subject to probationary status. Additionally, Employee asserts that he was assured by personnel in the District of Columbia Department of Human Resources ("DCHR") that "his prior tenure and status would be restored."[4]

Employee also avers that "[e]mployee with DC Water does not interrupt an individual's status as a civil service employee." Employee contends that "his employment with DC Water cannot be construed as a break in service because the entity services an integral part of the District's public services."[5] Employee further asserts that "similar to other quasi-governmental entities, such as federally chartered nonprofit organizations or government-sponsored enterprises, DC Water bridges the gap between governmental and private-sector operations."[6] This noted, Employee maintains that his "transition from DC Water to DMV reflects a seamless continuation of his public service with the District." Further, Employee cites that "as a reinstated civil service employee, his employment record demonstrates uninterrupted contributions to public-sector responsibilities."[7] For these reasons, Employee avers that the District Personnel Manual ("DPM") along with other principles "governing quasi-governmental entities" require that his tenure at DC Water "be recognized as part of his cumulative civil service."[8] To this end, Employee asserts that the probationary period was inapplicable to him. Employee avers that DCHR "explicitly communicated to [him] that his prior civil service status, accrued during 15 years of permanent employment with the District Government would be reinstated without any probationary period."[9]

Employee further iterates that "the DPM emphasizes that probationary periods are intended only for employees new to the career service or for those transition to positions with different terms or classifications." Employee avers that he was a "reinstated employee" and as a result, is exempt from a probationary period, absent a "fundamentally new appointment" which is not applicable in his case.[10] Employee also asserts that by subjecting him to a probationary period, Agency's actions are "incompatible with the Civil Service Reform Act ("CRSA")." Employee asserts that the CRSA "mandates that personnel actions be governed by merit and free from arbitrary decisions." As such, Employee avers that the imposition of a probationary period undermines the intent of the CRSA.[11] Employee also cites that there is legal precedent regarding his reinstatement. Specifically, Employee contends that the decision in *Cleveland Bd. Of Educ. v. Loudermill*, 470 U.S. 532 (1985) and *Perry v Sinderman*, 408 U.S. 593 (1972), both protect the "property rights of public employees in their continued employment." Employee asserts that "the

[3] *Id*.
[4] *Id*. at Page 2.
[5] *Id*. at Page 4.
[6] *Id*. at Page 5.
[7] *Id*.
[8] *Id*. at Pages 5-6.
[9] *Id*. at Page 6. Employee asserts that DCHR Representative Robin Brown "confirmed that reinstated employees return to the same employment status held before leaving District service, as affirmed in direct communications." Employee cites to an email dated April 10, 2024, where DCHR confirmed that his retirement status had been adjusted to reflect his civil service status.
[10] *Id*. at Page 7.
[11] *Id*. at Pages 7-8.

written assurances from DCHR and the absence of any prior notification of probationary terms created a legitimate expectation that he would retain his permanent status upon rehire."[12]

Employee also avers that OEA has already exercised jurisdiction over DC Water. Employee cites that OEA exercised jurisdiction in "*Nicholas v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority*, OEA Matter No. 2401-0036-16 (2017). Employee argues that because he transferred from DC Water to another agency, it should not be considered a break in service to require a probationary period. Employee also contends that Agency failed to provide adequate notice regarding probationary terms. Specifically, Employee asserts that Agency failed to acknowledge and adhere to local and federal provisions regarding "quasi-governmental" agencies like DC Water; and failed to adhere to required procedural processes regarding reinstatement and notice of probationary periods.[13] Employee also asserts that he was not afforded due process in that he was not granted a "pre-deprivation hearing or a clear explanation of the basis for designating him as a probationary employee."[14] As a result, Employee maintains that OEA has jurisdiction over this matter because "the imposition of probationary status on [Employee] is inconsistent with his reinstated civil service protections and the governing legal framework."

Agency's Position

Agency asserts Employee was in probationary status at the time of his removal from Agency, and that OEA has no jurisdiction over this matter. Agency avers that "District personnel rules provide that someone who leaves a position as non-probationary Career Service employee for *more than three days* and who then returns to a Career Service position shall serve in a probationary period of at least one year."[15] Agency assets that Employee previously worked in District government as Career Service and left for a position at DC Water for a period of more than three days and then returned to a Career Service position. Agency avers that because of this passage of time, Employee was required to serve a probationary period.[16] Agency further asserts that "none of the authorities cited in Employee's Brief on Jurisdiction support his claim that he was not a probationary employee at the time of his separation from the Agency."[17] Agency also contends that "no representative of the District government told Employe that he was excused from the requirement to serve a probationary period (and even if a representative had done so, such a representation would have been incorrect and the District would not be estopped to require Employee to serve a probationary period)."[18]

Agency provides that Employee was originally hired with District government in September 1987 where he was employed in Career Service until October 2000, when he left the Department of Motor Vehicles. He then worked with DC Water from January 2002 until July 2022.[19] Agency cites that in a letter dated "January 11, 2024, [Employee] was notified that he had

[12] *Id*. at Page 8.
[13] *Id*. at Pages 10-11.
[14] *Id*. at Page 12.
[15] Agency's Brief at Page 1 (December 17, 2024).
[16] *Id.*
[17] *Id*.
[18] *Id*. at Pages 1 -2.
[19] *Id*. at Page 2.

been selected for the Career Service position of Hearing Examiner CS-0930 Grade 13 Step 1." Agency notes that letter also cited that Employee would begin his tenure on January 29, 2024, and advised that "his position was a Probational Career Appointment."[20] Agency further cites that on April 10, 2024, Employee was advise by DCHR that "his employment history records had been amended to reflect this prior service and that he could continue to participate in CSRS."[21] Agency maintains that on July 26, 2024, Employee was notified that was being removed from his position.

Agency asserts that the Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act ("CMPA") provides the jurisdiction of OEA, which includes adverse actions for cause. Agency further avers that a "probationary employee does not have a statutory right to be removed for cause." Agency contends that the Career Service was established by the CMPA and states that permanent career service status are upon satisfactory completion of a probationary period of a least 1 year.[22] Agency further avers that 6B DCMR §223.2 provides the guidance around probationary period and terms. Specifically, Agency notes that with regard to new probationary periods that "except when the appointment is affected with a break in service of three (3) days or more, or as otherwise specified in this chapter, an employee who once satisfactorily completed a probationary period in the Career Service shall not be required to serve another probationary period."[23] Agency argues that Employee was not subject to this exception because he was not a member of Career Service when he worked at DC Water and as such, this was a break in service of more than three days, thus meaning he was required to serve a probationary period.

Agency avers that Employee's assertions regarding the "quasi-governmental" status of DC Water do not mean that work there was in Career Service as defined by the CMPA and are governed by other statutory provisions. Agency further cites that Employee's notion that OEA has jurisdiction over DC Water is incorrect. Agency avers that the [Nicholas] matter applies to a specific code provision 21 DCMR §5207.23(b) wherein an employee may challenge the abolition of their position at OEA. Agency maintains that there is "no statute or regulation providing for probationary employees to challenge their removal at OEA."[24] Agency also avers that through email communications on January 25, 2024, Employee was aware that his tenure at DC Water did not transfer in a way to except him from serving a probationary period. Agency asserts that the only assurances Employee received were with regard to his CSRS, which has no bearing on his requirement to serve a probationary period.[25] Agency also asserts that Employee citation to *Loudermill* and *Sniderrmann* are not applicable in his matter, as he did not have continued employment.

Agency maintains that Employee was required to serve in a probationary term, and that he was terminated during that term. Agency avers that Employee has not "established that the District government provided any assurances to the contrary or that, even if such an assurance has been given by an individual DCHR employee, that the District was bound by that assurance." As a result, Agency asserts that OEA lacks jurisdiction over this matter, and that it must be dismissed.

---

[20] *Id.*
[21] *Id*. The undersigned would note that "CSRS" is the acronym for the "Civil Service Retirement System."
[22] *Id*. at Page 3.
[23] *Id.* at Pages 3-4.
[24] *Id*. at Page 5 at footnote #13.
[25] *Id*. at Page 6.

Jurisdiction

This Office's jurisdiction is conferred upon it by law, and was initially established by the District of Columbia Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978 ("CMPA"), D.C. Official Code §1-601-01, *et seq.* (2001). It was amended by the Omnibus Personnel Reform Amendment Act of 1998 ("OPRAA"), D.C. Law 12-124, which took effect on October 21, 1998. Both the CMPA and OPRAA confer jurisdiction on this Office to hear appeals, with some exceptions. According to 6-B of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulation ("DCMR") § 604.1[26], this Office has jurisdiction in matters involving District government employees appealing a final agency decision affecting:

(a) A performance rating resulting in removal;
(b) An adverse action for cause that results in removal, reduction in grade, or suspension for 10 days or more; or
(c) A reduction-in-force; or
(d) A placement on enforced leave for ten (10) days or more.

OEA Rule 631.2, 6-B DCMR Ch. 600 (December 27, 2021), states that "[t]he employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction..." Pursuant to this rule, the burden of proof is by a preponderance of the evidence which is defined as "[t]hat degree of relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find a contested fact more probably true than untrue." This Office has no authority to review issues beyond its jurisdiction.[27] Therefore, issues regarding jurisdiction may be raised at any time during the course of the proceeding.[28]

The principal issue here rests in the question of this Office's jurisdiction. In the instant matter, the undersigned agrees with Agency's assertion that OEA does not have jurisdiction over this matter because Employee was in probationary status at the time of his removal from Agency. It is clear from the documents in the record that on January 29, 2024, Employee began his tenure with Agency as a Hearing Examiner. Further, the SF-50 dated January 29, 2024, also noted this position was subject to a probationary term. The District Personnel Manual (DPM) in Chapter 2 § 227.4, cites that removals during the probationary period, are "neither appealable or grievable." Consistent with the DPM, OEA has held that terminations during probationary terms are not appealable or grievable and must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.[29]

Employee avers that he should not have been subject to a probationary term because he was "reinstated" to career service from his transition from DC Water to Agency. Further Employee

[26] *See also*, Chapter 6, §604.1 of the District Personnel Manual ("DPM") and OEA Rules.
[27] *See. Banks v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. 1602-0030-90, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (September 30, 1992).
[28] *See Brown v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0027-87, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (July 29, 1993); *Jordan v. Department of Human Services*, OEA Matter No. 1601-0110-90, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (January 22, 1993); *Maradi v. District of Columbia Gen. Hosp.*, OEA Matter No. J-0371-94, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (July 7, 1995).
[29] *Day v. Office of the People's Counsel*, OEA Matter No. J-0009-94, Opinion and Order on Petition for Review (August 19, 1991).

also asserts that he was provided with "assurances from DCHR" that he would not be subject to a probationary term. Employee further maintains that his service with DC Water – a "quasi-governmental" agency in the District, means that he did not have a break in service, such that he should not have been subject to a probationary term upon his tenure with Agency beginning in January 2024. Agency contends that no such assurances were made and that Employee's work at DC Water was not Career Service as defined by the CMPA. Agency asserts that Employee was only provided information related to his retirement information, but that in no way impacted his tenure and the required probationary terms. Further, Agency asserts that even if DC Water was a Career Service position, that pursuant DPM Chapter 2 §226, that Employee was subject to a new probationary term because his break in service was more than three (3) days.[30] Agency asserts that Employee was last employed by DC Water in July 2022, and began his tenure with Agency January 2024, thus result in more than three (3) days break in service.[31]

The undersigned agrees with Agency's assertions regarding Employee's requirement to serve a probationary term upon the commencement of his tenure with Agency on January 29, 2024. The SF-50, dated January 29, 2024, clearly reflects that Employee's position was "Career Probation" and notes that it was "Subject to completion of a one (1) year probationary period beginning January 29, 2024." Employee's arguments regarding these communications with DCHR reflect only a change regarding his retirement benefits, which do not presuppose or alter the requirement of serving a one (1) year probationary term. The SF-50 dated June 16, 2024, clearly reflects that it is a "Corr. in Retirement Plan" which has no other bearing on Employee's status regarding his probationary term.[32] The undersigned would also note that Employee's arguments citing to OEA's jurisdiction over DC Water to suggest that would affect the career status to be misguided. OEA has limited jurisdiction for DC Water employee appeals related to an abolishment of a position and those provisions do not otherwise grant OEA any other jurisdiction regarding Employee's arguments of reinstatement or continued "civil service, as suggested by Employee.[33] Assuming *arguendo* that OEA did have such jurisdiction (which it does not), the undersigned finds that Employee's argument would still fail pursuant to DPM Chapter 2 § 226, as Employee had a break in service of longer than three (3) days. Employee last worked at DC Water in 2022 and started the position with Agency in January 2024.[34] Based on the aforementioned, the undersigned finds that Employee was in probationary status at the time of his removal from Agency. As was previously cited, removals in probationary terms are neither appealable nor

[30] DPM Chapter 2§ 226.1 – "Except when the appointment is affected with a break in service of three (3) days or more, or as otherwise specified in this chapter, an employee who once satisfactorily completed a probationary period in the Career Service shall not be required to serve another probationary period.}"
[31] Agency's Answer at Tab 2 (October 3, 2024).
[32] Agency's Answer at Tab 3 (October 24, 2024).
[33] *See.* 21 DCMR § 5207.23. : (b) "An employee affected by the abolishment of a position may file with the Office of Employee Appeals a complaint contesting the improper application of the separation procedures under these regulations related to implementation of an employee's entitlement to one round of lateral competition in positions in the employee's competitive level as provided in §§ 5207.1 to 5207.18. (c) An employee selected for separation may file with the Office of Employee Appeals a complaint contesting improper application of the procedures implementing an employee's right to be given notice of at least thirty (30) days before the effective date of his or her separation as provided in § 5207.19."
[34] Agency's Brief at Page 2. (December 17, 2024). *See also*. Agency Answer at Tab 1 – Employee's Resume. (October 3, 2024).

grievable to this Office. Accordingly, I find that Employee's Petition for Appeal must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Petition in this matter is **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Michelle R. Harris
MICHELLE R. HARRIS, ESQ.
Senior Administrative Judge

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

GREEN BUILDING ADVISORY COUNCIL

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

The Green Building Advisory Council meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 2, 2025, from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. The meeting will be held virtually via WebEx.

Below is the draft agenda for this meeting. The link for the WebEx meeting will be included in the final agenda posted to the Green Building Advisory Council's webpage 48 hours in advance of the meeting at: https://doee.dc.gov/node/674392

For additional information, please contact: Jenn Hatch, Green Building Advisory Council Chair, at (202) 527-1779 or jenn.hatch@dc.gov.

Draft Meeting Agenda

1. Announcements
2. Presentations and discussion
3. Roundtable updates
4. Adjourn

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

PUBLIC NOTICE

2025 Striped Bass Fishing Season

The Director of the Department of Energy and Environment, pursuant to 19 DCMR § 1503.1(g), hereby announces that the 2025 striped bass season begins May 16 and concludes December 31, 2025. Anglers may keep one (1) fish per day, no less than nineteen (19) inches and no more than twenty-four (24) inches in length.

For additional information, contact Rese Cloyd, Associate Director, Fisheries and Wildlife Division, at rese.cloyd@dc.gov or 202-997-9607.

FRIENDSHIP PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

EXTENSION

Various Services

Friendship Public Charter School request for proposals for prospective vendors to provide:

- Friendship Public Charter School (FPCS) is seeking proposals from qualified firms to provide comprehensive strategies and operational support designed to enhance the organization's student recruitment and retention efforts.

The competitive RFP can be found on FPCS website at: http://www.friendshipschools.org/procurement. Proposals are due no later than **4:00 P.M., EST, Friday April 16, 2025**. Questions and Proposals should be submitted on-line at: Procurementinquiry@friendshipschools.org. All bids not addressing all areas as outlined in the RFP will not be considered. No proposals will be accepted after the deadline.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

PUBLIC NOTICE

D.C. Board of Massage Therapy Meetings

The District of Columbia Board of Massage Therapy ("Board") hereby gives notice of two meetings pursuant to § 405 of the District of Columbia Health Occupation Revision Act of 1985, effective March 25, 1986 (D.C. Law 6-99; D.C. Official Code § 3-1204.05 (b)) (2012 Repl.) ("Act").

The meetings will be held from 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM. In accordance with Section 405(b) of the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010, D.C. Official Code § 2-574(b), the meetings will be closed to plan, discuss, or hear reports concerning licensing issues, ongoing or planned investigations of practice complaints, and or violations of law or regulations. The meetings will be scheduled on April 15, 2025 and April 22, 2025.

The meetings will be held at 2201 Shannon Place SE, Washington, DC 20020 unless otherwise indicated. Visit the Department of Health Events link at http://doh.dc.gov/events and https://dchealth.dc.gov/node/146342 for additional information.

KIPP DC PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOLS

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Literacy Consulting and Professional Development Services

KIPP DC is soliciting proposals from qualified vendors for Literacy Consulting and Professional Development Services. The RFP can be found on KIPP DC's website at www.kippdc.org/procurement. Proposals should be uploaded to the website no later than 5:00 PM EST on April 15, 2025. Questions should be addressed to donyale.butler@kippdc.org.

DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

District of Columbia Combat Sports Commission

The District of Columbia Combat Sports Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of its upcoming meeting, pursuant to section 406 of the Open Meetings Act of 2010, effective January 19, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-350; D.C. Official Code § 2-576). The proposed agenda for each meeting will be posted on the Department of Licensing and Consumer Protection's website at www.dlcp.dc.gov and the Office of Open Government's website at https://www.open-dc.gov/public-bodies/meetings, not less than forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting.

The Commission will hold its upcoming meeting on Wednesday, April 9, 2025, at 12:00 p.m.

The Commission holds its meetings on the second Wednesday of each month at 12:00 p.m. The meetings are open to members of the public virtually through Zoom. For additional information, please contact Mr. Terron McAbee, acting Commission Administrator, at (202) 442-4320 or terron.mcabee@dc.gov.

DRAFT AGENDA

1. Call to Order – 12:00 p.m. (*Public Session*)
2. Attendance
3. Comments from the Public
4. Approval of Minutes
5. Old Business
6. New Business
7. Executive Session (*Closed to the Public*) to consult with an attorney pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 2-575(b)(4)(A); D.C. Official Code § 2-575(b)(9) to discuss complaints/legal matters, applications, and legal counsel report.
8. Adjourn (Next Meeting – Wednesday, May 14, 2025)

Meeting Link:
https://dc-gov.zoom.us/j/85888231877?pwd=fxieEsaERjXNXnbOvwmIZgaclsQesg.1

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at open.govoffice@dc.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

District of Columbia Real Estate Commission

The District of Columbia Real Estate Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of its upcoming meeting, pursuant to section 406 of the Open Meetings Act of 2010, effective January 19, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-350; D.C. Official Code § 2-576). The proposed agenda for each meeting will be posted on the Department of Licensing and Consumer Protection's website at www.dlcp.dc.gov and the Office of Open Government's website at https://www.open-dc.gov/public-bodies/meetings, not less than forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting.

The Commission will hold its upcoming meeting on Tuesday, April 8, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

The Commission holds its meetings on the second Tuesday of each month at 10:00 a.m. The meetings are open to members of the public virtually through Zoom. For additional information, please contact Ms. Stacey Price, Commission Administrator, at (202) 442-4495 or stacey.price@dc.gov.

DRAFT AGENDA

1. Call to Order – 10:00 a.m. (*Public Session*)
2. Attendance
3. Comments from the Public
4. Approval of Minutes
5. Education Subcommittee Report
6. Correspondence
7. Old Business
8. New Business
9. Executive Session (*Closed to the Public*) to consult with an attorney pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 2-575(b)(4)(A); D.C. Official Code § 2-575(b)(9) to discuss complaints/legal matters, applications, and legal counsel report.
10. Recommendations
11. Adjourn (Next Meeting – Tuesday, May 13, 2025)

Meeting Link:
https://dc-gov.zoom.us/j/82574074018?pwd=ebn9uMUK7RuOIiOLfTVal9qjHnJtsp.1
Webinar ID: 825 7407 4018
Passcode: DCREC

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at open.govoffice@dc.gov.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF FINAL TARIFF

WGLRESR-2024-01, IN THE MATTER OF WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT COMPANY'S RESIDENTIAL ESSENTIAL SERVICE PROGRAM TARIFF, P.S.C.-D.C. No. 3,

1. The Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Commission) hereby gives notice, pursuant to Section 34-802 of the District of Columbia Official Code and in accordance with Section 2-505 of the District of Columbia Official Code,[1] of its final action approving Washington Gas Light Company's (WGL or Company) Residential Essential Service Surcharge (RES Surcharge) tariff updates filed on October 17, 2024,[2] and on November 20, 2024.[3]

2. The RES Surcharge allows WGL to recover the costs of the subsidy for the RES Program for low-income natural gas customers in the District of Columbia (District). The RES Surcharge contains two components, the RES Current Factor and the RES Reconciliation Factor. The RES Current Factor is calculated annually to be effective with the November billing period each year to recover the projected annual expense of the District's RES program. The Current Factor includes both the RES credit on customers' bills and the costs incurred by the Arrearage Management Program (AMP). The RES Reconciliation Factor is the reconciliation performed each year which compares the actual District RES Surcharge expense with related collections for the twelve months ending each October to reconcile any over- or under-collections.[4] On October 17, 2024, WGL filed a Surcharge Update to revise the RES Current Factor and on November 20, 2024, the Company filed a Surcharge Update to revise the RES Reconciliation Factor.

3. WGL states that the cost of the RES Program from October 2023 through September 2024 is $2,732,000.[5] WGL's forecasted AMP program cost is $750,000. The total to be recovered in the RES Surcharge is $3,482,000. WGL's total Projected Throughput is $243,530,000. WGL calculates from these amounts that the monthly RES Surcharge is $0.0143.[6] The $0.0143 amount is the Current Factor and is an increase from the previous year's $0.0126 amount. The Company proposes that the update to the RES Surcharge be effective for the period of November 2024 to October 2025.

---

1 D.C. Official Code § 34-802 and D.C. Official Code § 2-505.

2 *WGLRESR-2024-01*, *In the Matter of Washington Gas Light Company's Residential Essential Service Program Tariff, P.S.C.-D.C. No. 3* (*WGLRESR-2024-01*), Washington Gas Light Company's Annual Residential Essential Service Surcharge – Current Factor Filing, filed October 17, 2024, (RES Current Factor Tariff Filing).

3 *WGLRESR-2024-01*, Washington Gas Light Company's Annual Residential Essential Service Surcharge Reconciliation Factor, filed November 20, 2024, (RES Reconciliation Factor Tariff Filing).

4 Washington Gas Light Company's General Services Tariff, P.S.C.-D.C. No. 3, Section 29, Fifth Revised Page No. 65.

5 RES Current Factor Tariff Filing at 2.

6 RES Current Factor Tariff Filing at 2.

4. With regard to the RES Reconciliation Factor, WGL shows the RES Program cost to be recovered based on actual RES credits issued between November 2023 to October 2024 as $2,790,322.[7] WGL adds the DC AMP Cost of $694,188 to the previous amount, resulting in the total cost to be included in the RES Surcharge of $3,484,510. Subtracting the RES Surcharge between November 2023 and October 2024 of $4,363,906 results in a reconciliation amount of $(879,396).[8] WGL's Forecasted Annual Throughput from December 2024 to November 2025 is $278,505,000, which results in a Reconciliation Factor of $(0.0032). WGL subtracts the $(0.0032) amount from the Current Surcharge factor $0.0143 amount to arrive at Net RES Surcharge Factor to be billed from December 2024 to November 2025 of $0.0111.[9]

5. The RES Surcharge tariff is reflected in the following tariff page:

GENERAL SERVICES TARIFF, P.S.C.-D.C. No. 3
Section 29
Fifth Revised Page No. 65

6. On December 27, 2024, the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Tariff (NOPT) in the *D.C. Register*, inviting public comments for a thirty (30) day period on WGL's RES Surcharge Update.[10] No comments were filed in response to the NOPT. Based on the Commission's review of the tariff filings, the Commission finds that WGL's calculations for the RES Current and Reconciliation Factors comply with WGL's General Services Tariff, and thus warrant Commission approval. WGL's RES Surcharge Update tariff will become effective upon publication of this Notice of Final Tariff in the *D.C. Register*.

A TRUE COPY: **BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION:**

CHIEF CLERK: **BRINDA WESTBROOK-SEDGWICK**
COMMISSION SECRETARY

---

7 RES Reconciliation Factor Tariff Filing at 1.

8 RES Reconciliation Factor Tariff Filing at 1.

9 RES Reconciliation Factor Tariff Filing at 1.

10 71 *D.C. Reg.* 016384 - 016385 (December 27, 2024).

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RETIREMENT BOARD

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST

CERTIFICATION OF WINNER OF THE ELECTION TO SERVE AS THE RETIRED POLICE OFFICER MEMBER OF THE BOARD

The District of Columbia Retirement Board (the "Board") is required to conduct elections for its member representatives to the Board. *See* D.C. Official Code § 1-711(b)(2) (2001). In accordance with the Board's Rules for the Election of Members to the Board ("Election Rules") under Chapter 15 of Title 7 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR"), the Board, through election manager YesElections, conducted an election for a representative of District of Columbia Retired Police Officer to serve on the Board.

The ballots were counted on Monday, March 17, 2025, by YesElections under the virtual supervision of Board representatives.

YesElections submitted the Certification of Results to the Board on March 17, 2025. Pursuant to the Election Rules at *7 DCMR § 1522*, the Board hereby certifies the results of the election and declares the winner to be **Danny Gregg**, a retired District of Columbia police officer.

Pursuant to the Election Rules at *7 DCMR § 1523*, any eligible candidate for this election may petition the Board in writing for a recount of votes within seven (7) calendar days of the date of publication of the certification of the winner. The petition must be filed in writing with YesElections via email at aalbanese@election-america.com or via postal mail at 1775 Eye Street NW, Suite 1150, Washington, D.C., 20006. In the absence of a timely request for a recount, the election results will become final and cannot be appealed thirty (30) days after this publication of the Board's certification.

The Election Rules and the Certification of Results can be accessed on the Board's website:

http://www.dcrb.dc.gov

Please address any questions regarding this notice to:

Gianpiero (JP) Balestrieri, Executive Director
D.C. Retirement Board
900 7th Street, N.W., 2nd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

STATESMEN COLLEGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY FOR BOYS PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Student Support Services: Occupational Therapy, Educational Psychologist, Behavior Support Services, and Speech Therapy

Statesman College Preparatory Academy for Boys Public Charter School solicits proposals for the following service:

- **Student Support Services: Occupational Therapy, Educational Psychologist, Behavior Support Services, and Speech Therapy**

Full RFP available by request. All proposals must be submitted in PDF format and emailed to amandabloom@statesmenboys.org no later than 5:00 PM on Friday, April 11th, 2025. No phone calls please.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Environmental Quality and Operations Committee

The Board of Directors of the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (DC Water) Environmental Quality and Operations Committee will hold a meeting via Microsoft Teams on Thursday, April 17, 2025 at 9:30 a.m. This meeting will not be held in the Board Room (2nd floor) at 1385 Canal Street, S.E. The Chief Executive Officer and General Manager invites the public to attend the meeting via Microsoft Teams. Below is the draft agenda for this meeting. Please see the final agenda to DC Water's website at www.dcwater.com, which will include remote access information for this meeting.

For additional information, please contact Michelle Rhodd, Board Secretary at (202) 787-2331 or Michelle.Rhodd@dcwater.com.

DRAFT AGENDA

1. Call to Order	Committee Chairperson
2. Roll Call	Board Secretary
3. AWTP Status Updates - BPAWTP Performance	Vice-President, Wastewater Ops
4. Project Status Updates	Vice-President of Engineering & Technical Services
5. Water Quality Monitoring	Vice-President, Water Ops
6. Action Items - Joint Use - Non-Joint Use	 Chief Operating Officer and EVP Vice President of Procurement
7. Emerging Items/Other Business	Committee Chairperson
8. Executive Session	Committee Chairperson
9. Adjournment	Committee Chairperson

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints arising under this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

YOUTHBUILD DC PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Security Cameras and Projectors Installation Services

YouthBuild DC is soliciting proposals from qualified vendors to provide and install security cameras and projectors in our new facility. Vendors interested in requesting the full RFP document should contact Keisha Morris, COO, at keisha.morris@youthbuildpcs.org. All proposals and inquiries must be submitted via email to Keisha Morris at keisha.morris@youthbuildpcs.org no later than 5:00 PM EST on April 11, 2025.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

BZA Application No. 21176
Natalia Banulescu-Bogdan
6629 Piney Branch Road, N.W. (Square 2972, Lot 17)

HEARING DATE: March 19, 2025
DECISION DATES: September 11, 2024, March 19, 2025[1]

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to construct a two-story rear addition and a first-floor rear deck addition, to an existing, detached, two-story with basement, principal dwelling unit in the R-1B zone:

- Special Exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (25 feet minimum required; 13 feet, 2½ inches existing; 12 feet, 2½ inches proposed)
- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle D § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (40% maximum permitted; 38% existing; 41% proposed)

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified. (Exhibit 40A (Final Revised).)[2]

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("**ANC**") 4B, the "affected ANC" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "**Board**") referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on June 24, 2024, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted to support the application. (Exhibit 23.) The ANC report raised no issues or concerns.

[1] The application was initially placed on the Board's September 11, 2024 consent calendar as an Expedited Review case. The application was removed from the consent calendar and scheduled for a hearing on December 18, 2024 to allow the Applicant time to work with the Public Space Committee and OP. The December 18, 2024 and February 12, 2025 hearings were postponed at the request of the Applicant.

[2] The notes and computations page was updated to reflect changes in the plans from the previous version of the Form 135. (Exhibits 5 and 19.)

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted two reports to the record:

- The original OP report, dated August 30, 2024, noted the Applicant's encroachment into public space and the need for approval from the Public Space Committee prior to OP submitting a zoning analysis of the relief. OP was unable to make a recommendation at that time. (Exhibit 27.)
- The supplemental OP report, dated March 7, 2025, recommended approval of the application. (Exhibit 39.)

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("DDOT") REPORT. DDOT submitted a report indicating that it had no objection to the application because it concluded that the relief would not result in any adverse impacts to the District's transportation network. (Exhibit 28.) DDOT's approval was conditioned on the Public Space Committee approving all elements of the project in the building restriction line. The Board did not adopt the proposed condition as part of this order, and the public space matter has been resolved by the Applicant.

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special Exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (25 feet minimum required; 13 feet, 2½ inches existing; 12 feet, 2½ inches proposed)
- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle D § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (40% maximum permitted; 38% existing; 41% proposed)

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the revised plans shown in Exhibit 38 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10.

VOTE: **5-0-0** (Frederick L. Hill, Carl H. Blake, Lorna L. John, Chrishaun S. Smith, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: March 26, 2025

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS, UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, AN APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE ERECTION OR ALTERATION APPROVED IS FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 IS FILED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.14, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL

AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

BZA Application No. 21242
Alexandra Shandell and Elliott Becker
1010 Sigsbee Place NE, (Square 3889, Lot 78)

HEARING DATE: March 19, 2025
DECISION DATE: March 19, 2025

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to construct a one-story rear addition with deck, to an existing, detached, two-story with basement, principal dwelling unit in the R-2 zone:

- Special exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201.1 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (20 feet required; 16 feet, 7.5 inches existing; 12 feet, 3 inches proposed)

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified. (Exhibit 10.)

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("**ANC**") 5B, the "affected ANC" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "**Board**") referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on December 4, 2024, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted to support the application. (Exhibit 18.)

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted a report recommending approval of the application. (Exhibit 20.)

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("DDOT") REPORT. DDOT did not submit a report to the record.

PERSONS IN SUPPORT. The Board received eight letters signed by neighbors in support of the application. (Exhibit 9.)

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201.1 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (20 feet required; 16 feet, 7.5 inches existing; 12 feet, 3 inches proposed)

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the plans shown in Exhibit 12 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10,

VOTE: **5-0-0** (Frederick L. Hill, Carl H. Blake, Lorna L. John, Chrishaun S. Smith, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: March 25, 2025

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS, UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, AN APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE ERECTION OR ALTERATION APPROVED IS FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 IS FILED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.14, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

BZA Application No. 21268
Jia Cobb
305 Rock Creek Church Road, NW (Square 3314, Lot 42)

HEARING DATE: Applicant waived the right to a public hearing
DECISION DATE: March 19, 2025 (Expedited Review Calendar)[1]

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to install a retractable awning above the rear yard deck of an existing, attached, three-story principal dwelling unit in the RF-1 zone:

- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (60% maximum permitted; 49% existing; 63% proposed)

The application was accompanied by a memorandum from the Zoning Administrator, certifying the required relief. (Exhibits 9, 9A.)

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("**ANC**") 4C and 5A, the "affected ANCs" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

EXPEDITED REVIEW. Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 401, this application was tentatively placed on the Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "**Board**" or "**BZA**") expedited review calendar for decision as a result of the Applicant's waiver of its right to a hearing. No objections to expedited review consideration were made by any person or entity entitled to do so under Subtitle Y §§ 401.7 and 401.8.

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. ANC 4C and ANC 5A did not submit reports to the record.

[1] The public meeting was rescheduled from the originally noticed meeting date of March 12 to March 19 to allow for proper noticing to affected ANC 5A.

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted a report recommending approval of the application. (Exhibit 30.)

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("DDOT") REPORT. DDOT did not submit a report to the record.

PERSONS IN SUPPORT. The Board received three letters from neighbors in support of the application. (Exhibits 25, 26, 29.)

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (60% maximum permitted; 49% existing; 63% proposed)

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the plans shown in Exhibit 4 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10.

VOTE: **5-0-0** (Frederick L. Hill, Carl H. Blake, Lorna L. John, Chrishaun S. Smith, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: March 25, 2025

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS, UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, AN APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE ERECTION OR ALTERATION APPROVED IS FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 IS FILED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.14, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
REVISED NOTICE OF VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

TIME AND PLACE: Wednesday, April 23, 2025, @ 9:30 a.m.
Via WebEx: https://dcoz.dc.gov/BZA04-23-2025
Via Telephone: 202-860-2110 Access code: 2311 691 1915
Via YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/c/DCOfficeofZoning

TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING: The Board of Zoning Adjustment will adhere to the following schedule but reserves the right to call items on the agenda out of turn.

WARD TWO

Application of:	**BUAP 1735 K, LLC**
Case No.:	**20863A**
Address:	1735 K Street N.W. (Square 163, Lot 849)
ANC:	**2C**
Relief:	Time Extension to: • Board of Zoning Adjustment Order No. 20863 (pursuant to Subtitle Y § 705)
Project:	To extend for an additional two years, Board of Zoning Adjustment Order No. 20863, to expand the existing penthouse, and to convert an existing, attached, 12-story with cellar and penthouse, office building to a mixed-use building in the D-6 zone.

WARD THREE

Application of:	**3822 Van Ness NW, LLC**
Case No.:	**21286**
Address:	3822 Van Ness Street N.W. (Square 1829, Lot 23)
ANC:	**3A & 3E**
Relief:	Special Exception from: • the accessory building rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 5004.1(a) (pursuant to Subtitle D § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2)
Project:	To construct a one-story side addition, and a two-story rear addition, to an existing, semi-detached, two-story with cellar, principal dwelling unit in the R-2 Zone.

PLEASE NOTE:
This public meeting will be held virtually through WebEx for the Board to deliberate on or decide the items listed on the agenda. Public testimony is not taken at a public meeting session. Information for the public to view or listen to the public meeting is listed above and will be provided on the Office of Zoning website by the Friday before the meeting date. Visit https://dcoz.dc.gov/watchlive.

The public meeting in these cases will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Subtitles X and Y of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations, Title 11.

Expedited Review Applications:
Expedited Review applications will remain on the public meeting agenda unless a request for party status is filed in opposition, or if a request to remove the application from the agenda is made by: (1) a Board member; (2) Office of Planning; (3) an affected ANC or affected Single Member District; (4) the Councilmember representing the area in which the property is located, or representing an area located within two-hundred feet of the property; or (5) an owner or occupant of any property located within 200 feet of the property.

The removal of the application from the Expedited Review Calendar will be announced as a preliminary matter on the scheduled decision date and then rescheduled for a public hearing on a later date. Notice of the rescheduled hearing will be posted on the Office of Zoning website calendar at https://app.dcoz.dc.gov/Home/Calendar and on a revised public hearing notice in the OZ office. If an applicant fails to appear at the public hearing, this application may be dismissed.

Individuals and organizations may also submit written comments to the Board by uploading submissions via the Interactive Zoning Information System ("IZIS") at https://app.dcoz.dc.gov/Login.aspx or sent by email to bzasubmissions@dc.gov. Written comments and/or testimony must be submitted to the record at least 24 hours prior to the start of the hearing. If you are unable to use either of these means of submission, please contact Robert Reid at (202) 727-5471 for further assistance

Modification Without Hearing Applications:
Per Subtitle Y § 703, requests for party status as well as responses and comments from non-parties are not permitted for modification without hearing cases.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, YOU MAY CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ZONING AT (202) 727-6311.

Do you need assistance to participate?

Amharic
ለመሳተፍ ዕርዳታ ያስፈልግዎታል?
የተለየ እርዳታ ካስፈለገዎት ወይም የቋንቋ እርዳታ አገልግሎቶች (ትርጉም ወይም ማስተርጎም) ካስፈለገዎት እባክዎን ከስብሰባው አምስት ቀናት በፊት ዚ ሂልን በስልክ ቁጥር (202) 727-0312 ወይም በኤሜል Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov ይገናኙ። እነኝህ አገልግሎቶች የሚሰጡት በነጻ ነው።

Chinese
您需要有人帮助参加活动吗？
如果您需要特殊便利设施或语言协助服务（翻译或口译），请在见面之前提前五天与 Zee Hill 联系，电话号码 (202) 727-0312，电子邮件 Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov。这些是免费提供的服务。

French
Avez-vous besoin d'assistance pour pouvoir participer ? Si vous avez besoin d'aménagements spéciaux ou d'une aide linguistique (traduction ou interprétation), veuillez contacter Zee Hill au (202) 727-0312 ou à Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov cinq jours avant la réunion. Ces services vous seront fournis gratuitement.

Korean
참여하시는데 도움이 필요하세요?
특별한 편의를 제공해 드려야 하거나, 언어 지원 서비스(번역 또는 통역)가 필요하시면, 회의 5일 전에 Zee Hill 씨께 (202) 727-0312로 전화 하시거나 Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov 로 이메일을 주시기 바랍니다. 이와 같은 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다.

Spanish
¿Necesita ayuda para participar?
Si tiene necesidades especiales o si necesita servicios de ayuda en su idioma (de traducción o interpretación), por favor comuníquese con Zee Hill llamando al (202) 727-0312 o escribiendo a Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov cinco días antes de la sesión. Estos servicios serán proporcionados sin costo alguno.

Vietnamese
Quí vị có cần trợ giúp gì để tham gia không?
Nếu quí vị cần thu xếp đặc biệt hoặc trợ giúp về ngôn ngữ (biên dịch hoặc thông dịch) xin vui lòng liên hệ với Zee Hill tại (202) 727-0312 hoặc Zelalem.Hill@dc.gov trước năm ngày. Các dịch vụ này hoàn toàn miễn phí.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ZONING AT (202) 727-6311.

FREDERICK L. HILL, CHAIRPERSON
CARL BLAKE, VICE-CHAIRPERSON
CHRISHAUN SMITH, MEMBER,
NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION
A PARTICIPATING MEMBER OF THE ZONING COMMISSION
KEARA M. MEHLERT, SECRETARY TO THE BZA
SARA A. BARDIN, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF ZONING

This meeting is governed by the Open Meetings Act. Please address any questions or complaints regarding this meeting to the Office of Open Government at opengovoffice@dc.gov .

ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 12-15D
Z.C. CASE NO. 12-15D
Gallaudet University
(Campus Plan Amendment and Further Processing @ Square 3593, Lot 4)
January 27, 2025

Pursuant to notice, at its January 27, 2025 public hearing, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia ("Commission") considered the application ("Application") of Gallaudet University for an amendment to and further processing to the Gallaudet University 2012-2027 Campus Plan ("Campus Plan"), approved by Z.C. Order No. 12-15, as amended by Z.C. Order Nos. 12-15A through 12-15C (collectively, "Order") pursuant to Subtitle X § 101, to permit extensive renovation of the Merrill Learning Center[1], under the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all subsequent citations refer unless otherwise specified). The boundaries of the Campus Plan are, generally, Florida Avenue, N.E., to the south; West Virginia Avenue, N.E., to the east; Mt. Olivet Road, N.E., and Corcoran Street, N.E., to the north; and Brentwood Parkway, N.E., to the west. The boundaries encompass approximately 93 acres within the RF-1 zone (the "Property"). The Commission reviewed the Application pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, which are codified in Subtitle Z. For the reasons stated below, the Commission **APPROVES** the Application.

SUMMARY ORDER

Parties and Notice

The parties to the Application, other than the Applicant, included Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 5D, ANC 6A, and ANC 6C; the Commission received no additional requests for party status. The Commission provided proper and timely notice of the January 27, 2025 public hearing, on the Application by publication in the *District of Columbia Register* and by mail to the Applicant; ANCs 5D, 6A, and 6C; the Office of ANCs; the Wards 5 and 6 Councilmembers and At-Large Councilmembers; the Office of Planning ("OP"), the Department of Buildings, the Department of Energy and Environment, and the District Department of Transportation ("DDOT"); and owners of property within 200 feet of the Property (Exhibit ["Ex."] 5, 6, 8). The Applicant provided evidence that it had posted and maintained notice of the public hearing on the Property in compliance with Subtitle Z § 402 (Ex. 9, 14).

The Property is located within the boundaries of ANC 5D. ANC 5D submitted a letter in support of the Application, noting that at its regularly scheduled November 12, 2024 public meeting, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted 5-0-1 to support the Application (Ex. 16); the ANC 5D letter did not cite any issues and concerns. ANCs 6A and 6C are located to the south of the Gallaudet campus, across Florida Avenue, N.E. Neither of these ANCs submitted correspondence regarding the Application.

[1] The Application proposes to amend the Campus Plan to retain the Merril Learning Center, which was previously identified for future demolition in the approved Campus Plan; and further processing to authorize demolition of the above grade portion of the structure and a complete renovation of the below grade structure, along with improvements including extensive new landscaping and hardscaping surrounding the project site to enhance the Gallaudet Mall.

Applicant's Justification

The Applicant asserted that the Application met the requirements for amendment to and further processing of a campus plan under Subtitle X § 101; and provided a Comprehensive Plan consistency analysis concluding that the Application would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including when viewed through a racial equity lens[2], and would advance policies of the Citywide Elements (Ex. 3, 3B).

Agency Reports and Public Hearing

The OP submitted a written report (Ex. 12) and testified in support of the Application at the public hearing; the OP Report concluded that the Application met the applicable requirements of Subtitle X § 101 and would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including when viewed through a racial equity lens[3]. DDOT submitted a written report (Ex. 13) indicating no objection to the Application.

At the January 27, 2025 public hearing[4], the Commission heard testimony from the Applicant and OP. No other persons or organizations testified at the public hearing.

Conclusions

Pursuant to the authority granted by the Zoning Act, approved June 20, 1938 (D.C. Official Code § 6-641.01), the Commission may approve an application for education use by a college or university as a special exception, including amendment to an existing campus plan and further processing of an approved campus plan, consistent with the requirements set forth in Subtitle X § 101, Subtitle X Chapter 9, and Subtitle Z § 302. Based upon the record before the Commission, the Commission concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof under Subtitle X § 101 and that the requested relief for an amendment to the Campus Plan and further processing will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map and will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

"GREAT WEIGHT" TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF OP

The Commission must give "great weight" to the recommendations of OP stated in the OP Report pursuant to § 5 of the Office of Zoning Independence Act of 1990, effective September 20, 1990. (D.C. Law 8-163; D.C. Official Code § 6-623.04 (2018 Repl.) and Subtitle Z § 405.9) *Metropole Condo. Ass'n v. District of Columbia Bd. of Zoning Adjustment,* 141 A.3d 1079, 1087 (D.C. 2016). The Commission finds persuasive OP's recommendation to approve the Application and therefore concurs in that judgment.

---

[2] The Applicant provided a racial equity analysis that included evidence of its community outreach and engagement efforts regarding the Application, consistent with the requirements of the Commission's Racial Equity Tool.

[3] The OP Report's racial equity analysis included disaggregated race and ethnicity data for the Upper Northeast Planning Area, in which the Property is located, consistent with the requirements of the Commission's Racial Equity Tool.

[4] The Applicant filed a motion to waive the requirement, under the Zoning Regulations, to post notice of the hearing in front of each building on campus (Ex. 7). However, changes to the Zoning Regulations, in Z.C. Order No. 22-25, removed the requirement to post hearing notice in front of each building for campus plan applications. Thus, the requested waiver was not required, and the Commission did not rule on it at the public hearing.

"GREAT WEIGHT" TO THE WRITTEN REPORT OF THE ANC

The Commission must give great weight to the issues and concerns raised in the written report of an affected ANC that was approved by the full ANC at a properly noticed public meeting pursuant to §13(d) of the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions Act of 1975, effective March 26, 1976 (D.C. Law 1-21; D.C. Official Code § 1-309.10(d) (2012 Repl.)) and Subtitle Z § 406.2. The Commission finds ANC 5D's recommendation to approve the Application persuasive and concurs in that judgment. As noted above, ANC 5D's letter in support of the Application did not cite any issues and concerns; and ANCs 6A and 6C did not participate in this proceeding.

Summary Order

No person or entity appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the Application or otherwise requested to participate as a party in this proceeding, and ANC 5D supported the Application while the other affected ANCs did not participate. Therefore, pursuant to Subtitle Z § 604.7, the Commission authorized a summary order in this case and determined it may waive the requirement that findings of fact and conclusions of law accompany the Order because such waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party.

DECISION

In consideration of the record and the reasons stated herein, the Commission concludes that the Applicant has satisfied its burden of proof and therefore **APPROVES** the Application's request to amend the Order and further processing to the Order to permit extensive renovation of the Merrill Learning Center in accordance with the Architectural Plans marked as Ex. 3A1-3A3 of the record of Z.C. Case No. 12-15D.

VOTE (January 27, 2025): 5-0-0 (Robert E. Miller, Tammy S. Stidham, Anthony J. Hood, Gwen Marcus Wright, and Joseph E. Imamura to approve.)

In accordance with the provisions of Subtitle Z § 604.9, this Z.C. Order No. 12-15D shall become final and effective upon publication in the *District of Columbia Register*, that is on April 4, 2025.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 20-27A
Z.C. Case No. 20-27A
High Street District Development, Inc.
(Two-Year Time Extension of an Approved Consolidated PUD and Zoning Map Amendment @ Square 445, Lot 222)
September 26, 2024

Pursuant to notice, at its public meeting on September 26, 2024, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia ("Commission") considered the application ("Application") of High Street District Development, Inc. (the "Applicant") for a two-year time extension of the approved consolidated Planned Unit Development ("PUD") and Zoning Map amendment approved in Z.C. Order No. 20-27 (the "Order") for the development a mixed-use building with approximately 223 residential units and approximately 7,400 square feet of ground-floor retail space (the "Project") located at Lot 222 in Square 445 (the "Property"). The Commission reviewed the Application pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, which are codified in Subtitle Z of Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (Zoning Regulations of 2016, the "Zoning Regulations", to which all subsequent citations refer unless otherwise specified). For the reasons stated below, the Commission **APPROVES** the Application.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Prior Approvals

1. The Order, effective December 17, 2021, granted a consolidated PUD and related Zoning Map amendment from the MU-4 zone to the MU-6 zone to allow the development of a mixed-use building with approximately 223 residential units and approximately 7,400 square feet of ground-floor retail space along 7th and P Streets, N.W. The Order required a building permit application for the Project to be filed by December 17, 2023, and construction to commence by December 17, 2024.

Parties and Notice

2. Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 2G, in which the Property is located, is the "affected ANC" and automatically party to the Application.[1] Aside from the affected ANC, there were no other parties to the original proceeding for Z.C. Case No. 20-27.

3. On July 16, 2024, the Applicant served the Application on ANC 2G, as well as the Office of Planning ("OP") and District Department of Transportation ("DDOT"), as attested by the Certificate of Service submitted with the Application. (Exhibit ["Ex."] 2)

The Application

4. On July 16, 2024, prior to the December 17, 2024, expiration of validity, the Applicant filed the Application requesting a two-year time extension of the period to commence construction and validity of the Order to December 17, 2026. The Application stated that it met the standards for a time extension enumerated in Subtitle Z § 705.2. (Ex. 2)

[1] When the original application was approved, the Property was located within ANC 6E. The Property is now located within ANC 2G.

5. The Applicant stated there has been no substantial change of material facts since the Commission's approval in the Order and that the Project remains consistent with the design and program approved by the Commission. (Ex. 2)

6. The Applicant asserted that there is good cause to grant the requested extension in this case. Specifically, while the Applicant has made progress towards construction, including preparing permit plans and filing a building permit application within the time deadline of the Order, the Applicant continues to face the ongoing adverse market conditions affecting the commercial real estate sector, including elevated construction costs and the significant shift in the interest rate environment in the financing market. (Ex. 2)

Responses to the Application

7. On September 11, 2024, OP submitted a report stating that the Application met the standards for the requested two-year extension and recommending approval of the Application. OP agreed with the Application that there has been no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Commission based its original approval and that the Applicant had demonstrated good cause for the extension. (Ex. 4)

8. ANC 2G did not submit a written report commenting on the Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Subtitle Z § 705.2 authorizes the Commission to extend the time periods of an order upon determining that the time extension request demonstrates satisfaction of the requirements of Subtitle Z § 705.2 and compliance with the limitations of Subtitle Z §§ 705.3, 705.5, and 705.6.

2. The Commission concludes that the Applicant timely filed the Application prior to the expiration of the validity period for the Order on December 17, 2024, which deadline the Application seeks to extend.

3. Subtitle Z § 705.2(a) requires that an Applicant serve the extension request on all parties and that all parties are allowed 30 days to respond.

4. The Commission concludes that the Applicant satisfied the requirement of Subtitle Z § 705.2(a) by demonstrating that it had served ANC 2G, the only other party to the Application, and that the ANC was given 30 days to respond from the July 16, 2024, date of service. As noted above, ANC 2G did not file a written response to the Application.

5. Subtitle Z § 705.2(b) requires that the Commission find that there is no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Commission based its original approval of the Order that would undermine the Commission's justification for approving the original application.

6. The Commission concludes that the Application satisfied Subtitle Z § 705.2(b) based on the Application and OP Report, which stated that no substantial change has occurred to the material facts upon which the Commission had relied in issuing the Order.

7. Subtitle Z § 705.2(c) requires that an application demonstrate with substantial evidence one or more of the following criteria:

> *(1) An inability to obtain sufficient project financing for the development, following an applicant's diligent good faith efforts to obtain such financing, because of changes in economic and market conditions beyond the applicant's reasonable control;*
> *(2) An inability to secure all required governmental agency approvals for a development by the expiration date of the order because of delays in the governmental agency approval process that are beyond the applicant's reasonable control; or*
> *(3) The existence of pending litigation or such other condition, circumstance or factor beyond the applicant's reasonable control that renders the applicant unable to comply with the time limits of the order.*

8. The Commission concludes that the Application met the standards of Subtitle Z § 705.2(c)(1) due to the significant adverse conditions beyond the Applicant's reasonable control affecting the financing market for commercial real estate development. The Commission agrees that these matters resulted in the Applicant's inability to commence construction by the time deadline of the Order and constitute good cause for the requested two-year extension of the Order.

"Great Weight" to the Recommendations of OP

9. The Commission is required to give "great weight" to the recommendations of OP stated in the OP Report pursuant to § 5 of the Office of Zoning Independence Act of 1990, effective September 20, 1990, (D.C. Law 8-163; D.C. Official Code § 6-623.04 (2018 Repl.)) and Subtitle Z § 405.8. (*Metropole Condo. Ass'n v. District of Columbia Bd. of Zoning Adjustment*, 141 A.3d 1079, 1087 (D.C. 2016).)

10. The Commission notes OP's conclusion that there has been no substantial change to the material facts upon which the Commission's original approval was based and finds persuasive OP's recommendation to approve the requested two-year extension.

"Great Weight" to the Written Report of the ANCs

11. The Commission must give great weight to the issues and concerns raised in the written report of an affected ANC that was approved by the full ANC at a properly noticed public meeting pursuant to § 13(d) of the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions Act of 1975, effective March 26, 1976 (D.C. Law 1-21; D.C. Official Code § 1-309.10(d) (2012 Repl.)) and Subtitle Z § 406.2. To satisfy the great weight requirement, the Commission must articulate with particularity and precision the reasons why an affected ANC does or does not offer persuasive advice under the circumstances. (*Spring Valley-Wesley Heights Citizens Ass'n v. District of Columbia Zoning Comm'n*, 856 A.2d 1174, 1180 (D.C. 2004).) The District of Columbia Court of Appeals has interpreted the phrase "issues and concerns"

to "encompass only legally relevant issues and concerns." (*Wheeler v. District of Columbia Bd. of Zoning Adjustment*, 395 A.2d 85, 91 n.10 (D.C. 1978) (citation omitted).)

12. ANC 2G did not submit a written report to which the Commission can give great weight.

DECISION

In consideration of the case record and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law herein, the Commission concludes that the Applicant has satisfied its burden of proof and therefore **APPROVES** the Application's request for a Two-Year Time Extension of Z.C. Order No. 20-27, to extend the validity period and deadline to commence construction until December 17, 2026.

Final Action
VOTE (September 26, 2024): 4-0-1 (Tammy Stidham, Joseph S. Imamura, Anthony J. Hood, and Robert E. Miller to approve; 3rd Mayoral Appointee seat vacant.)

In accordance with the provisions of Subtitle Z § 604.9, this Order No. 20-27A shall become final and effective upon publication in the *District of Columbia Register*; that is, on April 4, 2025.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

OFFICE OF DOCUMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUANCES

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER
2025 AGENCY SUBMISSION DEADLINE SCHEDULE

The deadline for submitting notices for publication in the *District of Columbia Register* by District agencies, boards, commissions, and public charter schools is THURSDAY, noon of the PREVIOUS week. For example, the deadline for the Friday, January 10, 2025 *District of Columbia Register* is Thursday, noon on January 2, 2025.

The deadline for a Register to be published during a week that has an official District of Columbia holiday is WEDNESDAY noon of the PREVIOUS week. For example, Monday, January 20, 2025 (Martin Luther King Jr. Day) is an official District of Columbia holiday therefore, the deadline for the Friday, January 24, 2025 *District of Columbia Register* is Wednesday, noon on January 15, 2025.


If an official government holiday falls on a Thursday, the deadline for submitting documents is WEDNESDAY. Because Thursday, November 27, 2025 is Thanksgiving Day, the deadline for the December 5, 2025 *District of Columbia Register* is Wednesday, noon on November 26, 2025.

Because Thursday, June 19, 2025 is Juneteenth, the deadline for the June 27, 2025 *District of Columbia Register* is Wednesday, noon on June 18, 2025.

Documents that are uploaded after the noon deadline will be published in the next edition of the Register.

The DC Register Submission Deadline Schedule is published at the end of each Register.

The Office of Documents also publishes the Submission Deadline Schedule on the DCRegs Home page:



Below is the 2025 District of Columbia Register Submission Deadline schedule.

OFFICE OF DOCUMENTS AND ADMINSTRATIVE ISSUANCES
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER
2025 AGENCY SUBMISSION DEADLINE SCHEDULE

D.C. Register Issue		Submission Deadline	
Vol. 72/1	January 3, 2025*	Tuesday	December 24, 2024
Vol. 72/2	January 10, 2025	Thursday	January 2, 2025
Vol. 72/3	January 17, 2025	Thursday	January 9, 2025
Vol. 72/4	January 24, 2025*	Wednesday	January 15, 2025
Vol. 72/5	January 31, 2025	Thursday	January 23, 2025
Vol. 72/6	February 7, 2025	Thursday	January 30, 2025
Vol. 72/7	February 14, 2025	Thursday	February 6, 2025
Vol. 72/8	February 21, 2025*	Wednesday	February 12, 2025
Vol. 72/9	February 28, 2025	Thursday	February 20, 2025
Vol. 72/10	March 7, 2025	Thursday	February 27, 2025
Vol. 72/11	March 14, 2025	Thursday	March 6, 2025
Vol. 72/12	March 21, 2025	Thursday	March 13, 2025
Vol. 72/13	March 28, 2025	Thursday	March 20, 2025
Vol. 72/14	April 4, 2025	Thursday	March 27, 2025
Vol. 72/15	April 11, 2025	Thursday	April 3, 2025
Vol. 72/16	April 18, 2025*	Wednesday	April 9, 2025
Vol. 72/17	April 25, 2025	Thursday	April 17, 2025
Vol. 72/18	May 2, 2025	Thursday	April 24, 2025
Vol. 72/19	May 9, 2025	Thursday	May 1, 2025
Vol. 72/20	May 16, 2025	Thursday	May 8, 2025
Vol. 72/21	May 23, 2025	Thursday	May 15, 2025
Vol. 72/22	May 30, 2025 *	Wednesday	May 21, 2025
Vol. 72/23	June 6, 2025	Thursday	May 29, 2025
Vol. 72/24	June 13, 2025	Thursday	June 5, 2025
Vol. 72/25	June 20, 2025*	Wednesday	June 11, 2025
Vol. 72/26	June 27, 2025	Wednesday	June 18, 2025
Vol. 72/27	July 4, 2025 *	Wednesday	June 25, 2025
Vol. 72/28	July 11, 2025	Thursday	July 3, 2025
Vol. 72/29	July 18, 2025	Thursday	July 10, 2025
Vol. 72/30	July 25, 2025	Thursday	July 17, 2025
Vol. 72/31	August 1, 2025	Thursday	July 24, 2025
Vol. 72/32	August 8, 2025	Thursday	July 31, 2025
Vol. 72/33	August 15, 2025	Thursday	August 7, 2025
Vol. 72/34	August 22, 2025	Thursday	August 14, 2025
Vol. 72/35	August 29, 2025	Thursday	August 21, 2025
Vol. 72/36	September 5, 2025*	Wednesday	August 27, 2025
Vol. 72/37	September 12, 2025	Thursday	September 4, 2025
Vol. 72/38	September 19, 2025	Thursday	September 11, 2025
Vol. 72/39	September 26, 2025	Thursday	September 18, 2025
Vol. 72/40	October 3, 2025	Thursday	September 25, 2025
Vol. 72/41	October 10, 2025	Thursday	October 2, 2025
Vol. 72/42	October 17, 2025*	Wednesday	October 8, 2025
Vol. 72/43	October 24, 2025	Thursday	October 16, 2025
Vol. 72/44	October 31, 2025	Thursday	October 23, 2025
Vol. 72/45	November 7, 2025	Thursday	October 30, 2025
Vol. 72/46	November 14, 2025*	Wednesday	November 5, 2025
Vol. 72/47	November 21, 2025	Thursday	November 13, 2025
Vol. 72/48	November 28, 2025*	Wednesday	November 19, 2025
Vol. 72/49	December 5, 2025	Wednesday	November 26, 2025
Vol. 72/50	December 12, 2025	Thursday	December 4, 2025
Vol. 72/51	December 19, 2025	Thursday	December 11, 2025
Vol. 72/52	December 26, 2025*	Wednesday	December 17, 2025
Vol. 73/1	January 2, 2026*	Wednesday	December 24, 2025

*Weeks with District of Columbia Government public holidays.

Last Updated December 10, 2024